

District Court Case No: A-24-896651-W

Electronically Filed  
Jan 16 2025 08:46 AM  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

**IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE  
STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR  
THE COUNTY OF CLARK**

BERNARDO ARMENDAREZ; JACOB  
ALEXANDER NAVARRO-REYES;  
NAYIB WATSON; LOUIS ANTHONY  
DELOSRIOS JR.; VIRGIL CRISTOBAL;  
HUNTER ALEXIS DOOLEY; JACOB  
VERNON HARDY,


Plaintiff(s),

vs.

HENDERSON MUNICIPAL COURT;  
COUNTY OF CLARK; THE  
HONORABLE RODNEY T. BURR,

Defendant(s).

**NOTICE OF APPEAL PACKET  
COVER SHEET**



1 **NOAS**  
2 MARC M. SCHIFALACQUA, ESQ.  
3 Sr. Assistant City Attorney  
4 Nevada Bar No. 10435  
5 243 S. Water Street, MSC 711  
6 Henderson, NV 89015  
7 (702) 267-1370  
8 marc.schifalacqua@cityofhenderson.com  
9 Attorney for Real Party in Interest  
10 THE CITY OF HENDERSON  
11

7 **DISTRICT COURT**  
8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

9 BERNARDO ARMENDAREZ, JACOB  
10 ALEXANDER NAVARRO-REYES, NAYIB  
11 WATSON, LOUIS ANTHONY DELOSRIOS JR.,  
12 VIRGIL CRISTOBAL, HUNTER ALEXIS  
13 DOOLEY, and JACOB VERNON HARDY,

Case No: A-24-896651-W  
Dept No: XV

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

12 Petitioners,

13 vs.

14 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL COURT,  
15 COUNTY OF CLARK, THE HONORABLE  
16 RODNEY T. BURR,

17 Respondents,

18 and

19 THE CITY OF HENDERSON,

20 Real Party in Interest.

21 PURSUANT TO NRS 2.090(2), notice is hereby given that Real Party In Interest, THE CITY  
22 OF HENDERSON, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court, from the November 21, 2024,  
23 decision granting the Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition herein.  
24

25 DATED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2025.

26 CITY OF HENDERSON  
27 /s/ Marc M. Schifalacqua  
28 MARC M. SCHIFALACQUA  
29 Sr. Assistant City Attorney  
30 Nevada Bar No.10435

CITY OF HENDERSON  
CITY ATTORNEYS' OFFICE - CRIMINAL DIVISION  
243 WATER STREET, MSC 711  
HENDERSON NV 89015

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**


I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2025, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal via Odyssey, the Eighth Judicial District Court’s electronic filing and service system, and addressed as follows:

Lance Maningo, Esq.  
[lance@maningolaw.com](mailto:lance@maningolaw.com)  
Yasmin Khayyami, Esq.  
[yasmin@maningolaw.com](mailto:yasmin@maningolaw.com)  
Kelly Valenti  
[kelly@maningolaw.com](mailto:kelly@maningolaw.com)

/s/ Bonnie Hawley  
City of Henderson Employee

CITY OF HENDERSON  
CITY ATTORNEYS’ OFFICE – CRIMINAL DIVISION  
243 WATER STREET, MSC 711  
HENDERSON NV 89015

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



1 **ASTA**  
2 MARC M. SCHIFALACQUA, ESQ.  
3 Sr. Assistant City Attorney  
4 Nevada Bar No. 10435  
5 243 S. Water Street, MSC 711  
6 Henderson, NV 89015  
7 (702) 267-1370  
8 [marc.schifalacqua@cityofhenderson.com](mailto:marc.schifalacqua@cityofhenderson.com)  
9 [samuel.bateman@cityofhenderson.com](mailto:samuel.bateman@cityofhenderson.com)  
10 Attorney for Real Party in Interest  
11 **THE CITY OF HENDERSON**

8 **DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 BERNARDO ARMENDAREZ, JACOB  
11 ALEXANDER NAVARRO-REYES, NAYIB  
12 WATSON, LOUIS ANTHONY DELOSRIOS JR.,  
13 VIRGIL CRISTOBAL, HUNTER ALEXIS  
14 DOOLEY, and JACOB VERNON HARDY,

Case No: A-24-896651-W  
Dept No: XV

**CASE APPEAL STATEMENT**

15 Petitioners,

16 vs.

17 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL COURT,  
18 COUNTY OF CLARK, THE HONORABLE  
19 RODNEY T. BURR,

20 Respondents,

21 and

22 **THE CITY OF HENDERSON,**

23 Real Party in Interest.

24 **1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement:**

25 City of Henderson, Nevada

26 **2. Judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from:**

27 The Honorable Joe Hardy, Eighth Judicial District Court, Department XV

28 /////

29 /////

CITY OF HENDERSON  
CITY ATTORNEYS' OFFICE - CRIMINAL DIVISION  
243 WATER STREET, MSC 711  
HENDERSON NV 89015

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
--

**3. Each appellant and counsel for each appellant:**

**APPELLANT**  
City of Henderson

**COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT**  
Nicholas G. Vaskov, City Attorney,  
Marc M. Schifalacqua, Sr. Asst. City Attorney  
Samuel G. Bateman, Asst. City Attorney  
243 S. Water Street, MSC 711  
Henderson, Nevada 89015

**4. Each respondent and their counsel:**

**RESPONDENTS**  
Bernardo Armendarez  
Jacob Alexander Navarro-Reyes  
Nayib Watson  
Louis Anthony Delosrios, Jr.  
Virgil Cristobal  
Hunter Alexis Dooley  
Jacob Vernon Hardy

**COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENTS**  
Lance Maningo, Esq., Yasmin Khayyami, Esq., and Lauria  
Lynch-German, Esq.  
400 S. 4<sup>th</sup> St., Ste. 650  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

**5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada, and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order granting such permission):**

All attorneys identified above are licensed to practice law in Nevada.

