

No. 261A18-3

TENTH DISTRICT

SUPREME COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA

\*\*\*\*\*

NORTH CAROLINA STATE  
CONFERENCE OF THE  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR  
THE ADVANCEMENT OF  
COLORED PEOPLE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

vs.

TIM MOORE, in his official capacity,  
and PHILIP BERGER, in his official  
capacity,

Defendant-Appellees.

From Wake County

\*\*\*\*\*  
**DEFENDANT-APPELLEES' INITIAL SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF**  
\*\*\*\*\*

## INDEX

TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORITIES.....	iii
INTRODUCTION .....	1
ARGUMENT .....	4
I. This Court Cannot Adopt Procedures for the Involuntary Recusal of a Justice .....	4
a. Current Law Provides that Each Justice Must Determine for Himself or Herself Whether To Recuse.....	4
b. This Court Lacks Constitutional and Statutory Authority To Require Involuntary Recusal of a Justice, Either by Rule or in a Particular Case.....	7
c. The Current System of Individualized Recusal Determinations by Each Justice Accords with Sound Principles of Judicial Administration. ....	16
II. Answers to the Court's Questions.....	21
CONCLUSION .....	37
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....	39
APPENDIX	

**TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORITIES**

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Advocacy Org. for Patients &amp; Providers v. Auto Club Ins. Ass'n</i> , 693 N.W.2d 358 (Mich. 2005) .....	24
<i>Beard v. North Carolina State Bar</i> , 320 N.C. 126, 357 S.E.2d 694 (1987) .....	7
<i>Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., Inc.</i> , 556 U.S. 868 (2009) .....	36
<i>Cheney v. United States Dist. Ct. for D.C.</i> , 540 U.S. 1217 (2004) .....	22
<i>Cheney v. United States Dist. Ct. for D.C.</i> , 541 U.S. 913 (2004) .....	19, 34
<i>Committee on Grievances of State Bar Ass'n v. Strickland</i> , 200 N.C. 630, 158 S.E. 110 (1931) .....	13, 26
<i>Craig v. Cty. of Chatham</i> , 356 N.C. 40, 565 S.E.2d 172 (2002) .....	14
<i>Grievance Adm'r v. Fieger</i> , 729 N.W.2d 451 (Mich. 2006) .....	24
<i>Hill v. Hill</i> , 360 N.C. 577, 635 S.E.2d 600 (2006) .....	28
<i>In re Hayes</i> , 353 N.C. 511, 546 S.E.2d 376 (2001) .....	12
<i>In re Key</i> , 182 N.C. App. 714, 643 S.E.2d 452 .....	32
<i>In re Martin</i> , 295 N.C. 291, 245 S.E.2d 766 (1978) .....	11

<i>In re Nowell</i> , 293 N.C. 235, 237 S.E.2d 246 (1977) .....	10, 12
<i>In re T.R.P.</i> , 360 N.C. 588, 636 S.E.2d 787 (2006) .....	14
<i>Laird v. Tatum</i> , 409 U.S. 824 (1972) .....	16, 31, 34
<i>Lange v. Lange</i> , 357 N.C. 645, 588 S.E.2d 877 (2003) .....	6
<i>Lawrence v. Board of L. Examiners</i> , 809 N.W.2d 563 (Mich. 2012) .....	25
<i>Lea Co. v. North Carolina Bd. of Transp.</i> , 308 N.C. 603, 304 S.E.2d 164 (1983) .....	16
<i>Matter of Alamance Cnty. Ct. Facilities</i> , 329 N.C. 84, 405 S.E.2d 125 (1991) .....	7, 8, 9, 15
<i>Matter of Greene</i> , 297 N.C. 305, 255 S.E.2d 142 (1979) .....	9
<i>North Carolina State Bar v. Tillett</i> , 369 N.C. 264, 794 S.E.2d 743 (2016) .....	2, 13, 14, 26
<i>Pellegrino v. AMPCO Sys. Parking</i> , 789 N.W.2d 777 (Mich. 2010) .....	24, 25, 36
<i>People v. Aceval</i> , 782 N.W.2d 204 (Mich. 2010) .....	25
<i>Presbyterian Church (U.S.A.) v. Edwards</i> , 594 S.W.3d 199 (Ky. 2018) .....	33
<i>Ratajczak v. Yoonessi</i> , 945 N.E.2d 1030 (N.Y. 2011) .....	35
<i>Reaves v. Earle-Chesterfield Mill Co.</i> , 216 N.C. 462, 5 S.E.2d 305 (1939) .....	14
<i>Scott v. Scott</i> , 336 N.C. 284, 442 S.E.2d 493 (1994) .....	14

<i>State v. Dove,</i> 369 N.C. 67, 793 S.E.2d 239 (2016) .....	28
<i>State v. Henley,</i> 778 N.W.2d 853 (Wis. 2009) .....	34, 35
<i>State v. Hunt,</i> 527 A.2d 223 (Vt. 1987).....	34
<i>State v. Poole,</i> 305 N.C. 308, 289 S.E.2d 335 (1982) .....	5, 28
<i>State v. Tunstall,</i> 545 S.E.2d 220 (N.C. 2000).....	28
<i>Stephenson v. Bartlett,</i> 358 N.C. 219, 595 S.E.2d 112 (2004) .....	9
<i>Virmani v. Presbyterian Health Servs. Corp.,</i> 350 N.C. 449, 515 S.E.2d 675 (1999) .....	7
<i>Williams v. Pennsylvania,</i> 136 S. Ct. 1899 (2016) .....	6

#### Statutes & Constitutional Provisions

28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).....	6
N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 1.....	6, 7
N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 2.....	6
N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 6.....	6
N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 12.....	6, 11, 16
N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 13 .....	7
N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 15 .....	2, 8
N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 17.....	2, 8, 9
N.C.G.S. § 1-83 .....	4
N.C.G.S. § 5A-15 .....	4
N.C.G.S. § 7A-10 .....	2, 9, 26
N.C.G.S. § 7A-374.2 .....	3, 4, 5, 10, 11
N.C.G.S. § 7A-375 .....	10
N.C.G.S. § 7A-376 .....	3, 5, 12

N.C.G.S. § 7A-377 .....	3, 10, 11, 12
N.C.G.S. § 15A-1223 .....	4
Rules	
Canon 3, North Carolina Code of Judicial Conduct .....	<i>passim</i>
Other Authorities	
ABA COMM’N ON STANDARDS OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, STANDARDS RELATING TO APPELLATE COURTS 74 (1977) .....	20-21, 30
3 ABA JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION DIVISION, STANDARDS RELATING TO APPELLATE COURTS 81 (1994) .....	21
Chief Justice of the United States, <i>2011 Year-End Report on the     Federal Judiciary</i> at 9 (2011), <a href="https://bit.ly/3DkmN0v">https://bit.ly/3DkmN0v</a> .....	3, 20, 21-22, 30
Hon. James G. Exum, Jr., Hon. Burley B. Mitchell, Jr., & Hon. Mark D. Martin, <i>Former NC Supreme Court justices: What     we think about recusal controversy</i> , RALEIGH NEWS & OBSERVER, Oct. 24, 2021, <a href="https://bit.ly/3Cd1HkJ">https://bit.ly/3Cd1HkJ</a> .....	27
National Center for State Courts, <i>Methods of Judicial     Selection</i> , <a href="https://bit.ly/3FBdU4C">https://bit.ly/3FBdU4C</a> .....	35
Report of the North Carolina State Constitution Study Commission (1968) .....	8
John P. Frank, <i>Disqualification of Judges</i> , 56 YALE L.J. 605 (1947).....	22
Timothy J. Goodson, <i>Duck, Duck, Goose: Hunting for Better Recusal     Practices in the United States Supreme Court in Light of Cheney v.     United States District Court</i> , 84 N.C. L. Rev. 181 (2005) .....	17, 19-20

SUPREME COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA

\*\*\*\*\*

NORTH CAROLINA STATE  
CONFERENCE OF THE  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR  
THE ADVANCEMENT OF  
COLORED PEOPLE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

vs.

TIM MOORE, in his official capacity,  
and PHILIP BERGER, in his official  
capacity,

Defendant-Appellees.

From Wake County

\*\*\*\*\*  
**DEFENDANT-APPELLEES' INITIAL SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF**  
\*\*\*\*\*

**INTRODUCTION**

Canon 3 of North Carolina's Code of Judicial Conduct—the only state law governing the recusal of the Members of this Court—expressly provides that the decision whether recusal is necessary or appropriate is up to the Justice “himself/herself.” N.C.C.J.C. Canon 3(C)(1). While the recusal determinations of lower-court judges are of course reviewable by this Court as part of the ordinary appellate process, no court sits in review of *this* Court (at least as to questions of State law), so a Justice’s individual determination whether to recuse is necessarily final, simply by virtue of this Court’s position at the top of North Carolina’s judicial system. That hardly makes the State an outlier; North Carolina’s approach is in accord with

the practice of the federal judiciary, where the recusal determinations of the Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court are also individual, final, and non-reviewable. And it is also in accord with the approach adopted by nearly two-thirds of the States. Throughout these States and the federal judiciary, and throughout American history, justices have discharged their solemn responsibility to weigh whether recusal is called for honorably, and there is no reason to think that the Justices of this Court, now, will behave differently.

Accordingly, the answer to the critical question raised by the Court's September 29, 2021 Order is that there is neither need nor authority for this Court to adopt new or additional procedures that would bring about the involuntary recusal of a Justice who has decided the issue of recusal for herself. The North Carolina Constitution vests the power to provide for the suspension or removal of individual Justices with the General Assembly in the first instance. *See N.C. CONST. art. IV, §§ 15, 17(2).* And while the legislature has enacted a limited delegation of that constitutional authority to this Court, N.C.G.S. § 7A-10.1, it is a bedrock principle of law that a delegated power cannot be exercised in such a way that is in conflict, either explicitly or implicitly, with a statutory scheme established by the legislature itself. *See North Carolina State Bar v. Tillett*, 369 N.C. 264, 269, 794 S.E.2d 743, 746 (2016). Here, the legislature has established an intricate statutory scheme—Chapter 7A, Article 30—that does authorize this Court to review a Justice's recusal determination and impose appropriate discipline, but *only* after a thorough review process initiated by a disciplinary recommendation by the Judicial Standards Commission ("JSC"). *See*

N.C.G.S. §§ 7A-374.2, 7A-376, 7A-377. A freestanding process allowing this Court to involuntarily recuse a Justice from a case through any other method would nullify the careful procedures, limitations, and protections established by the legislature in Article 30—something this Court has no authority to do.