**6. Indicate whether Appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the District Court:**

The Henderson City Attorney's Office represented the City of Henderson in the District Court.

**7. Indicate whether Appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:**

The Henderson City Attorney's Office represents the City of Henderson on appeal.

**8. Indicate whether Appellant was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and the date of entry of the District Court order granting such leave:**

The City of Henderson did not request leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

**9. Indicate the date the proceeding commenced in the District Court:**

The proceeding commenced on July 2, 2024, when Respondents filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition in the Eighth Judicial District Court.

/////

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
--

**10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the District Court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the District Court:**

The Henderson City Attorney's Office is appealing from the Order Granting Petitioner's Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition entered by the district court on January 8, 2025.

**11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:**

This case has not previously been the subject of an appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court.

**12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:**

This case does not involve child custody or visitation.

**13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:**

This appeal does not involve the possibility of settlement.

DATED this 13 day of January, 2025.

CITY OF HENDERSON



MARC M. SCHIFALACQUA, ESQ.,  
Sr. Assistant City Attorney  
Nevada Bar No. 10435  
243 Water Street, MSC 711  
Henderson, Nevada 89015  
Telephone: (702) 267-1370

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

1  
2 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 13 day of January, 2025, I served a true and correct  
3 copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal via Odyssey, the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic  
4 filing and service system, and addressed as follows:

5 Lance Maningo, Esq.  
6 lance@maningolaw.com  
7 Yasmin Khayyami, Esq.  
8 yasmin@maningolaw.com  
9 Kelly Valenti  
10 kelly@maningolaw.com

11 /s/ Bonnie Hawley  
12 City of Henderson Employee

CITY OF HENDERSON  
CITY ATTORNEYS' OFFICE - CRIMINAL DIVISION  
243 WATER STREET, MSC 711  
HENDERSON NV 89015

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

**CASE SUMMARY**  
**CASE NO. A-24-896651-W**

**Bernardo Armendariz, Plaintiff(s)**  
**vs.**  
**Henderson Municipal Court, Defendant(s)**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Location: **Department 15**  
Judicial Officer: **Hardy, Joe**  
Filed on: **07/02/2024**  
Case Number History:  
Cross-Reference Case **A896651**  
Number:

---

CASE INFORMATION

---

**Statistical Closures**  
01/08/2025 Summary Judgment

Case Type: **Writ of Mandamus**  
Case Status: **01/08/2025 Closed**

---

DATE

CASE ASSIGNMENT

---

**Current Case Assignment**

Case Number A-24-896651-W  
Court Department 15  
Date Assigned 07/10/2024  
Judicial Officer Hardy, Joe

---

PARTY INFORMATION

---

		<i>Lead Attorneys</i>
<b>Plaintiff</b>	<b>Armendariz, Bernardo</b>	<b>Maningo, Lance A.</b> <i>Retained</i> 702-626-4646(W)
	<b>Cristobal, Virgil</b>	<b>Maningo, Lance A.</b> <i>Retained</i> 702-626-4646(W)
	<b>Delosrios Jr., Louis Anthony</b>	<b>Maningo, Lance A.</b> <i>Retained</i> 702-626-4646(W)
	<b>Dooley, Hunter Alexis</b>	<b>Maningo, Lance A.</b> <i>Retained</i> 702-626-4646(W)
	<b>Hardy, Jacob Vernon</b>	<b>Maningo, Lance A.</b> <i>Retained</i> 702-626-4646(W)
	<b>Navarro-Reyes, Jacob Alexander</b>	<b>Maningo, Lance A.</b> <i>Retained</i> 702-626-4646(W)
	<b>Watson, Nayib</b>	<b>Maningo, Lance A.</b> <i>Retained</i> 702-626-4646(W)
<b>Defendant</b>	<b>County of Clark</b>	<b>Currie-Diamond, Katherine E</b> <i>Retained</i> 702-229-6201(W)
	<b>Henderson Municipal Court</b>	<b>Schifalacqua, Marc M.</b> <i>Retained</i> 7026712744(W)
	<b>The Hon. Rodney T. Burr</b>	