Even if the power to force an individual Justice off of a case were a lawful policy, it would not be a prudent one. As the Chief Justice of the United States explained in 2011, allowing involuntary recusal “would create an undesirable situation in which the Court could affect the outcome of a case by selecting who among its Members may participate.”<sup>1</sup> One need not be clairvoyant to predict the effect such a power would have on the integrity and collegiality of this institution, and the public’s view of it. The first time the power was exercised to force a Justice off of a case would surely not be the last. For the Justice (or group of Justices) on the losing end of such an unpleasant struggle would have every incentive to turn the tables once the balance of power on the Court shifted—and litigants in contentious cases would have every incentive to encourage and escalate these unfortunate dynamics by targeting Justices they view as unfavorable with recusal motions, effectively choosing for themselves the composition of the Court that is to hear their appeal. There is an unacceptable risk that these forces would lead to a vicious cycle of dysfunction and bitterness that—it must be said—would transform this Body into a transparently partisan institution.

---

<sup>1</sup> Chief Justice of the United States, *2011 Year-End Report on the Federal Judiciary* at 9 (2011), <https://bit.ly/3DkmN0v>.

Even if this Court could lawfully establish involuntary recusal, the Court should not open this door.

## **ARGUMENT**

### **I. This Court Cannot Adopt Procedures for the Involuntary Recusal of a Justice.**

Before turning to the specific questions the Court has asked, Defendants set forth the overarching principles governing the recusal process in this Court.

#### **a. Current Law Provides that Each Justice Must Determine for Himself or Herself Whether To Recuse.**

Canon 3(C) of the North Carolina Code of Judicial Conduct provides that “[o]n motion of any party, a judge should disqualify himself/herself in a proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality may reasonably be questioned,” specifically including, for example, instances where the judge has “a personal bias or prejudice” or “personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts,” where he or she previously “served as a lawyer in the matter in controversy,” or where he or she “has a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy.” Because the statutory provision under which the Canons were promulgated defines “judge” to include “any justice or judge,” N.C.G.S. § 7A-374.2(5), this provision applies to recusal determinations in this Court. It is the only provision of North Carolina law that does so.<sup>2</sup>

By its plain text, Canon 3 vests the determination whether to recuse in the judge or justice “*himself/herself*.” N.C.C.J.C. Canon 3(C)(1) (emphases added). To be

---

<sup>2</sup> Other provisions related to judicial recusal apply only to trial courts. See N.C.G.S. §§ 1-83, 5A-15(a), 15A-1223.

sure, in some instances a judge or justice may determine, in the exercise of that authority, that under Canon 3(C)'s standard he or she should *also* recuse from the decision *whether or not to recuse*—by referring a motion to recuse to another judge or justice. This Court has held that a judge should take this course of action “if there is sufficient force in the allegations contained in defendant's motion to proceed to find facts, or if a reasonable man knowing all the circumstances would have doubts about the judge's ability to rule on the motion to recuse in an impartial manner.” *State v. Poole*, 305 N.C. 308, 320, 289 S.E.2d 335, 343 (1982) (cleaned up). But in all events, under Canon 3 the determination whether to recuse from determining a motion to recuse by referring the motion to another judge—like the determination whether to recuse in the underlying matter—belongs to the judge himself or herself.

A decision whether to recuse is subject to two limited methods of review. First, as discussed in more detail below, *see infra*, pp. 8-13, where a refusal to recuse violates the standards of Canon 3, the violation “may be deemed” to constitute “grounds for disciplinary proceedings” by the JSC, N.C.C.J.C. Preamble—and, if the Commission recommends discipline, it may lead to reprimand, censure, suspension, or removal by this Court, N.C.G.S. § 7A-376. Review through a disciplinary proceeding before the JSC is available for recusal decisions both by judges on the lower state courts and by Justices on this Court. *See id.* (authorizing discipline against “any judge”); *id.* § 7A-374.2(5) (defining “judge” to include “any justice or judge of the General Court of Justice”).

Second, where the recusal determination is made by a judge sitting on a superior court, district court, or the Court of Appeals, it is subject to ordinary appellate review in the underlying case—including, in appropriate cases, appellate review by this Court. *See generally Lange v. Lange*, 357 N.C. 645, 649 (2003). However, it follows from the position of this Court at the very top of the North Carolina General Court of Justice, *see* N.C. CONST. art. IV, §§ 1, 2, 6, 12, that no body exists within the judicial system of the State with authority to conduct appellate review of *its* decisions.

The only court with jurisdiction to review the decisions of this Court is the United States Supreme Court, and that jurisdiction is limited to questions of federal law. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a). Where a judge's refusal to recuse—including a refusal by a Justice of this Court—is so flagrantly erroneous that it violates the federal constitutional right to due process of law, the decision is subject to review and reversal by the U.S. Supreme Court. *See Williams v. Pennsylvania*, 136 S. Ct. 1899, 1903 (2016).<sup>3</sup> Absent that extraordinary circumstance, it follows from the Code of Judicial Conduct and the position of this Court atop the state judicial system that whether a Justice of the Court should recuse (or should refer a recusal motion to another Justice) is, and by necessity remains, a question for that Justice to answer

---

<sup>3</sup> *Williams* found a due process violation where one of the State Supreme Court Justices who adjudicated a prisoner's claim for post-conviction relief "had been the district attorney who gave his official approval to seek the death penalty in the prisoner's case." *Id.* at 1903. That obvious source of apparent bias is far removed from the grounds that have been advanced for recusal in this case.

for himself or herself. Ultimately, of course, since justices in North Carolina must face reelection, a Justice who abuses this authority may be removed by the voters.

**b. This Court Lacks Constitutional and Statutory Authority To Require Involuntary Recusal of a Justice, Either by Rule or in a Particular Case.**

Under the governing constitutional and statutory provisions, this Court does not have authority to depart from current law and adopt a new procedure allowing for involuntary recusal. Rather, that authority lies exclusively with the General Assembly.

Rather than delineating an “inviolable” separation of powers, *Matter of Alamance Cnty. Ct. Facilities*, 329 N.C. 84, 96, 405 S.E.2d 125, 131 (1991), our Constitution divides the authority over the practice and procedure of the state courts between the judiciary and the General Assembly. It generally vests our court system with “[t]he judicial power of the State,” N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 1, and this Court with authority “to make rules of procedure and practice for the Appellate Division,” *id.* art. IV, § 13(2). And the courts also possess certain “inherent powers”—powers “which the court necessarily possesses irrespective of constitutional provisions,” *Beard v. North Carolina State Bar*, 320 N.C. 126, 129, 357 S.E.2d 694, 696 (1987)—including the power of a court “to deal with its attorneys,” *id.*, the power “to control [its] proceedings and records,” *Virmani v. Presbyterian Health Servs. Corp.*, 350 N.C. 449, 463, 515 S.E.2d 675, 685 (1999), and, in general, the power “to do all things that are reasonably necessary for the proper administration of justice,” *Beard*, 320 N.C. at 129, 357 S.E.2d at 696.

However, while “the inherent power of the judiciary is plenary within its branch, it is curtailed by the constitutional definition of the judicial branch and the other branches of government.” *Alamance County*, 329 N.C. at 94, 405 S.E.2d at 130. And the constitutional definition of the powers of the General Assembly indicates that the specific authority at issue in this case—the authority to involuntarily remove a Justice—is vested in the legislature, not this Court.

In addition to its general, plenary legislative power, two constitutional provisions vest the General Assembly with authority to determine the process governing recusal decisions in this Court. First, Article IV, Section 15 generally vests the General Assembly with authority over the administration of the judicial department, by authorizing it to “provide for an administrative office of the courts to carry out the provisions of [the judicial] Article.” *Alamance Cty.*, 329 N.C. at 95, 405 S.E.2d at 130. And second, Article IV, Section 17 specifically grants the General Assembly authority to “prescribe a procedure, in addition to impeachment . . . , for the censure and removal of a Justice or Judge of the General Court of Justice for . . . conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the judicial office into disrepute.” N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 17(2). This Section of our Constitution originated from an amendment based on need for “[m]odern, uniform procedures for disciplining and removing unfit judicial officers [as] necessary parts of an efficient and responsible judicial system.” Report of the North Carolina State Constitution Study Commission 1968, p. 100 (1968). “The existence of these procedures is perhaps more important than their actual use, which would be rare.” *Id.*

That the people in Article IV, Section 17 specifically authorized the Legislature to create “uniform procedures for disciplining and removing” judicial officers necessarily belies any general or inherent authority this Court might have to require involuntary recusal in the absence of this specific delegation to the General Assembly.

*See Alamance County*, 329 N.C. at 94–95, 329 S.E.2d at 130; *Stephenson v. Bartlett*, 358 N.C. 219, 230, 595 S.E.2d 112, 119 (2004) (statute governing judicial review of redistricting plans was not “an unconstitutional usurpation of authority reserved to the courts,” given the Constitution’s grant to the General Assembly of authority over the procedure of trial courts); *Matter of Greene*, 297 N.C. 305, 312, 255 S.E.2d 142, 147 (1979) (courts “do not have an ‘inherent’ power to continue prayer for judgment on conditions or to suspend sentence where the sentence is made mandatory by the General Assembly,” given that “in our tripartite system of government it is unquestionable that the Legislature has the authority to determine what conduct shall be punishable and to prescribe penalties”); *see also* Brief of Amicus Curiae Prof. John V. Orth at 4 (Oct. 22, 2021) (“Prof. Orth Amicus Br.”) (“Removal of a Justice by act of the Supreme Court would violate Article IV, § 17.”).

The General Assembly has delegated some of its authority in this specific context to this Court, through N.C.G.S. § 7A-10.1, which authorizes the Court “by rule, to prescribe standards of judicial conduct for the guidance of all justices and judges of the General Court of Justice.” This rule-making delegation is itself evidence that the power over recusal lies, constitutionally, in the General Assembly—for if the General Assembly did not have this power, it could not purport to delegate it.

Importantly, however, Section 7A-10.1's delegation does not authorize the Court to prescribe a rule requiring the involuntary recusal of a Justice, because such a rule would plainly be contrary to the *legislative* system for reviewing recusal decisions that the General Assembly has established: a proceeding before the JSC.

Pursuant to its constitutional mandate under Article IV, Section 17 to prescribe a method of removing judicial officers short of impeachment, the General Assembly "created our Judicial Standards Commission by the enactment of Article 30." *In re Nowell*, 293 N.C. 235, 241, 237 S.E.2d 246, 250 (1977). The membership of the Commission is determined by a detailed statutory scheme providing for appointment of Commission members by various actors, including the Chief Justice, the State Bar Council, and the General Assembly. N.C.G.S. § 7A-375(a). The Commission has authority to investigate an alleged violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct by "any justice or judge of the General Court of Justice." *Id.* § 7A-374.2(5). It has power to "issue process to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of evidence," and it must afford "due process of law" to the justice or judge who is the subject of the disciplinary proceeding. *Id.* § 7A-377(a).

If "the Commission concludes that disciplinary proceedings should be instituted," it may recommend that this Court enter an order of "public reprimand, censure, suspension, or removal," and, after briefing and argument before the Court, it "may approve the recommendation, remand for further proceedings, or reject the recommendation." *Id.* § 7A-377(a5). If the proceeding concerns a Justice of this Court, that Justice "is disqualified from acting" in the case. *Id.* Both the Commission's vote

to recommend discipline and this Court’s vote to impose it are governed by precise voting thresholds. *Id.* In addition to reprimanding the judge, censuring him, or removing him entirely, the Court may enter an order suspending him “for a period of time, and upon conditions ... as may be specified by the Supreme Court,” *id.* § 7A-374.2(9).

In *In re Martin*, 295 N.C. 291, 300, 245 S.E.2d 766, 771 (1978), this Court noted that it was the Judicial Standards Commission Act that “defines the role of this Court in the censure and removal of judges.” In short, the Court noted that it was this Act, driven by the people of North Carolina in the Constitution, that “empower[ed] the Legislature to confer upon this Court original jurisdiction over the censure and remove of judges.” This Court certainly did not hold that it *already* maintained a power to, in the first instance, involuntarily limit or scrutinize a judge’s decision to hear a case. In fact, this Court agreed that Article IV, Section 12 of our Constitution “does not contain any authority by which the Legislature could confer upon this Court original jurisdiction over the censure and removal of judges.” *Id.* at 298, 245 S.E.2d at 770.

Consistent with the authority to act through the rubric of the Judicial Commission Act, this Court laid out its function vis-à-vis the Commission. “The function of the Commission is to conduct hearings upon complaints filed against judges and justices, to find facts and make recommendations so as to bring before the Supreme Court the questions of whether a judge or justice should be censured or removed.” *Id.* at 301, 245 S.E.2d at 772.

[The Commission] investigates complaints against sitting judges and candidates for judicial office. It can compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of evidence; conduct a hearing; and recommend to this Court what disciplinary action, if any, should be taken. The Commission functions as an arm of the Court to conduct hearings for the purpose of aiding the Supreme Court in determining whether a judge is unfit or unsuitable.

*In re Hayes*, 353 N.C. 511, 513–14, 546 S.E.2d 376, 378 (2001).

Once the Commission has recommended the Court commence disciplinary proceedings, the Court may “exercise its independent judgment as to whether it should censure, remove, or decline to do either.” *Nowell*, 293 N.C. at 244, 237 S.E.2d at 252. This Court’s authority to discipline a judge or justice is, however, plainly contingent on the Commission properly recommending discipline. *See* N.C.G.S. § 7A-377(a5) (authorizing disciplinary proceedings in this Court only “[i]f, after an investigation is completed, the Commission concludes that disciplinary proceedings should be instituted”) *id.* § 7A-376(b), (c) (authorizing this Court to reprimand, censure, or remove a judge only “[u]pon recommendation of the Commission”). Even in situations where this Court has noted it can make its own findings if the Commission’s findings are not supported by clear and convincing evidence, it has never investigated an ethical challenge, found facts in the first instance, and rendered final judgment. Indeed, that would be antithetical to the jurisdiction of the Court bestowed upon it by the people through the provisions of our Constitution establishing the Judicial Standards Commission.

The General Assembly has thus enacted a precise, detailed statutory scheme for reviewing the decision of a Justice who declines to recuse in violation of the Code

of Judicial Conduct: investigation by the JSC and a recommendation of discipline, followed by a decision by this Court after briefing and argument. Because the General Assembly has crafted this detailed and complex statutory scheme, under basic principles of law this Court has no authority to supplement it by providing for review of recusal decisions of a Justice through some *different* process.

It is a bedrock principle of statutory construction, and the separation of powers, that “if a valid statutory method of determining a disputed question has been established, such remedy so provided is exclusive and must be first resorted to and in the manner specified therein.” *Committee on Grievances of State Bar Ass’n v. Strickland*, 200 N.C. 630, 158 S.E. 110, 112 (1931). Based on this principle, this Court has held that where judicial conduct is subject to the disciplinary authority of the JSC, that authority is exclusive of alternative remedies. In *North Carolina State Bar v. Tillett*, Superior Court Judge Jerry Tillett had been publicly reprimanded by the JSC, following the investigation process prescribed by Article 30, based on findings that he had inappropriately pressured local officials within his jurisdiction to take certain actions against the police chief. 369 N.C. at 267-68, 794 S.E.2d at 745-46. After the JSC’s reprimand, the State Bar’s Disciplinary Hearing Commission (“DHC”) also sought to discipline Judge Tillett, based on the DHC’s general disciplinary jurisdiction over licensed North Carolina attorneys. *Id.* at 268-69, 794 S.E.2d at 746. This Court held that the statutory scheme vesting the JSC with “jurisdiction to discipline sitting judges for their conduct while in office” was plainly exclusive of other remedies for such conduct, and that it accordingly had stripped the DCH of

authority “to discipline [Judge Tillett] as a sitting member of the General Court of Justice for his conduct while a member of the General Court of Justice.” *Id.* at 276, 794 S.E.2d at 751.

*Tillet’s* holding is in accord with the principles this Court has applied across a wide variety of contexts. For example, *In re T.R.P.* held that where county officials sought to challenge parental custody of a juvenile, the “integrated,” “sequential process for abuse, neglect, or dependency cases” set forth in Chapter 7B of the Juvenile Code was exclusive, and that if the County failed to file a verified petition as required by that integrated scheme, it could not avoid the scheme altogether by invoking the trial court’s general jurisdiction to conduct custody review hearings. 360 N.C. 588, 593, 636 S.E.2d 787, 791 (2006). “Where jurisdiction is statutory and the Legislature requires the Court to exercise its jurisdiction in a certain manner, to follow a certain procedure, or otherwise subjects the Court to certain limitations, an act of the Court beyond these limits is in excess of its jurisdiction.” *Id.* at 590, 636 S.E.2d at 790. The Court has applied the same principle in cases involving divorce proceedings, *see Scott v. Scott*, 336 N.C. 284, 287, 442 S.E.2d 493, 495 (1994), agency jurisdiction, *Reaves v. Earle-Chesterfield Mill Co.*, 216 N.C. 462, 5 S.E.2d 305, 307 (1939), and preemption of local regulation, *Craig v. Cty. of Chatham*, 356 N.C. 40, 46, 565 S.E.2d 172, 176 (2002).

The application of these principles in this case is clear: because Article 30 establishes a comprehensive and detailed scheme for review of recusal determinations, subject in the first instance to the superintendence of the JSC, this

Court lacks constitutional authority to depart from or supplement that integrated scheme by creating its own mechanism for involuntary recusing its Members.<sup>4</sup>

Even if the Court had the constitutional or inherent authority to prescribe its own scheme of involuntary recusal, however, that would not end the constitutional analysis. For at the very least, authority over involuntary recusal lies “in the area of overlap between branches.” *Alamance County*, 329 N.C. at 96, 405 S.E.2d at 130. And that means at a minimum that this Court must exercise any power it has over the matter “with a cautious and cooperative spirit,” including “bow[ing] to established procedural methods where these provide an alternative to the extraordinary exercise of its inherent power,” so long as those established methods “do not stand in the way of obtaining what is reasonably necessary for the proper administration of justice.”

*Id.* at 100–01, 405 S.E.2d at 133. Article 30 provides an established procedure for removing Justices who abuse their discretion over the question of recusal, and there can be no suggestion that this procedure “stand[s] in the way” of “the proper administration of justice.” *Id.* at 101, 405 S.E.2d at 133. Accordingly, even if this Court could point to a constitutional provision authorizing involuntary recusal—and for the reasons given above, it cannot—principles of separation of powers and “harmony of the branches” would require “[o]bedience to [the] established procedural methods” provided in Article 30. *Id.* at 100–01, 405 S.E.2d at 133.

---

<sup>4</sup> Of course, nothing in Article 30 purports to supersede the constitutional and statutory provisions establishing the ordinary system of appellate review—which, as discussed above, has long been understood to encompass appellate review of lower-court recusal determinations.

**c. The Current System of Individualized Recusal Determinations by Each Justice Accords with Sound Principles of Judicial Administration.**

Even if the Court could create a new scheme giving itself greater latitude to force one of its Justices off of a case—and it cannot—such a result would seriously damage this institution, compromising its role in supervising the North Carolina judicial system and diminishing the public’s trust in the Court to act fairly, impartially, and apolitically. *See Prof. Orth Amicus Br.* at 5 (“Involuntary recusal ... would set a precedent pregnant of many harms.”).

This Court occupies a special place in the judicial system of the State. The Constitution expressly vests it with a “general supervision and control over the proceedings of the other courts,” N.C. CONST. art. IV, § 12(1), and it alone has the power to “authoritatively construe the Constitution and laws of North Carolina with finality,” *Lea Co. v. North Carolina Bd. of Transp.*, 308 N.C. 603, 610, 304 S.E.2d 164, 170 (1983). This Court thus exercises a critical role in maintaining the clarity and uniformity of North Carolina law.

Whenever a Justice of this Court is recused, it risks harm to the Court’s ability to fulfill these important constitutional duties. When a judge in the lower courts is recused, his or her place may readily be filled by a colleague; but in a court of last resort, “[t]here is no way of substituting Justices,” and there “is no higher court of appeal which may review an equally divided decision of this Court and thereby establish the law for our jurisdiction.” *Laird v. Tatum*, 409 U.S. 824, 837 (1972) (Memorandum of Rehnquist, J.). On such a court, accordingly, “a recusal by one of

the Justices introduces the possibility that the remaining Justices will split evenly in the decision.” Timothy J. Goodson, *Duck, Duck, Goose: Hunting for Better Recusal Practices in the United States Supreme Court in Light of Cheney v. United States District Court*, 84 N.C. L. REV. 181, 196 (2005). When such a result occurs, the Court has left its important law-declaring function unfulfilled and fails to provide the parties with a final and reasoned determination of their appeal by the highest Court in the State.

Every recusal imposes these harms. But a system that makes recusal more likely—by allowing a majority of the Court to force one or more Justices off of a case in the teeth of their own determination that there can be no reasonable doubt about their ability to rule impartially—would seriously heighten them.