---

## CASE SUMMARY











CASE NO. A-24-896651-W

EVENTS &amp; ORDERS OF THE COURT

DATE

INDEX

**EVENTS**

07/02/2024	 Writ of Mandamus Filed by: Plaintiff Armendariz, Bernardo <i>[1] Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition</i>
07/03/2024	 Errata Filed By: Plaintiff Armendariz, Bernardo <i>[2] Errata to Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition</i>
07/10/2024	 Notice of Department Reassignment <i>[3] Notice of Department Reassignment</i>
07/10/2024	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[4] Notice of Hearing</i>
08/07/2024	 Motion for Leave to File <i>[5] American Civil Liberties Union Of Nevada, Nevada Attorneys For Criminal Justice, And The Clark County Public Defender's Motion For Leave To File Brief Of Amicus Curiae In Support Of Petitioners</i>
08/08/2024	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[6] Notice of Hearing</i>
08/15/2024	 Clerk's Refund Request <i>[7] \$450.00 Maningo Law - Henderson Public Defender</i>
08/15/2024	 Motion to Strike Filed By: Defendant Henderson Municipal Court <i>[8] City of Henderson's Motion to Strike Petitioners Hunter Dooley and Jacob Hardy from the Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition</i>
08/15/2024	 Opposition Filed By: Defendant Henderson Municipal Court <i>[9] Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Brief of Amicus Curiae in Support of Petitioners and Motion to Strike the Amicus Brief</i>
08/17/2024	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[10] Clerk's Notice of Hearing</i>
08/22/2024	 Reply in Support Filed By: Other American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada <i>[11] Reply in Support of American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada, Nevada Attorneys for Criminal Justice, and the Clark County Public Defender's Motion for Leave to File Brief of Amicus Curiae in Support of Petitioners</i>
08/27/2024	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Armendariz, Bernardo <i>[12] Opposition to the City of Henderson's Motion to Strike Petitioners Hunter Dooley and Jacob Hardy from the Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition</i>
09/04/2024	 Answer Filed By: Defendant Henderson Municipal Court

**CASE SUMMARY**  
**CASE NO. A-24-896651-W**

*[13] City of Henderson's Answer to Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition*

09/04/2024



Appendix

Filed By: Defendant Henderson Municipal Court  
*[14] Appendix to Answer Volume I*

09/04/2024



Appendix

Filed By: Defendant Henderson Municipal Court  
*[15] Appendix Vol II*

09/19/2024



Order

*[16] Order Denying City of Henderson's Motion to Strike Petitioners Hunter Dooley and Jacob Hardy from the Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition*

09/25/2024



Order

*[17] Order*

10/02/2024



Brief

Filed By: Other American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada  
*[18] Brief of Amicus Curiae American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada, Nevada Attorneys for Criminal Justice, and the Clark County Public Defender's Office*

10/22/2024



Stipulation and Order

*[19] Stipulation and Order to Continue Petition for Writ of Mandamus / Prohibition Oral Argument Hearing and Amend Petitioner's Reply Brief Due Date*

10/29/2024



Reply

Filed by: Plaintiff Armendariz, Bernardo  
*[20] Reply to the City of Henderson's Answer to Petitioners' Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition*

12/27/2024



Order to Show Cause

*[21] Order to Show Cause*

01/05/2025



Recorders Transcript of Hearing

*[22] Recorders Transcript of Hearing Re:*

01/08/2025



Order

*[23] Order Granting Petitioner's Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition*

01/09/2025



Notice of Entry of Order

Filed By: Plaintiff Armendariz, Bernardo  
*[24] Notice of Entry of Order*

01/13/2025



Notice of Appeal

Filed By: Defendant Henderson Municipal Court  
*[25] Notice of Appeal*

01/13/2025



Case Appeal Statement

Filed By: Defendant Henderson Municipal Court  
*[26] Case Appeal Statement*

**HEARINGS**

07/24/2024



**Petition for Writ of Mandamus (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)**

**07/24/2024, 11/12/2024, 11/21/2024**

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-24-896651-W

Events: 07/02/2024 Writ of Mandamus

*Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition*

10/29/2024 Continued to 11/12/2024 - Stipulation and Order - Armendariz, Bernardo; Henderson Municipal Court; Navarro-Reyes, Jacob Alexander; Watson, Nayib; Delosrios Jr., Louis Anthony; Cristobal, Virgil; Dooley, Hunter Alexis; Hardy, Jacob Vernon; County of Clark; The Hon. Rodney T. Burr; American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada

Matter Continued;

Matter Continued;

Granted;

Journal Entry Details:

*Court called the matter to order, and NOTED that counsel for both parties are properly before the Court. Court INDICATED its inclination to GRANT the petition, providing the reasons for its stance. Parties presented arguments regarding the merits of and opposition to the petition. After considering the arguments, COURT STATED its finding, and ORDERED, petition GRANTED; Plaintiff is ordered to prepare a detailed order reflecting the Court's ruling.;*

Matter Continued;

Matter Continued;

Granted;

Journal Entry Details:

*Court advised the matter would need to be continued, as the Court did not have enough time to prepare for this matter. Colloquy regarding scheduling. COURT ORDERED, matter, CONTINUED. CONTINUED TO: 11/21/24 9:00 AM;*

Matter Continued;


Matter Continued;

Granted;

Journal Entry Details:

*Court indicated there was response from the State. State informed the Court they were contacted by chambers and would request for State's answer due by August 29th, 2024. Mr. Maningo stated the opening brief had already been submitted and would be given 30 days from today's date for any amicus briefing and the City would be at liberty to file their answer and a reply would be filed. Argument by Counsel. COURT ORDERED, 45 days from today for the City to file their response brief. Colloquy regarding amicus briefing. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, 30 DAYS for the Plaintiff's to file reply and 30 days STATUS CHECK. 11/04/24 8:30 AM STATUS CHECK;*

09/10/2024

 **Motion for Leave** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)


*American Civil Liberties Union Of Nevada, Nevada Attorneys For Criminal Justice, And The Clark County Public Defender's Motion For Leave To File Brief Of Amicus Curiae In Support Of Petitioners*

Granted;

Journal Entry Details:

*Court noted that there was no relation between Petitioner Jacob Hardy and the Court. Court advised It had reviewed the motion and the question the Court had was, what, if any, harm or prejudice would there be if the Court allowed the Amicus Brief. Arguments by Mr. Peterson. Arguments by Ms. Currie-Diamond. Arguments by Mr. Schifalacqua. COURT ORDERED, Motion, GRANTED, for the reasons set forth in the Motion and Reply. Court noted this was limited to the filing of the brief attached to the motion, and does not include a reply brief or argument. Additionally, Court noted, there is no harm or prejudice by filing the Amicus Brief. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Schifalacqua advised the City's argument in the Answer was sufficient, unless the Court wanted a Response. Court noted based on Mr. Schifalacqua's representations, there is an Answer on file. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. Court advised based on scheduling the Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition is RESCHEDULED to 10/29/24 at 9:00 am. Court noted if Petitioner's counsel has any scheduling issues they may reach out to the Court's Chambers. 10/29/24 9:00 AM PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS/PROHIBITION;*

09/17/2024

 **Motion to Strike** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)

*City of Henderson's Motion to Strike Petitioners Hunter Dooley and Jacob Hardy from the Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition*

Motion Denied;

Journal Entry Details:

*Colloquy regarding the Court's inclinations. Mr. Schifalacqua argued new defendants cannot*

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

**CASE SUMMARY**

**CASE NO. A-24-896651-W**

*be added in Appellate Court that were not part of the litigation that was being sought to overturn in the lower court. Mr. Maningo argued bail hearings to be important for the fundamental liberties of the accused and that the City of Henderson cannot rule that the State statutes are unconstitutional. Following brief rebuttal by Mc. Schifalacqua, COURT ORDERED, Motion to Strike DENIED without prejudices to the ultimate merits. Court DIRECTED Mr. Maningo to prepare the order.;*

01/14/2025

**CANCELED Show Cause Hearing (9:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)  
*Vacated*

**DATE**

**FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Defendant** Henderson Municipal Court

Total Charges	24.00
Total Payments and Credits	24.00
<b>Balance Due as of 1/14/2025</b>	<b>0.00</b>

**Plaintiff** Armendariz, Bernardo

Total Charges	450.00
Total Payments and Credits	450.00
<b>Balance Due as of 1/14/2025</b>	<b>0.00</b>

# DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

County, Nevada

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

*(Assigned by Clerk's Office)*

**CASE NO. A249661W**

**Department 31**

**I. Party Information** *(provide both home and mailing addresses if different)*

Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone): <p style="text-align: center;">Bernardo Armendariz, et al c/o Henderson Public Defender's Office, Dept. 3 243 S. Water Street, Henderson, Nevada 89015</p>	Defendant(s) (name/address/phone): <p style="text-align: center;">Henderson Municipal Court 243 Water Street Henderson, Nevada 89015</p>
Attorney (name/address/phone): <p style="text-align: center;">Lance A. Maningo 400 South 4th Street, Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.626.4646</p>	Attorney (name/address/phone): <p style="text-align: center;">Nicholas G. Vascof / Marc M. Schifalacqua Henderson City Attorney's Office 243 S. Water Street Henderson, Nevada 89015</p>

**II. Nature of Controversy** *(please select the one most applicable filing type below)*


**Civil Case Filing Types**

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Real Property</b></p> <p><b>Landlord/Tenant</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Other Landlord/Tenant <p><b>Title to Property</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other Title to Property <p><b>Other Real Property</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Condemnation/Eminent Domain <input type="checkbox"/> Other Real Property	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Negligence</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Auto <input type="checkbox"/> Premises Liability <input type="checkbox"/> Other Negligence <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Malpractice</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/Dental <input type="checkbox"/> Legal <input type="checkbox"/> Accounting <input type="checkbox"/> Other Malpractice	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Torts</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Other Torts</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> Intentional Misconduct <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Other Tort
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Probate</b></p> <p><b>Probate</b> <i>(select case type and estate value)</i></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Summary Administration <input type="checkbox"/> General Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Special Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Set Aside <input type="checkbox"/> Trust/Conservatorship <input type="checkbox"/> Other Probate <p><b>Estate Value</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$200,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Between \$100,000 and \$200,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Under \$100,000 or Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> Under \$2,500	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Construction Defect &amp; Contract</b></p> <p><b>Construction Defect</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Chapter 40 <input type="checkbox"/> Other Construction Defect <p><b>Contract Case</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Uniform Commercial Code <input type="checkbox"/> Building and Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Carrier <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> Collection of Accounts <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Other Contract	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Judicial Review/Appeal</b></p> <p><b>Judicial Review</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Foreclosure Mediation Case <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Seal Records <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Competency <p><b>Nevada State Agency Appeal</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Department of Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Worker's Compensation <input type="checkbox"/> Other Nevada State Agency <p><b>Appeal Other</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal from Lower Court <input type="checkbox"/> Other Judicial Review/Appeal
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Civil Writ</b></p> <p><b>Civil Writ</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of Habeas Corpus <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Writ of Mandamus <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of Quo Warrantum		<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Other Civil Filing</b></p> <p><b>Other Civil Filing</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Compromise of Minor's Claim <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Other Civil Matters

*Business Court filings should be filed using the Business Court civil coversheet.*