Allowing involuntary recusal in this manner would also lead to the unseemly and deeply damaging circumstance of some Members of the Court sitting in judgment over the personal conduct of others. Of course, it is a routine matter for a Justice to give his or her opinion on a colleague’s view of the law in a concurring or dissenting opinion. But it is an altogether different matter for a Justice, or majority of Justices, to force one of their colleagues to recuse in a case against that colleague’s will. To begin, recusal regularly involves intimate questions about a justice’s family relationships or personal beliefs. See N.C.C.J.C. Canon 3(C)(1)(a), (d). And further, no matter what the underlying basis for a motion to recuse, a decision by a majority of Justices to force one of their colleagues off of a case despite his or her own good-faith judgment would quite obviously constitute an extraordinary and very public

rebuke of the Justice's personal and professional conduct and abilities. An involuntary recusal, in the teeth of a Justice's own judgment that recusal is not necessary, is in effect a public declaration that the Justice has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice prior to the due process afforded by a review by Judicial Standards. It is difficult to imagine a procedure better calculated to poison the deliberations of this body and its ability to fulfill its constitutionally assigned role in a professional and effective manner.

It is no answer to suggest that involuntary recusal would be a rare occurrence, reserved for the most extraordinary occasions. A procedure such as this would tend to quickly extend to the outer limits of its logic. Fundamental institutional dynamics would make this inevitable. For instance, could a justice's impartiality reasonably be questioned because the justice is a member of a non-profit advocacy group which is a party to the proceeding before the Court? What about if a party believes that a justice will, instead of being impartial, simply vote along a political party line; is that impartiality a valid basis for seeking the recusal of Democrats or Republicans? While forcing a Justice's involuntary recusal may today seem an extraordinary step, the first use of such a power will transform the way it is viewed—lending familiarity to a raw exercise of power that had previously been unthinkable and creating a natural desire for retribution on the part of those Justices who opposed the act. Further uses of this power would engender further efforts at retaliation and escalation.

The incentives of litigants before the Court would only intensify this escalation. In a politically charged case (such as this one), a party who believes the Court is

closely divided on the issue in question would have every reason to attempt to tilt the scales in its favor by strategically moving to recuse one or more Justices it believes are unfavorably inclined to its position—Justices who then would be barred from voting on whether they can participate in the case. The number of recusal motions would thus itself be likely to skyrocket, leading to a vicious cycle of increasingly baseless accusations of bias and increasingly acrimonious forced recusals. As Justice Scalia noted in the context of the U.S. Supreme Court, “[w]hile the political branches can perhaps survive the constant baseless allegations of impropriety that have become the staple of Washington reportage, this Court cannot.” *Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for D.C.*, 541 U.S. 913, 928 (2004) (Memorandum of Scalia, J.).<sup>5</sup>

Indeed, the greatest loser in such a world would be the Court as an institution—and, ultimately, the People of North Carolina who rely upon it to dispense justice fairly, with integrity, and in a neutral and professional manner. While those who advocate for reforms allowing involuntary recusal often claim that it is necessary to ensure judicial integrity, the deep irony is that such a procedure is in fact deeply damaging to that very goal. As one commentator has explained,

---

<sup>5</sup> Notably, because of these policy reasons, Defendants have thus far refrained from such efforts in this case, and have not to this point moved for the involuntary recusal of Justice Anita Earls. In addition to having been the lead attorney who represented the plaintiffs in the *Covington v. North Carolina* litigation that is at the root of this case, (see Def. Appellees’ 2 August 2021 Response, pp. 13-15), according to her biography on this Court’s website, Justice Earls is affiliated with an actual litigant in this case: she is a current member of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People and the 2011 recipient of the NAACP’s Civil Rights Champion Award. This affiliation certainly raises an appearance of bias far more serious than the speculative arguments for recusal of Justices Barringer and Berger.

An absolute preoccupation with impartiality and its appearance would, counterintuitively, compromise the neutrality of the judge. This is so because if judges were expected to recuse themselves based on irrational or unsupported conjecture of judicial bias, the parties in the litigation or a vindictive press would be able to control the assignment of judges. A judge whose participation is subject to unjustified removal by the parties or the press cannot be said to be neutral.

Goodson, *supra*, at 189-90 (footnotes omitted). The same is true of a judge whose participation is subject to removal by the other Members of the Court. As the Chief Justice of the United States has explained, “if the Supreme Court reviewed [its own Members’ recusal] decisions, it would create an undesirable situation in which the Court could affect the outcome of a case by selecting who among its Members may participate.” *2011 Year-End Report*, *supra*, at 9. A court known to possess such a power—and routinely pressed by litigants to exercise it in a way that determines the outcome of politically charged cases—would be unlikely to emerge with its reputation for impartial decision-making intact. It would likely come to be seen as a fundamentally partisan body.

For reasons like these, the American Bar Association’s model standards for appellate courts have, continuously since 1977, recommended against allowing involuntary recusal in a court of last resort:

In the case of an appellate judge, ... that procedure would subject the judge to decision of his disinterestedness by official peers with whom he may continue to serve in a collegial capacity in deciding the case. Moreover, because an appellate court decides questions of law rather than fact, the question of an appellate judge’s “bias” is often practically indistinguishable from the question of his views on the law, which are not properly subject to disputation

through the recusal procedure. Given these complications, it is better that the question of recusal be decided by the judge himself. If he is a judge of an intermediate appellate court, there remains the remedy of appeal from a decision in which he participates; if he is a judge of a supreme court, reliance must be placed on his recognition that a court should not only be disinterested but that it should appear to be so.

ABA COMM’N ON STANDARDS OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, STANDARDS RELATING TO APPELLATE COURTS 74 (1977); *accord* 3 ABA JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION DIVISION, STANDARDS RELATING TO APPELLATE COURTS 81 (1994) (same).<sup>6</sup>

The current law governing recusal on this Court—by declining to allow for involuntary recusal and authorizing review of a Justice’s recusal decision by the other Members of the Court only after an independent body, the JSC, has recommended disciplinary proceedings—guards against these serious harms.

## **II. Answers to the Court’s Questions.**

### **1. What historical and current recusal practices are utilized by state and federal courts of last resort in the United States?**

A. The current procedure for recusal decisions in the United States Supreme Court is simple: the decision whether to recuse is made by each individual Justice for himself or herself. As the Chief Justice of the United States described in 2011, “[l]ike lower court judges, the individual Justices decide for themselves whether recusal is warranted .... They may consider recusal in response to a request from a

---

<sup>6</sup> In 2014, the ABA adopted Resolution 105C, which generally recommends the adoption of “a mechanism for the timely review of denials to disqualify or recuse that is independent of the subject judge.” The Resolution does not address the specific context of courts of last resort.

party in a pending case, or on their own initiative.” *2011 Year-End Report, supra*, at 8. If motion to recuse is addressed to the Court as a whole, the Court’s practice is to refer that motion to the individual Justice in question. *Cheney v. United States Dist. Ct. for D.C.*, 540 U.S. 1217 (2004) (memorandum).

Because the U.S. Supreme Court is the highest court in the land, unlike the case of lower federal judges, “[t]here is no higher court to review a Justice’s decision not to recuse in a particular case.” *2011 Year-End Report, supra*, at 8. Nor does the Court as a whole “sit in judgment of one of its own Members’ decision whether to recuse in the course of deciding a case.” *Id.* at 9. The U.S. Supreme Court therefore does not involuntarily recuse its Members.

It has never done so. As the leading historical account of recusal practices in the federal judiciary has recounted, “[i]n the Supreme Court disqualification has always been the prerogative of each individual Justice.” John P. Frank, *Disqualification of Judges*, 56 YALE L.J. 605, 612 (1947). At English common law, the grounds for recusal were narrow, essentially limited to “direct pecuniary interest” in the case in question. *Id.* at 609. Through American history, the substantive grounds for recusal have expanded to encompass other, more indirect reasons for partiality in a case. *See id.* at 613-26; Goodson, *supra*, at 192-93. But the procedure for recusal has remained the same: each Justice decides whether to recuse, and no other Justice nor the Supreme Court as a whole reviews this decision or involuntarily recuses a Justice who does not believe recusal is necessary or appropriate. *See Frank, supra*, at 605; *2011 Year-End Report, supra*, at 8-9.

B. Historically, the practice in nearly all the states accorded with the federal rule. Before 1987, only two states—Louisiana and Nevada—appear to have authorized the involuntary recusal of a high-court justice who did not believe recusal was necessary or appropriate. *See Appendix A.* As noted above, this majority approach also accords with the continuous recommendation of the American Bar Association’s Judicial Administration Division since 1977.

Still today, nearly two-thirds of the states—32 out of 50—adhere to the traditional practice of leaving recusal determinations to the affected justice, without any provision for involuntary recusal. *See Appendix A.* Beginning in the late twentieth century, however, a handful of additional states began to adopt statutes or rules authorizing involuntary recusal in their courts of last resort. A minority of 18 states now appear to authorize the practice. In five states—California, Connecticut, Montana, New Jersey, and New York—this appears to be a matter of unwritten practice. *Id.* In the remaining 13 states, there is statute or rule authorizing it. *Id.* In three of these 13 (Alaska, Louisiana, and Nevada), involuntary recusal was adopted for the highest state court by statute, pursuant to the state legislature’s general legislative power. *Id.* In New Hampshire, it was adopted by the State Supreme Court pursuant to express constitutional authority to promulgate state-court rules of administration, practice, and procedure. *Id.* In the remaining nine states (Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, and Vermont), it was adopted by the state supreme court pursuant to rule-making authority delegated to it by the state legislature. *Id.*

The current practice of a supermajority of states is thus in accord with the traditional approach (and the approach followed by the federal judiciary, as well as North Carolina). The minority of states that have departed from the traditional, majority practice have done so for a variety of reasons, not all of which are clear. But in at least one state, it unfortunately appears that the practice of involuntary recusal on the highest court was adopted as part of an internal, partisan struggle for power.

In Michigan, the rule authorizing involuntary recusal of Supreme Court justices was promulgated in 2009, shortly after the 2008 judicial election resulted in a shift in the ideological balance on the Court. Prior to 2009, the court had been controlled for nearly a decade by a four-justice majority generally perceived as judicially conservative. The Justices in the majority were frequent targets of recusal requests—every recusal motion between 1999 and 2008 concerned one of those four Justices, *see Order* (Mich. Nov. 25, 2009) (Young, J., dissenting) (appended as Exhibit B to *Pellegrino v. AMPCO Sys. Parking*, 789 N.W.2d 777, 806, 827 (Mich. 2010) (Statement of Young, J.))—but those requests had been denied by the Justices in question, and the Justices in the minority had repeatedly urged, in increasingly acrimonious terms, the adoption of a rule allowing involuntary recusal, *see, e.g.*, *Advocacy Org. for Patients & Providers v. Auto Club Ins. Ass'n*, 693 N.W.2d 358, 360 (Mich. 2005) (Weaver, J., concurring); *Grievance Adm'r v. Fieger*, 729 N.W.2d 451, 455 (Mich. 2006) (Weaver, J., dissenting).

In 2008, several Justices in the minority apparently actively campaigned against then-Chief Justice Taylor, *Pellegrino*, 789 N.W.2d at 822 n.38 (Exhibit B)

((Young, J., dissenting), who was replaced by Justice Hathaway, shifting the balance of power within the court. The new majority quickly passed a rule authorizing involuntary recusal, over the bitter dissents of the three Justices who had previously been in the majority, who accused the new majority of “precipitat[ing] a constitutional crisis” and “guarantee[ing] a permanent siege within this institution,” *id.* at 1183 (Exhibit B) (Corrigan, J., dissenting), by “creat[ing] a 21st Century Star Chamber,” “‘weaponizing’ disqualification,” and “set[ting] themselves up as the gunners on the artillery they have manufactured,” *id.* at 820, 826 (Exhibit B) (Young, J., dissenting). The enactment of the involuntary-recusal rule did little to lessen the court’s internal strife—the Justices who opposed its adoption have continued to adhere to their view that the measure is unconstitutional, and Justice Young repeatedly refused to even participate in the process laid out in the recusal rule. *See, e.g., Lawrence v. Board of L. Examiners*, 809 N.W.2d 563, 563 (Mich. 2012) (Statement of Young, C.J.); *People v. Aceval*, 782 N.W.2d 204, 206 (Mich. 2010) (Statement of Young, J.).

Defendants do not believe there is any reason in principle why the approach to recusal should be different for state and federal courts of last resort. And the predictably acrimonious aftermath of Michigan’s adoption of involuntary recusal serves as a stark warning of the type of bitter, partisan dysfunction such a measure can engender.

**2. Does this Court have the authority to require the involuntary recusal of a justice who does not believe that self-recusal is appropriate?**

As discussed in detail above, this Court lacks any basis for authority to require the involuntary recusal of a Justice. While the General Assembly has enacted a limited and partial delegation of its authority over the standards of judicial conduct to this Court, N.C.G.S. § 7A-10.1, it has also exercised that power itself by enacting a complex and detailed system providing for review of recusal decisions through an investigation by the JSC, and this Court has no power to supplement or depart from this legislatively-prescribed system pursuant to Article IV, Section 17(2) of our Constitution. *See Tillett*, 369 N.C. at 266-67 784 S.E.2d at 744-45; *Strickland*, 200 N.C. at 630, 158 S.E. at 112.

The Court has also asked whether N.C.G.S. § 7A-10 plays any role in determining whether the Court has authority to involuntarily recuse a Justice. Section 7A-10 generally establishes the membership, quorum, sessions, and compensation for the Court. Defendants do not believe Section 7A-10 has any effect on the determination whether the Court has authority to require involuntary recusal.

**3. What has been the method for making recusal decisions by this Court? What should be the procedures employed in making recusal decisions for members of this Court?**

For nearly two centuries, this Court's recusal practice has been uniform on the key point at issue in this case: according to Defendants' research, in no case—not a single one—has there been any indication that a Justice was forced to recuse against his or her judgment. As recently described by a bipartisan group of three former Chief

Justices who served, collectively, between 1986 to 2019: “In our collective experience on the court, the court deferred to the judgment of the individual justice or justices being asked to recuse. Without exception, recusal was not considered a matter for the whole court to resolve.” Hon. James G. Exum, Jr., Hon. Burley B. Mitchell, Jr., & Hon. Mark D. Martin, *Former NC Supreme Court justices: What we think about recusal controversy*, RALEIGH NEWS & OBSERVER, Oct. 24, 2021, <https://bit.ly/3Cd1HkJ>.

In response to this Court’s question, we have reviewed 3,823 orders and opinions, entered between 1832 and October 7, 2021, in which there was some indication that a Justice had been recused, asked to recuse, disqualified, or did not participate. *See Appendices B-1 & B-2.* In 1,646 of these orders and opinions, it is unknown whether the Justice’s non-participation was due to recusal or some other reason. Appx B-2. In the other 2,177 orders, it is apparent that the Justice recused or was asked to recuse. Appx B-1. 21 of these orders were in response to motions to recuse filed by one of the parties; in the remaining 2,156, the recusal appears to have been *sua sponte*. *Id.* Therefore, in 99% of those cases where recusal was evident, it was based on a single Justice, *sua sponte*, exercising the Justice’s own determination about impartiality or the perception of impartiality.

In none of the cases we reviewed is there any indication that the Justice who recused was forced to do so by his or her colleagues, against his or her judgment. That is certainly true for the *sua sponte* recusals, and the same is true for the 21 orders involving motions to recuse. In 14 of those cases, the Justice who was the subject of a

recusal motion *did not* recuse from the decision on the motion—refuting any suggestion that this Court has a standard practice of involuntarily recusing a Justice who is the subject of a motion to recuse. *Id.* In seven of those cases, the order notes that the Justice in question recused from the Court’s resolution of the motion to recuse. *Id.*<sup>7</sup> But there is no suggestion that the recusal from the recusal determination in these cases was involuntary. Accordingly, the best interpretation of these seven orders is that the Justice in question determined, after reviewing the motion, voluntarily to delegate the decision on the recusal motion to the other Justices. *Cf. Poole*, 305 N.C. at 320, 289 S.E.2d at 343. Those orders therefore provide no precedent for involuntary recusal. Indeed, in *none* of those seven cases was the recusal motion granted by the Court—the motions were denied or dismissed in each instance.

As explained above, the Court lacks the authority to depart from its traditional procedure and impose a new procedure that authorizes other justices to involuntary recuse another sitting justice. And even if it had that authority, allowing involuntary recusal would be ill-advised.

---

<sup>7</sup> In three of these instances, the order does not expressly state that the Justice who recused was the target of a recusal motion, but we assume that was the case for the sake of analysis. *See State v. Dove*, 369 N.C. 67, 793 S.E.2d 239 (2016); *Hill v. Hill*, 360 N.C. 577, 635 S.E.2d 600 (2006); *State v. Tunstall*, 545 S.E.2d 220 (N.C. 2000).

4. **Are there any differences in the principles to be utilized in determining whether a justice of a court of last resort should be recused and those governing the recusal of a judicial official serving as a member of a trial court or lower appellate court?**

The substance and procedure of recusal decisions should differ for a court of last resort for a number of important reasons. Perhaps the most significant procedural difference is that for a court of last resort, there is by definition no higher judicial body that can hear an appeal of a refusal to recuse.<sup>8</sup>

A second procedural difference is that the general practice in courts of last resort—including the U.S. Supreme Court as well as this Court—is for every Member of the Court to sit on every case. That is distinct from the practice in the lower courts, where a single trial-court judge hears the case in the first instance and a smaller panel of intermediate-appellate-court judges sit on the initial appeal. This difference complicates a judge’s determination whether to recuse or refer a motion to recuse to a colleague on the bench. In the lower courts, a motion to recuse may be referred to a judge who will not otherwise participate in the decision of the case; and if a judge is recused, his or her replacement may be randomly assigned—and the litigants will thus not know, in advance, how recusal will affect the makeup of the court.

Indeed, because our trial judges rotate, absent an appointment via General Practice Rule 2.1, litigants may not even know who their trial court judge will be until the hearing. And, in the Court of Appeals, briefing is typically complete before a panel

---

<sup>8</sup> Subject to the limited exception that the U.S. Supreme Court may review a refusal to recuse if it violates the federal Due Process Clause. *See supra*, pp. 6-7.

is assigned and pre-argument motions are referred to an undisclosed panel of three judges. There is little incentive to weaponize an impartiality concern with a rotating trial judge or a member of a randomly assigned panel of three Court of Appeals judges. There is also considerably more flexibility for trial court judges or Court of Appeals judges to recuse themselves without concern to the course of the litigation.

The situation with a court of last resort is different. A motion to recuse, if referred to another justice, will be decided by a Justice or Justices “with whom he may continue to serve in a collegial capacity in deciding the case.” ABA COMM’N ON STANDARDS OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, *supra*, at 74. And litigants will know, in advance, how the recusal of a given Justice will affect the composition of the court that hears their appeal. In addition to seriously harming the collegiality of the institution, this creates the risk of two pernicious consequences. First, when a Justice refers a motion to recuse to one or more of his or her colleagues, it “create[s] an undesirable situation in which the Court could affect the outcome of a case by selecting who among its Members may participate.” *2011 Year-End Report*, *supra*, at 8. Second, in closely divided or politically charged cases, litigants would have every incentive to attempt to strategically force the recusal of justices they believed to be unfavorably disposed to their position.

Not only is the risk of damage to the integrity of the judicial process higher for a court of last resort; the effect of such damage is also more grave because of the unique role played by a jurisdiction’s highest court. A court of last resort, like this Court, is designed to be the ultimate arbiter of the law in the jurisdiction where it

sits. It alone supervises the conduct of the courts below it, gives the final word on the most consequential legal disputes of the day, and maintains the consistency of the law by authoritatively settling those issues that have divided our Court of Appeals. Because it occupies this critical role, it is of the utmost importance that the highest court be able both to authoritatively settle the cases that come before it and to do so in a way that commands the trust of the public and the legal profession.

As discussed above, promoting recusal of a Justice too readily, or against the Justice's own judgment, harms the Court in both of these ways. First, it increases the likelihood that the case will end in deadlock. As then Justice Rehnquist explained in the context of the U.S. Supreme Court,

While it can seldom be predicted with confidence at the time that a Justice addresses himself to the issue of disqualification whether or not the Court in a particular case will be closely divided, the disqualification of one Justice of this Court raises the possibility of an affirmance of the judgment below by an equally divided Court. The consequence attending such a result is, of course, that the principle of law presented by the case is left unsettled.

*Laird*, 409 U.S. at 837-38 (Memorandum of Rehnquist, J.). Second, as also detailed above, the possibility that the litigants, or the Court itself, could manipulate the composition of the body that hears a given case, and thus potentially the outcome of the case, would pose a dire threat to the legitimacy of the Court and the perception that it is a neutral body governed by law rather than raw political power.

These considerations bear strongly on the appropriate procedure used to decide recusal motions, and they militate against granting a court of last resort the power to force one or more of its own Members off of a case. These considerations also affect

the substance of the recusal analysis, underscoring the duty of a Justice to sit on a case unless the necessity of recusal has been adequately demonstrated. *See infra*, Part II.6.