**07.02.24**

Date

  
 Signature of initiating party or representative

*See other side for family-related case filings.*

1 HENDERSON PUBLIC DEFENDER  
LANCE A. MANINGO  
2 Nevada Bar No. 6405  
YASMIN KHAYYAMI  
3 Nevada Bar No. 15942  
LAURIA LYNCH-GERMAN  
4 Nevada Bar No. 14733  
5 400 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
6 702.626.4646  
7 lance@maningolaw.com  
yasmin@maningolaw.com  
8 lauria@lauria.co  
9 Attorneys for Petitioners

10 **DISTRICT COURT**

11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

12 BERNARDO ARMENDAREZ,  
13 JACOB ALEXANDER NAVARRO-REYES,  
NAYIB WATSON,  
14 LOUIS ANTHONY DELOSRIOS JR.,  
15 VIRGIL CRISTOBAL,  
HUNTER ALEXIS DOOLEY, and  
16 JACOB VERNON HARDY,

CASE NO.: A-24-896651-W  
DEPT NO.: XV

17 Petitioners,

18 vs.

19 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL COURT,  
20 COUNTY OF CLARK, THE HON.  
21 RODNEY T. BURR,

22 Respondents,

23 and

24 THE CITY OF HENDERSON,

25 Real Party in Interest.  
26

27 **ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S WRIT OF MANDAMUS/PROHIBITION**

28

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on November 21, 2024, before the Honorable Joseph  
2 Hardy, in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Department 15, this Court heard arguments by  
3 both parties regarding Petitioner’s Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition. LANCE A. MANINGO and  
4 YASMIN KHAYYAMI of MANINGO LAW and LAURIA LYNCH-GERMAN, appeared on  
5 behalf of Petitioners, and MARC M. SCHIFALACQUA and SANDRA K. DIGIACOMO, of  
6 the HENDERSON CITY ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, representing Real Party in Interest.

7 The Court, having reviewed the pleadings submitted herein, and after hearing oral  
8 argument thereon, AND FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, hereby finds as follows:

9  
10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Petitioner’s Writ of  
11 Mandamus/Prohibition is GRANTED, and the Court STRIKES the Findings of Fact and  
12 Conclusions of Law issued by Henderson Municipal Court Judge Rodney Burr on February  
13 15, 2024.

14  
15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the two primary  
16 reasons for granting the Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition are that the City of Henderson did not  
17 have Standing to bring its original Motion to Declare NRS 178.4849 Unconstitutional before  
18 the Henderson Municipal Court and that NRS 178.4849 does not violate Marsy’s Law.

19  
20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the City of  
21 Henderson did not have Standing to bring its original Motion to Declare NRS 178.4849  
22 Unconstitutional before the Henderson Municipal Court. The City of Henderson failed to meet  
23 its burden of showing it suffered a concrete particularized injury, nor did it prove traceability,  
24 which are required by Standing jurisprudence.

25  
26 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the City of  
27 Henderson did not have Third Party Standing to bring its original Motion to Declare NRS  
28 178.4849 Unconstitutional before the Henderson Municipal Court. The City of Henderson did

1 not show a close relationship with the third parties, nor did the City of Henderson show a  
2 hinderance on the third party's ability to protect its own interests.

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the City of  
4 Henderson had the burden of showing that NRS 178.4849 is unconstitutional, and the City  
5 failed to meet its burden.

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Marsy's Law does  
7 not conflict with NRS 178.4849. The City of Henderson's issues with NRS 178.4849 are  
8 largely, if not entirely, staffing and management issues versus constitutional issues.  
9

10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Marsy's Law is not  
11 self-executing. It cannot be self-executing as it requires subsequent legislation to be enforced.

12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Marsy's Law does  
13 not require personal pretrial input. The actual language of Marsy's Law is focused upon request  
14 of the alleged victim. Even if there were a duty to contact the alleged victim, the good cause  
15 exception of NRS 178.4849 provides redress.  
16

17 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that NRS 178.4849 does  
18 not violate the Separation of Powers Doctrine, and it does not undercut ADKT 0539.

19 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that as an alternate  
20 reason for its finding, the Court finds that the Henderson Municipal Court did not have the  
21 jurisdiction to declare NRS 178.4849 unconstitutional.  
22

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Court does not  
24 incorporate the argument or reasoning about reopening of Armendarez's closed case.

25 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the issue of what  
26 amount of time is reasonable for a pretrial custody hearing is a question for the legislature and  
27 the timing issue does not make NRS 178.4849 unconstitutional on its face.  
28

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the City of  
2 Henderson was not required to bring this action through a declaratory relief action.

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that NRS 178.4849 on  
4 its face does not violate any provision of Marsy's Law.

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that NRS 178.4849 is  
6 constitutionally sound.

7 DATED this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2025

8 Dated this 8th day of January, 2025

9   
10 \_\_\_\_\_

11 **210 28B 7147 6841**  
12 **Joe Hardy**  
13 **District Court Judge**

14 Approved as to form and content:

15 By: /s/ Lance A. Maningo  
16 Lance A. Maningo  
17 Nevada Bar No. 6405  
18 400 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
19 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
20 Attorney for Petitioners

21 /s/ Marc M. Schifalacqua  
22 Marc M. Schifalacqua  
23 Nevada Bar No. 10435  
24 243 Water Street, Misc 711  
25 Henderson, Nevada 89015  
26 Attorney for Respondent

27  
28  
MANINGO LAW  
400 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
www.maningolaw.com

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5  
6 Bernardo Armendariz,  
7 Plaintiff(s)

CASE NO: A-24-896651-W

8 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department 15

9 Henderson Municipal Court,  
10 Defendant(s)

11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12  
13 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
14 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