5. **What effect should the filing of a motion that a particular justice be recused have upon the process followed in making the recusal decision? Should any distinction be made in the handling of recusal motions predicated upon constitutional and non-constitutional grounds? Should the justice who is the subject of the recusal motion participate in the determination of that motion?**

A. The Code of Judicial Conduct provides that a judge should recuse “[o]n motion of any party” where his or her “impartiality may reasonably be questioned,” but it also states that “[n]othing in this Canon shall preclude a judge from disqualifying himself/herself from participating in any proceeding upon the judge’s own initiative.” N.C.C.J.C. Canon 3(C)(1), (D). The Court of Appeals has stated that Canon 3 “does not impose an affirmative duty upon a trial judge to disqualify himself or herself, upon their own motion,” though it “certainly encourages” the practice. *In re Key*, 182 N.C. App. 714, 719, 643 S.E.2d 452, 456, *writ denied, review denied*, 361 N.C. 428 (2007). Therefore, there is some authority for the proposition that a judge need not disqualify themselves in the absence of a motion. But to the extent a judge *does* consider recusal *sua sponte*, Defendants do not believe that the process for the recusal decision should differ in such cases from those cases where a motion to recuse has been filed. In either instance this Court cannot require involuntary recusal, for the reasons discussed above.

B. Defendants do not believe there is any basis for distinguishing between recusal motions based on constitutional and non-constitutional grounds. Canon 3, the only provision governing recusal in this Court, does not draw any such distinction. Nor does the constitutional or non-constitutional basis for a recusal motion have any bearing on the considerations, discussed above, dictating that this Court lacks authority to establish procedures requiring a Justice's involuntary recusal.

C. As explained above, not only should the Justice who is the subject of a recusal motion participate in the determination of the motion, under the plain text of Canon 3, the decision on recusal is vested solely in the Justice "himself/herself." N.C.C.J.C. Canon 3(C)(1). While the Justice may decide voluntarily to refer the decision on the motion in certain circumstances to the Court as a whole, that decision, too, is for the Justice to make himself or herself.

**6. What effect should any "duty to sit" have in the process of deciding whether a justice of a court of last resort should be recused? Does the fact that a justice of a state court of last resort is elected, rather than appointed, have any bearing upon the recusal analysis? Does an elected justice have an individual constitutional right to participate in deciding every case that comes before the Court and, if so, what is the source and extent of any such right? Does the involuntary recusal of a justice have any impact upon the constitutional or statutory rights of any party to the underlying case?**

A. Under the "duty to sit" doctrine, "absent good cause for recusal, judges have an obligation to remain on and decide a given case." *Presbyterian Church (U.S.A.) v. Edwards*, 594 S.W.3d 199, 204 (Ky. 2018) (VanMeter, J.). Even if "it would be easier and less hassle for the justice to grant the motion for

disqualification/recusal,” the duty to sit mandates that a judge “should [not] disqualify himself or herself unless disqualification is *required*.” *State v. Henley*, 778 N.W.2d 853, 862 (Wis. 2009) (Roggensack, J.); *see also Laird*, 409 U.S. at 837 (Memorandum of Rehnquist, J.). While we have been unable to find any North Carolina case law bearing on the issue, the federal courts recognize this duty, *see Cheney*, 541 U.S. at 915-16 (Memorandum of Scalia, J.); *2011 Year-End Report, supra*, at 9, as do the courts of at least 29 States. *See Appendix A.*

The consequences of a judge’s recusal—and the concomitant need for a robust duty to sit—are heightened for courts of last resort. As discussed above, there is no method for substituting a recused Justice on such a court,<sup>9</sup> thereby raising the possibility of an equally divided decision that fails to settle the law. And a recusal process that is subject to manipulation by litigants for seemingly partisan ends would gravely harm the integrity of the highest court and its ability to carry out its solemn constitutional duties. Accordingly, the recusal process should reflect the fact that a Justice’s recusal could have severe consequences on the proper administration of justice, and that if “judges are quick to recuse themselves on improper grounds, the frequency of such recusals would only serve to undermine public confidence in the impartiality of all judges.” *State v. Hunt*, 527 A.2d 223, 224 (Vt. 1987). By declining

---

<sup>9</sup> For a short period beginning in late 2016 and ending in early 2017, this Court approved Appellate Rule 29.1, a rule of appellate procedure that discussed recalling retired justices for service in situations where a Justice recused themselves. The impetus for the Rule’s inclusion and removal is uncertain.

to allow the involuntary recusal of a Justice who determines recusal is not necessary or appropriate, North Carolina law properly recognizes these imperatives.

B. In jurisdictions with judicial elections, there is in principle less need for involuntary recusal, because a judge who abuses his or her discretion over the recusal determination can be held accountable by the voters. That said, it appears that neither the federal judiciary nor other state judicial systems have taken this characteristic into account in fashioning their recusal procedures. For example, in Wisconsin, where state supreme court justices are elected through non-partisan elections, “determining whether to recuse is the sole responsibility of the individual justice for whom disqualification from participation is sought.” *Henley*, 802 N.W.2d at 184. The same is true in New York, where state high court justices are appointed by the governor. *See Ratajczak v. Yoonessi*, 945 N.E.2d 1030 (N.Y. 2011). Conversely, the minority of States that have provided for involuntary recusal include both States where supreme court justices are elected as well as States where justices are appointed. Compare Appendix A, with National Center for State Courts, *Methods of Judicial Selection*, <https://bit.ly/3FBdU4C>.<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>10</sup> Because judicial candidates in North Carolina are free to discuss their judicial philosophy and their partisan affiliation is revealed on the ballot, it is fair to assume that voters take these factors into account. Thus, in a politically charged case, an attempt by one side to force the recusal of Justices whom they view as less favorable to their arguments is an effort to override the decision of the electorate. In this case, Plaintiffs thus seek to silence North Carolina voters in four separate instances: the passage of two constitutional amendments and the elections of Justices Barringer and Berger to this Court to hear, among other things, constitutional cases. This Court should regard these efforts to disregard the decisions of North Carolina voters with great skepticism.

C. As explained above, there is a lack of authority for Justices forcing the recusal of one of the Court’s Members. This Court therefore need not address any constitutional issues that would be raised by such an action. Any unauthorized attempt to force a Member of this Court off of a case against his or her will, however, would raise grave due process concerns. *See, e.g., Pellegrino v. AMPCO Sys. Parking*, 485 Mich. 1134, 1185–86, 1191–92 (2010) (Young, J., not participating).

D. If involuntary recusal were applied for nakedly political reasons, or in an *ultra vires* way, that could also run afoul of the Due Process Clause. The United States Constitution and North Carolina law guarantee litigants the right to appear before judges who are free of bias or the appearance thereof. *See Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., Inc.*, 556 U.S. 868, 876 (2009). And just as an individual Justice could violate a litigant’s due process rights by *failing* to recuse in the face of an “unconstitutional potential for bias,” *id.* at 881, a litigant’s due process rights could be equally violated by *forcing* judicial recusal based on nakedly political reasons. Forcing Justices to recuse in this way would evidence a bias on the part of the Justices who voted in favor of recusal, or at the very least create an objective and reasonable perception that the Justices were forcing their colleagues off the case to affect the outcome. Accordingly, a vote by some Justices to recuse other Justices against their will could violate the due process rights of the litigants to the underlying case by rendering the voting Justices susceptible to “a serious risk of actual bias.” *Id.* at 884.

**7. Should written rules be adopted to govern the recusal of a member of this Court who elects to refrain from recusing himself or herself? If so, what entity should adopt any such rules? And what should be the content of those rules?**

For the reasons set forth in detail above, written rules to govern the recusal of a member of this Court who elects to refrain from recusing himself or herself should not be adopted. *See supra*, Part I.b. Because Defendants believe the adoption of new recusal rules would be inappropriate, they take no position on the potential content of those rules—except to emphasize that under the principles of sound judicial administration discussed above, *supra* Part I.c, any rule that allowed for the involuntary recusal of a Justice would pose a grave risk to the integrity, effectiveness, and reputation of this Court.

**8. Should any such rules incorporate a process for the making of findings of fact? If so, what person or entity should make those findings and what procedures should be employed in order to facilitate the making of any such findings? What should be the standard of proof utilized in making those findings of fact? And what burden of proof, if any, is applicable to the fact-finding process and who bears it?**

Because Defendants do not believe that the Court can promulgate rules governing the recusal process outside of the Commission, which specifically investigates and finds facts, they take no position on how such other rules should treat any potential factual disputes bearing on the recusal determination.

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully submit that the Court should continue to follow the Code of Judicial Conduct's direction that each individual

Justice must determine for himself or herself whether it is necessary and appropriate to recuse—both in the underlying matter and in the determination whether or not to recuse—subject only to the constraints of due process, discipline by the JSC, and the need to stand for reelection.

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of November 2021.

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

By: /s/ Electronically submitted

D. Martin Warf  
N.C. State Bar No. 32982  
Tel: (919) 329-3800  
[martin.warf@nelsonmullins.com](mailto:martin.warf@nelsonmullins.com)  
4140 Parklake Avenue, Suite 200  
Raleigh, NC 27612

N.C. R. App. P. 33(b) Certification: I certify that all of the attorneys listed below have authorized me to list their names on this document as if they had personally signed it.

Noah H. Huffstetler, III  
N.C. State Bar No. 7170  
[noah.huffstetler@nelsonmullins.com](mailto:noah.huffstetler@nelsonmullins.com)  
4140 Parklake Avenue, Suite 200  
Raleigh, NC 27612

*Counsel for Defendant-Appellants Philip E. Berger, in his official capacity as President Pro Tempore of the North Carolina Senate, and Timothy K. Moore, in his official capacity as Speaker of the North Carolina House of Representatives*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing **Defendant-Appellees' Initial Supplemental Brief** was served upon the parties via e-mail to the attorneys named below:

Kimberley Hunter  
David Neal  
Southern Environmental Law Center  
601 W. Rosemary Street, Suite 220  
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-2356  
[khunter@selcnc.org](mailto:khunter@selcnc.org)  
[dneal@selcnc.org](mailto:dneal@selcnc.org)

*Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant NC NAACP*

Irving Joyner  
PO Box 374  
Cary, NC 27512  
[ijoyner@nccu.edu](mailto:ijoyner@nccu.edu)

Daryl V. Atkinson  
Kathleen F. Roblez  
Forward Justice  
400 W. Main Street, Suite 203  
Durham, NC 27701  
[daryl@forwardjustice.org](mailto:daryl@forwardjustice.org)  
[kroblez@forwardjustice.org](mailto:kroblez@forwardjustice.org)

*Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant NC NAACP*

and upon amici curiae via email to the attorneys named below:

Daniel F. E. Smith  
Jim W. Phillips, Jr.  
Eric M. David  
Kasi W. Robinson  
Brooks, Pierce, McLendon, Humphrey & Leonard, L.L.P.  
Suite 2000 Renaissance Plaza  
230 North Elm Street (27401)  
Post Office Box 26000

Greensboro, NC 27420-6000  
[dsmith@brookspierce.com](mailto:dsmith@brookspierce.com)  
[jphillips@brookspierce.com](mailto:jphillips@brookspierce.com)  
[edavid@brookspierce.com](mailto:edavid@brookspierce.com)  
[krobinson@brookspierce.com](mailto:krobinson@brookspierce.com)

*Counsel for Roy Cooper, Governor of the State of North Carolina*

Robert E. Harrington  
Adam K. Doerr  
Erik R. Zimmerman  
Travis S. Hinman  
Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A.  
101 North Tryon Street, Suite 1900  
Charlotte, NC 28246  
[rharrington@robinsonbradshaw.com](mailto:rharrington@robinsonbradshaw.com)  
[adoerr@robinsonbradshaw.com](mailto:adoerr@robinsonbradshaw.com)  
[ezimmerman@robinsonbradshaw.com](mailto:ezimmerman@robinsonbradshaw.com)  
[thinman@robinsonbradshaw.com](mailto:thinman@robinsonbradshaw.com)

*Counsel for North Carolina Legislative Black Caucus*

Colin A. Shive  
Robert F. Orr  
150 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1800  
Raleigh, NC 27601  
[cshive@tharringtonsmith.com](mailto:cshive@tharringtonsmith.com)  
[orr@rforrlaw.com](mailto:orr@rforrlaw.com)

*Counsel for North Carolina Professors of Constitutional Law*

Jaclyn Maffetore  
Leah J. Kang  
Kristi L. Graunke  
ACLU of North Carolina Legal Foundation  
PO Box 28004  
Raleigh, NC 27611-8004  
[jmaffetore@acluofnc.org](mailto:jmaffetore@acluofnc.org)  
[lkang@acluofnc.org](mailto:lkang@acluofnc.org)  
[kgraunke@acluofnc.org](mailto:kgraunke@acluofnc.org)

*Counsel for ACLU of North Carolina*

John J. Korzen  
Wake Forest University School of Law  
PO Box 7206  
Winston Salem, NC 27109-7206  
[korzenjj@wfu.edu](mailto:korzenjj@wfu.edu)

*Counsel for Democracy North Carolina*

Douglas B. Abrams  
Noah B. Abrahms  
Abrams & Abrams  
1526 Glenwood Avenue  
Raleigh, NC 27608  
[dabrams@abramslawfirm.com](mailto:dabrams@abramslawfirm.com)  
[nabrams@abramslawfirm.com](mailto:nabrams@abramslawfirm.com)

Matthew E. Lee  
Whitfield Bryson LLP  
900 W. Morgan Street  
Raleigh, NC 27603  
[matt@whitfieldbryson.com](mailto:matt@whitfieldbryson.com)

*Counsel for NC Advocates for Justice*

R. Daniel Gibson  
Stam Law Firm PLLC  
PO Box 1600  
Apex, NC 27502  
[Dan@Stamlawfirm.com](mailto:Dan@Stamlawfirm.com)

Prof. John V. Orth  
University of NC School of Law Center  
Center for Civil Rights  
CB 3380  
Chapel Hill, NC 27599  
[jvorth@email.unc.edu](mailto:jvorth@email.unc.edu)

*Counsel for John V. Orth*

Mary Ellen Murphy  
1729 Virginia Road  
Winston-Salem, NC 27104  
[murphyme@wfu.edu](mailto:murphyme@wfu.edu)

*Counsel for North Carolina Professors of Professional Responsibility*

Pressly M. Millen  
Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP  
555 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1100  
Raleigh, NC 27601  
[Press.Millen@wbd-us.com](mailto:Press.Millen@wbd-us.com)

*Counsel for Former Chairs of the North Carolina Judicial Standards Commission*

Jeanette Doran  
President and General Counsel  
NC Institute for Constitutional Law  
4800 Six Forks Rd., Suite 220  
Raleigh, NC 27609  
[jeanette.doran@ncicl.org](mailto:jeanette.doran@ncicl.org)

*Counsel for North Carolina Institute for Constitutional Law and The John Locke Foundation*

This the 4th day of November, 2021.

/s/ Electronically submitted  
D. Martin Warf  
N.C. State Bar No. 32982  
Tel: (919) 329-3800  
[martin.warf@nelsonmullins.com](mailto:martin.warf@nelsonmullins.com)  
4140 Parklake Avenue, Suite 200  
Raleigh, NC 27612

No. 261A18-3

TENTH DISTRICT

SUPREME COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA

\*\*\*\*\*

NORTH CAROLINA STATE  
CONFERENCE OF THE NATIONAL  
ASSOCIATION FOR THE  
ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED  
PEOPLE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

From Wake County

vs.

TIM MOORE, in his official capacity,  
and PHILIP BERGER, in his official  
capacity,

Defendant-Appellees.

\*\*\*\*\*

APPENDIX TO  
DEFENDANT-APPELLEES' INITIAL SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

\*\*\*\*\*

## INDEX

Appendix A .....	App 1
Chief Justice of the United States, <i>2011 Year-End Report on the Federal Judiciary</i> (2011).....	App 4
Hon. James G. Exum, Jr., Hon. Burley B. Mitchell, Jr., & Hon. Mark D. Martin, Former NC Supreme Court justices: What we think about recusal controversy, RALEIGH NEWS & OBSERVER, Oct. 24, 2021.....	App 20
Report of the North Carolina State Constitution Study Commission (1968).....	App 24
John P. Frank, <i>Disqualification of Judges</i> , 56 YALE L.J. 605 (1947).....	App 28
Timothy J. Goodson, <i>Duck, Duck, Goose: Hunting for Better Recusal Practices in the United States Supreme Court in Light of Cheney v. United States District Court</i> , 84 N.C. L. Rev. 181 (2005) .....	App 30
Appendix B-1 .....	App 34
Appendix B-2 .....	App 213

## Appendix A

## - App 1-

<u>State</u>	<u>Does the State Authorize Involuntary Recusal in the Court of Last Resort?</u>	<u>If So, What Authority and Process Were Used to Establish the Practice?</u>	<u>Does the State Follow a Duty to Sit?</u>
<b>Alabama</b>	No. <i>See Brackin v. Trimmier L. Firm</i> , 897 So. 2d 207, 230 (Ala. 2004) (Statement of Brown, J); <i>Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Lavoie</i> , 470 So.2d 1060, 1089 (Ala. 1984), <i>vacated on other grounds</i> , 475 U.S. 813 (1996); Brennan Center for Justice, Judicial Recusal Reform 23 n.47 (2016), <a href="https://bit.ly/2X2IOS1">https://bit.ly/2X2IOS1</a> ("Brennan Center Report").		Yes. <i>Ex parte Siegel</i> , 2021 WL 3009695, at *4-5 (Ala. Civ. App. July 16, 2021).
<b>Alaska</b>	Yes. Alaska Stat. Ann. § 22.20.020.	Involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court appears to have been first authorized by statute (Alaska 1979). <i>See</i> 1989 Alaska Sess. Laws ch. 50, sec. 38.	Yes. <i>Amidon v. State</i> , 604 P.2d 575, 577
<b>Arizona</b>	No. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		Perhaps. <i>Compare Conkling v. Crosby</i> , 239 P. 506, 511-12 (Ariz. 1925), <i>with</i> Jeffery W. Stempel, <i>Chief William's Ghost: The Problematic Persistence of the Duty to Sit Doctrine</i> , 57 Buff. L. Rev. 813, 890 n.196 (2009). Yes. <i>Robinson Nursing &amp; Rehab. Ctr., LLC v. Phillips</i> , 2016 Ark. 388, 4 (2016). Yes. <i>Wechsler v. Superior Court</i> , 224 Cal. App. 4th 384, 391 (2014).
<b>Arkansas</b>	No. <i>See Thomas v. State</i> , 2019 Ark. 237, 4 (2019).		
<b>California</b>	Yes. <i>See Mosk v. Superior Ct.</i> , 601 P.2d 1030, 1034 & n.2 (Cal. 1979); Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.	Involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court appears to be available as a matter of uncodified practice, but the authority for this practice is unclear.	Yes. <i>Phillips</i> , 2016 Ark. 388, 4 (2016). Yes. <i>Wechsler v. Superior Court</i> , 224 Cal. App. 4th 384, 391 (2014).
<b>Colorado</b>	No. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		Yes. <i>People v. Thoro Products Co.</i> , 45 P.3d 737, 747 (Colo. App. 2001).
<b>Connecticut</b>	Yes. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.	Involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court appears to be available as a matter of uncodified practice, but the authority for this practice is unclear.	Yes. <i>Jordaan v. Wayland</i> , 2008 WL 544619, at *2 n.1 (Conn. Super. Ct. Jan. 1, 2008).
<b>Delaware</b>	No. Del. R. S. Ct. I.O.P. 19.		Yes. <i>State v. Desmond</i> , 2011 WL 91984, at *9 (Del. Super. Ct. Jan. 5, 2011). No. <i>In re Estate of Carlton</i> , 378 So. 2d 1212, 1220 (Fla. 1979) (Overton, J., statement on denial of request for recusal).
<b>Florida</b>	No. <i>In re Est. of Carlton</i> , 378 So. 2d 1212, 1216 (Fla. 1979)		
<b>Georgia</b>	No. Ga. R. S. Ct. Rule 26.		Yes. <i>Hampton Island Founders, LLC v. Liberty Capital, LLC</i> , 283 Ga. 289, 297 (2008).
<b>Hawaii</b>	No. <i>See Haw. R. Sup. Ct. EX B CJC 2.11</i> ; Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		Yes. <i>Kondaur Capital Corp. v. Matsuyoshi</i> , 2021 WL 1331001, at *3 (Haw. Ct. App. Apr. 9, 2021).
<b>Idaho</b>	No. <i>Bradbury v. Idaho Jud. Council</i> , 233 P.3d 38, 44 (Ida. 2009).		Likely not. <i>See</i> Stempel, <i>supra</i> , at 890.
<b>Illinois</b>	No. <i>In re Marriage of O'Brien</i> , 958 N.E.2d 647, 661 (Ill. 2011).		Likely not. <i>See</i> Stempel, <i>supra</i> , at 890.
<b>Indiana</b>	No. <i>National City Bank, Ind. v. Shortridge</i> , 691 N.E.2d 1210, 1211 (Ind. 1998)		Yes. <i>In re Edwards</i> , 694 N.E.2d 701, 711 (Ind. 1998).
<b>Iowa</b>	No. <i>See Forsmark v. State</i> , 349 N.W.2d 763, 767 (Iowa 1984); Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		Yes. <i>In re Krull</i> , 860 N.W.2d 38, 47 (Iowa 2015).
<b>Kansas</b>	No. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		No. <i>State v. Logan</i> , 678 P.2d 181, 186 (1984) (Abbott, J., concurring).
<b>Kentucky</b>	No. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		Yes. <i>Abbott, Inc. v. Guirguis</i> , 626 S.W.3d 475, 484 (Ky. 2021).
<b>Louisiana</b>	Yes. La. Code Civ. Proc. Ann. art. 157.	Involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court is authorized by the state Code of Civil Procedure, and this appears to have been the law since at least 1960. The Code of Civil Procedure is enacted by the state legislature, and it supersedes any inconsistent procedural rules promulgated by the courts. <i>See</i> La. Const. art. 5, § 5(a).	Yes. <i>In re Lemoine</i> , 686 So. 2d 837, 839-40 (La. Jan. 14, 1997).
<b>Maine</b>	No. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		Yes. <i>State v. Clark</i> , A.3d 1165, 1175 (Me. 2021).
<b>Maryland</b>	No. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		Yes. <i>Conner v. State</i> , 248 A.3d 318, 327 (2021).
<b>Massachusetts</b>	Yes. R. Mass. S.J.C. 1:22.	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court was adopted by that Court in 2010. Order (Mass. Sept. 20, 2010), <a href="https://bit.ly/3FCJpvh">https://bit.ly/3FCJpvh</a> . The Massachusetts legislature has expressly delegated to the Supreme Court the authority to promulgate rules governing the practice and business of the state courts. M.G.L.A. ch 213, § 3.	No. <i>Commonwealth v. Deconinck</i> , 103 N.E.3d 716, 728 (2018).