15 Service Date: 1/8/2025

16 Marc Schifalacqua Marc.Schifalacqua@cityofhenderson.com

17 Lance Maningo lance@maningolaw.com

18 Kim Quinnell Kim.Quinnell@Cityofhenderson.com

19 Bonnie Hawley bonnie.hawley@cityofhenderson.com

20 Kelly Valenti kelly@maningolaw.com

21 Kaytlyn Johnson kaytlyn.johnson@cityofhenderson.com

22 Yasmin Khayyami yasmin@maningolaw.com


23 Dwayne Rennels dwayne.rennels@cityofhenderson.com

25

26

27

28



1 HENDERSON PUBLIC DEFENDER  
LANCE A. MANINGO  
2 Nevada Bar No. 6405  
YASMIN KHAYYAMI  
3 Nevada Bar No. 15942  
4 LAURIA LYNCH-GERMAN  
Nevada Bar No. 14733  
5 400 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
6 702.626.4646  
7 lance@maningolaw.com  
yasmin@maningolaw.com  
8 lauria@lauria.co  
9 Attorneys for Petitioners

10 **DISTRICT COURT**

11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

12 BERNARDO ARMENDAREZ,  
13 JACOB ALEXANDER NAVARRO-REYES,  
NAYIB WATSON,  
14 LOUIS ANTHONY DELOSRIOS JR.,  
15 VIRGIL CRISTOBAL,  
HUNTER ALEXIS DOOLEY, and  
16 JACOB VERNON HARDY,

CASE NO.: A-24-896651-W  
DEPT NO.: XV

17 Petitioners,

18 vs.

19 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL COURT,  
20 COUNTY OF CLARK, THE HON.  
21 RODNEY T. BURR,

22 Respondents,


23 and

24 THE CITY OF HENDERSON,

25 Real Party in Interest.  
26

27 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**

28 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Granting Petitioner's Writ of




Mandamus/Prohibition was entered on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of January 2025.

A copy of said document is attached hereto as reference.

Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of January 2025.

 MANINGO LAW  
Est. 2002

/s/ Lance A. Maningo  
Lance A. Maningo  
Nevada Bar No. 6405  
400 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Attorney for Petitioners

 MANINGO LAW  
Est. 2002  
400 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
[www.maningolaw.com](http://www.maningolaw.com)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I hereby certify that on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of January 2025, I did serve a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER by electronically serving parties using the Odyssey E-Filing System as follows:

Marc.Schifalacqua@cityofhenderson.com

Kim.Quinnell@cityofhenderson.com

Bonnie.Hawley@cityofhenderson.com

Dwayne.Rennells@cityofhenderson.com

Kaytlyn.Johnson@cityofhenderson.com

/s/ Kelly Valenti  
An Employee of MANINGO LAW

1 HENDERSON PUBLIC DEFENDER  
LANCE A. MANINGO  
2 Nevada Bar No. 6405  
YASMIN KHAYYAMI  
3 Nevada Bar No. 15942  
LAURIA LYNCH-GERMAN  
4 Nevada Bar No. 14733  
5 400 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
6 702.626.4646  
7 lance@maningolaw.com  
yasmin@maningolaw.com  
8 lauria@lauria.co  
9 Attorneys for Petitioners

10 **DISTRICT COURT**

11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

12 BERNARDO ARMENDAREZ,  
13 JACOB ALEXANDER NAVARRO-REYES,  
NAYIB WATSON,  
14 LOUIS ANTHONY DELOSRIOS JR.,  
15 VIRGIL CRISTOBAL,  
HUNTER ALEXIS DOOLEY, and  
16 JACOB VERNON HARDY,

CASE NO.: A-24-896651-W  
DEPT NO.: XV

17 Petitioners,

18 vs.

19 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL COURT,  
20 COUNTY OF CLARK, THE HON.  
21 RODNEY T. BURR,

22 Respondents,

23 and

24 THE CITY OF HENDERSON,

25 Real Party in Interest.  
26

27 **ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S WRIT OF MANDAMUS/PROHIBITION**

28

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on November 21, 2024, before the Honorable Joseph  
2 Hardy, in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Department 15, this Court heard arguments by  
3 both parties regarding Petitioner’s Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition. LANCE A. MANINGO and  
4 YASMIN KHAYYAMI of MANINGO LAW and LAURIA LYNCH-GERMAN, appeared on  
5 behalf of Petitioners, and MARC M. SCHIFALACQUA and SANDRA K. DIGIACOMO, of  
6 the HENDERSON CITY ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, representing Real Party in Interest.

7 The Court, having reviewed the pleadings submitted herein, and after hearing oral  
8 argument thereon, AND FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, hereby finds as follows:

9  
10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Petitioner’s Writ of  
11 Mandamus/Prohibition is GRANTED, and the Court STRIKES the Findings of Fact and  
12 Conclusions of Law issued by Henderson Municipal Court Judge Rodney Burr on February  
13 15, 2024.

14  
15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the two primary  
16 reasons for granting the Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition are that the City of Henderson did not  
17 have Standing to bring its original Motion to Declare NRS 178.4849 Unconstitutional before  
18 the Henderson Municipal Court and that NRS 178.4849 does not violate Marsy’s Law.

19  
20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the City of  
21 Henderson did not have Standing to bring its original Motion to Declare NRS 178.4849  
22 Unconstitutional before the Henderson Municipal Court. The City of Henderson failed to meet  
23 its burden of showing it suffered a concrete particularized injury, nor did it prove traceability,  
24 which are required by Standing jurisprudence.

25  
26 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the City of  
27 Henderson did not have Third Party Standing to bring its original Motion to Declare NRS  
28 178.4849 Unconstitutional before the Henderson Municipal Court. The City of Henderson did

1 not show a close relationship with the third parties, nor did the City of Henderson show a  
2 hinderance on the third party's ability to protect its own interests.

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the City of  
4 Henderson had the burden of showing that NRS 178.4849 is unconstitutional, and the City  
5 failed to meet its burden.

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Marsy's Law does  
7 not conflict with NRS 178.4849. The City of Henderson's issues with NRS 178.4849 are  
8 largely, if not entirely, staffing and management issues versus constitutional issues.  
9

10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Marsy's Law is not  
11 self-executing. It cannot be self-executing as it requires subsequent legislation to be enforced.

12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Marsy's Law does  
13 not require personal pretrial input. The actual language of Marsy's Law is focused upon request  
14 of the alleged victim. Even if there were a duty to contact the alleged victim, the good cause  
15 exception of NRS 178.4849 provides redress.  
16

17 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that NRS 178.4849 does  
18 not violate the Separation of Powers Doctrine, and it does not undercut ADKT 0539.

19 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that as an alternate  
20 reason for its finding, the Court finds that the Henderson Municipal Court did not have the  
21 jurisdiction to declare NRS 178.4849 unconstitutional.  
22

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Court does not  
24 incorporate the argument or reasoning about reopening of Armendarez's closed case.

25 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the issue of what  
26 amount of time is reasonable for a pretrial custody hearing is a question for the legislature and  
27 the timing issue does not make NRS 178.4849 unconstitutional on its face.  
28

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the City of  
2 Henderson was not required to bring this action through a declaratory relief action.

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that NRS 178.4849 on  
4 its face does not violate any provision of Marsy's Law.

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that NRS 178.4849 is  
6 constitutionally sound.

7 DATED this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2025

8 Dated this 8th day of January, 2025

9   
10 \_\_\_\_\_

11 **210 28B 7147 6841**  
12 **Joe Hardy**  
13 **District Court Judge**

14 Approved as to form and content:

15 By: /s/ Lance A. Maningo  
16 Lance A. Maningo  
17 Nevada Bar No. 6405  
18 400 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
19 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
20 Attorney for Petitioners

21 /s/ Marc M. Schifalacqua  
22 Marc M. Schifalacqua  
23 Nevada Bar No. 10435  
24 243 Water Street, Misc 711  
25 Henderson, Nevada 89015  
26 Attorney for Respondent

27  
28  
MANINGO LAW  
400 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
www.maningolaw.com

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5  
6 Bernardo Armendariz,  
7 Plaintiff(s)

CASE NO: A-24-896651-W

8 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department 15

9 Henderson Municipal Court,  
10 Defendant(s)

11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12  
13 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
14 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

15 Service Date: 1/8/2025

16 Marc Schifalacqua                      Marc.Schifalacqua@cityofhenderson.com

17 Lance Maningo                              lance@maningolaw.com

18 Kim Quinnell                                Kim.Quinnell@Cityofhenderson.com

19 Bonnie Hawley                              bonnie.hawley@cityofhenderson.com

20 Kelly Valenti                                 kelly@maningolaw.com

21 Kaytlyn Johnson                            kaytlyn.johnson@cityofhenderson.com

22 Yasmin Khayyami                          yasmin@maningolaw.com

23 Dwayne Rennels                            dwayne.rennels@cityofhenderson.com

24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Writ of Mandamus**

**COURT MINUTES**

**July 24, 2024**

A-24-896651-W	Bernardo Armendariz, Plaintiff(s)
	vs.
	Henderson Municipal Court, Defendant(s)

<b>July 24, 2024</b>	<b>8:30 AM</b>	<b>Petition for Writ of Mandamus</b>
----------------------	----------------	--------------------------------------

<b>HEARD BY:</b> Villani, Michael	<b>COURTROOM:</b> RJC Courtroom 11D
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------

**COURT CLERK:** Candice Sanders-Castro

**RECORDER:** Matt Yarbrough

**REPORTER:**

**PARTIES**

<b>PRESENT:</b>	Henderson Municipal Court	Defendant
	Maningo, Lance A.	Attorney

**JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Court indicated there was response from the State. State informed the Court they were contacted by chambers and would to request for State's answer due by August 29th, 2024. Mr. Maningo stated the opening brief had already been submitted and would be given 30 days from today's date for any amicus briefing and the City would be at liberty to file their answer and a reply would be filed. Argument by Counsel. COURT ORDERED, 45 days from today for the City to file their response brief. Colloquy regarding amicus briefing. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, 30 DAYS for the Plaintiff's to file reply and 30 days STATUS CHECK.

11/04/24 8:30 AM STATUS CHECK

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Writ of Mandamus**

**COURT MINUTES**

**September 10, 2024**

A-24-896651-W      Bernardo Armendariz, Plaintiff(s)  
vs.  
Henderson Municipal Court, Defendant(s)

**September 10, 2024      9:00 AM      Motion for Leave**

**HEARD BY:** Hardy, Joe      **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 11D

**COURT CLERK:** Nancy Maldonado

**RECORDER:** Deloris Scott

**REPORTER:**

**PARTIES**

**PRESENT:**      Currie-Diamond, Katherine E      Attorney  
                 Peterson, Christopher      Attorney  
                 Schifalacqua, Marc M.      Attorney

**JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Court noted that there was no relation between Petitioner Jacob Hardy and the Court. Court advised It had reviewed the motion and the question the Court had was, what, if any, harm or prejudice would there be if the Court allowed the Amicus Brief. Arguments by Mr. Peterson. Arguments by Ms. Currie-Diamond. Arguments by Mr. Schifalacqua. COURT ORDERED, Motion, GRANTED, for the reasons set forth in the Motion and Reply. Court noted this was limited to the filing of the brief attached to the motion, and does not include a reply brief or argument. Additionally, Court noted, there is no harm or prejudice by filing the Amicus Brief. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Schifalacqua advised the City's argument in the Answer was sufficient, unless the Court wanted a Response. Court noted based on Mr. Schifalacqua's representations, there is an Answer on file. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. Court advised based on scheduling the Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition is RESCHEDULED to 10/29/24 at 9:00 am. Court noted if Petitioner's counsel has any scheduling issues they may reach out to the Court's Chambers.

10/29/24 9:00 AM PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS/PROHIBITION

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Writ of Mandamus**

**COURT MINUTES**

**September 17, 2024**

A-24-896651-W      Bernardo Armendariz, Plaintiff(s)  
vs.  
Henderson Municipal Court, Defendant(s)

**September 17, 2024      9:00 AM      Motion to Strike**

**HEARD BY:** Hardy, Joe      **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 11D

**COURT CLERK:** Katrina Leaver

**RECORDER:** Matt Yarbrough

**REPORTER:**

**PARTIES**

**PRESENT:** Maningo, Lance A.      Attorney  
Schifalacqua, Marc M.      Attorney

**JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Colloquy regarding the Court's inclinations. Mr. Schifalacqua argued new defendants cannot be added in Appellate Court that were not part of the litigation that was being sought to overturn in the lower court. Mr. Maningo argued bail hearings to be important for the fundamental liberties of the accused and that the City of Henderson cannot rule that the State statutes are unconstitutional. Following brief rebuttal by Mc. Schifalacqua, COURT ORDERED, Motion to Strike DENIED without prejudices to the ultimate merits. Court DIRECTED Mr. Maningo to prepare the order.

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Writ of Mandamus**

**COURT MINUTES**

**November 12, 2024**

A-24-896651-W      Bernardo Armendariz, Plaintiff(s)  
vs.  
Henderson Municipal Court, Defendant(s)

**November 12, 2024      9:00 AM      Petition for Writ of  
Mandamus**

**HEARD BY:** Hardy, Joe      **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 11D

**COURT CLERK:** Nancy Maldonado

**RECORDER:** Matt Yarbrough

**REPORTER:**

**PARTIES**

**PRESENT:**      Khayyami, Yasmin      Attorney  
                         Maningo, Lance A.      Attorney  
                         Schifalacqua, Marc M.      Attorney

**JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Court advised the matter would need to be continued, as the Court did not have enough time to prepare for this matter. Colloquy regarding scheduling. COURT ORDERED, matter, CONTINUED.

CONTINUED TO: 11/21/24 9:00 AM

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Writ of Mandamus**

**COURT MINUTES**

**November 21, 2024**

A-24-896651-W	Bernardo Armendariz, Plaintiff(s)
	vs.
	Henderson Municipal Court, Defendant(s)

<b>November 21, 2024</b>	<b>9:00 AM</b>	<b>Petition for Writ of Mandamus</b>
--------------------------	----------------	--------------------------------------

<b>HEARD BY:</b> Hardy, Joe	<b>COURTROOM:</b> RJC Courtroom 11D
-----------------------------	-------------------------------------

**COURT CLERK:** Charmaine Guy

**RECORDER:** Matt Yarbrough

**REPORTER:**

**PARTIES**

<b>PRESENT:</b>	Digiacommo, Sandra K.	Attorney
	Khayyami, Yasmin	Attorney
	Lynch-German, Lauria Ann	Attorney
	Maningo, Lance A.	Attorney
	Schifalacqua, Marc M.	Attorney

**JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Court called the matter to order, and NOTED that counsel for both parties are properly before the Court. Court INDICATED its inclination to GRANT the petition, providing the reasons for its stance. Parties presented arguments regarding the merits of and opposition to the petition. After considering the arguments, COURT STATED its finding, and ORDERED, petition GRANTED; Plaintiff is ordered to prepare a detailed order reflecting the Court s ruling.

# Certification of Copy

State of Nevada }  
County of Clark } SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S WRIT OF MANDAMUS/PROHIBITION; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES

BERNARDO ARMENDAREZ; JACOB ALEXANDER NAVARRO-REYES; NAYIB WATSON; LOUIS ANTHONY DELOSRIOS JR.; VIRGIL CRISTOBAL; HUNTER ALEXIS DOOLEY; JACOB VERNON HARDY,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

HENDERSON MUNICIPAL COURT;  
COUNTY OF CLARK; THE HONORABLE  
RODNEY T. BURR PLAINTIFF,

Defendant(s),

Case No: A-24-896651-W

Dept No: XV

now on file and of record in this office.

**IN WITNESS THEREOF**, I have hereunto  
Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the  
Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada  
This 14 day of January 2025.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

/s/ Shaun Salcedo

Shaun Salcedo, Deputy Clerk