## Appendix A

## - App 2-

<u>State</u>	<u>Does the State Authorize Involuntary Recusal in the Court of Last Resort?</u>	<u>If So, What Authority and Process Were Used to Establish the Practice?</u>	<u>Does the State Follow a Duty to Sit?</u>
<b>Michigan</b>	Yes. Mich. Ct. R. 2.003.	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court was adopted by that Court in 2009. Mich. Ct. R. 2.0003 staff cmt. to 2009 amend. The Michigan legislature has expressly delegated to the Supreme Court the authority to promulgate rules governing the practice and procedure of the state courts. M.C.L.A. § 600.223.	Unclear. Compare <i>Kendzierski v. Macomb County</i> , 921 N.W.2d 329, 330 (2019) (Statement of Viviano, J.), with <i>Grievance Adm'r v. Fieger</i> , 477 Mich. 1228, 1254 (2006) (Weaver, J., dissenting).
<b>Minnesota</b>	Yes. Minn. R. Civ. App. P. 141.01.	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court was adopted by that Court in 2016. Order Promulgating Amendment sto the Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure (Minn. Apr. 14, 2016), <a href="https://bit.ly/3asyWUM">https://bit.ly/3asyWUM</a> . The Minnesota legislature has expressly delegated to the Supreme Court the authority to promulgate rules governing the practice and procedure of the state courts. M.S.A. § 480.05.	No. <i>State v. Malone</i> , 963 N.W.2d 453, 464 (Minn. 2021).
<b>Mississippi</b>	Yes. Miss. R. App. P. 48C.	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court was adopted by that Court in 2002. Order (Miss. Oct. 17, 2002), <a href="https://bit.ly/3axxsAng">https://bit.ly/3axxsAng</a> . The Mississippi legislature has expressly delegated to the Supreme Court the authority to promulgate rules governing the practice and procedure of the state courts. Miss. Code Ann. § 9-3-1.	Yes. <i>Hathcock v. S. Farm Bureau Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , 912 So. 2d 844, 848 (Miss. 2005).
<b>Missouri</b>	No. See Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		Yes. <i>Smulls v. State</i> , 10 S.W.3d 497, 499 (Mo. 2000).
<b>Montana</b>	Yes. See <i>Reichert v. State ex rel. McCulloch</i> , 278 P.3d 455, 471 (Mont. 2012); Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.	Involuntary recusal appears to be available as a matter of uncodified practice, but the authority for this practice is unclear.	Yes. <i>In re Estate Boland</i> , 450 P.3d 849, 859 (Mont. 2019)
<b>Nebraska</b>	No. See Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.		Likely not. See <i>Stempel, supra</i> , at 890.
<b>Nevada</b>	Yes. Nev. Rev. Stat. § 1.225.	Involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court appears to have been first authorized by statute in 1957. See 1957 Nev. Stat. 521.	Yes. <i>Millen v. Eighth Judicial Dist. ex rel. County of Clark</i> , 122 Nev. 1245, 1253 (2006).
<b>New Hampshire</b>	Yes. N.H. R. S. Ct. 21AA.	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court was adopted by that Court in 2011. Order (N.H. Jan. 19, 2011), <a href="https://bit.ly/3Dz3ZLb">https://bit.ly/3Dz3ZLb</a> . The New Hampshire Constitution expressly vests the Supreme Court with the authority to promulgate rules governing the administration, practice, and procedure of the state courts. N.H. Const. pt. 2, art. 73-1.	Likely not. See <i>Stempel, supra</i> , at 890-91 n.199.
<b>New Jersey</b>	Yes. See Brennan Center Report at 23 n.47.	Involuntary recusal appears to be available as a matter of uncodified practice, but the authority for this practice is unclear.	Yes. <i>State v. Marshall</i> , 690 A.2d 1, 94 (1997).
<b>New Mexico</b>	No. See Brennan Center Report at 23-24 n.47.		Yes. <i>State v. Trujillo</i> , 222 P.3d 1040, 1043 (N. Mex. Ct. App. 2009).
<b>New York</b>	Yes, in limited circumstances. See N.Y. Judiciary Law § 14; <i>Ratajczak v. Yoonessi</i> , 945 N.E.2d 1030 (N.Y. 2011).	By statute, disqualification is required on narrow grounds such as participation in the case as a party or attorney or relation to a party. Case law suggests that full-Court review of a motion to disqualify under this Statute is available, but the authority for this rule is unclear.	Likely not. See <i>Stempel, supra</i> , at 890.
<b>North Dakota</b>	No. N.D. R. C.J.C. Rule 2.11.		Yes. <i>Rath v. Rath</i> , 876 N.W.2d 474, 484 (N.D. 2016).
<b>Ohio</b>	No. Ohio S. Ct. Prac. R. 4.04.		Likely not. See <i>Stempel, supra</i> , at 890.
<b>Oklahoma</b>	No. See Brennan Center Report at 24 n.47.		No. <i>Casey v. Casey</i> , 270 P.3d 109, 112 (Okla. 2011).
<b>Oregon</b>	Yes. Or. R. App. P. 8.30.	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court was adopted by that Court in 2000. Order Adopting Amendments (Or. Oct. 19, 2000), <a href="https://bit.ly/3lxGBri">https://bit.ly/3lxGBri</a> . The Oregon legislature has expressly delegated to the Supreme Court the authority to promulgate rules governing the business of the Supreme Court. O.R.S. § 2.120.	Likely not. See <i>Stempel, supra</i> , at 890.
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	No. <i>The Justice Decides. Com. v. Abu-Jamal</i> , 720 A.2d 121, 122 (Pa. 1998).		Likely not. See <i>Stempel, supra</i> , at 890.
<b>Rhode Island</b>	No. See Brennan Center Report at 24 n.47.		Yes. <i>State v. Segrain</i> , 252 A.3d 1255, 1272 (R.I. 2021).
<b>South Carolina</b>	No. See Brennan Center Report at 24 n.47.		Yes. <i>Simpson v. Simpson</i> , 660 S.E.2d 274, 278 (Ct. App. 2008).
<b>South Dakota</b>	No. See Brennan Center Report at 24 n.47.		Yes. <i>Marko v. Marko</i> , 816 N.W.2d 820, 826 (S.D. 2012).

## Appendix A

### - App 3-

<u>State</u>	<u>Does the State Authorize Involuntary Recusal in the Court of Last Resort?</u>	<u>If So, What Authority and Process Were Used to Establish the Practice?</u>	<u>Does the State Follow a Duty to Sit?</u>
Tennessee	Yes. Tenn. R. S. Ct. 10B.	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court was adopted by that Court in 2012. <i>See</i> Tenn. R. S. Ct. 10B Credits. The Tennessee legislature has expressly delegated to the Supreme Court the authority to promulgate rules governing the practice and business of the Supreme Court. T.C.A. § 16-3-401.	Likely not. <i>See</i> Stempel, <i>supra</i> , at 890.
Texas	Yes. Tex. R. App. P. 16.3.	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court was adopted by that Court in 1997. <i>See</i> Tex. R. App. P. 16.3 Credits. The Texas legislature has expressly delegated to the Supreme Court the authority to promulgate rules governing the practice and business of the Supreme Court. Tex. Govt. Code §§ 22.003, 22.004.	Yes. <i>Ex parte Ellis</i> , 275 S.W.3d 109, 115 (Tex. App. 2008).
Utah	Yes. <i>See</i> Utah R. Civ. P. 63; <i>In re Affidavit of Bias</i> , 947 P.2d 1152, 1152-54 (Utah 1997).	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court has been in place since at least 1999. <i>See</i> Utah R. Civ. P. 63 Credits. We have been unable to determine whether the provision allowing for involuntary recusal has been part of the rule for all of that time, or if it was in force in some form prior to 1999. The Utah Rules of Civil Procedure are promulgated by the state Supreme Court, pursuant to an express delegation from the state legislature. Utah Code Ann. § 78A-3-103.	Yes. <i>Hi-Country Estates Homeowners Ass'n v. Bagley &amp; Co.</i> , 996 P.2d 534, 538 (Utah 2000).
Vermont	Yes. Vt. R. App. P. 27.1.	The rule authorizing involuntary recusal in the Supreme Court was adopted by that Court in 1987. <i>See</i> Vt. R. App. P. 31 Reporters' Notes-1987 Emergency Amendment. The Vermont legislature has expressly delegated to the Supreme Court the authority to promulgate rules governing the practice and procedure of the Supreme Court. 12 V.S.A. § 1.	Yes. <i>State v. Hunt</i> , 147 Vt. 631, 632, 527 A.2d 223, 224 (1987).
Virginia	No. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 24 n.47.		Perhaps. <i>Compare Commonwealth v. Prieto</i> , 84 Va. Cir. 567 (Va. Ct. App. 2010), with Stempel, <i>supra</i> , at 890.
Washington	No. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 24 n.47.		No. <i>State v. Graham</i> , 91 Wash. App. 663, 669-70 (1998).
West Virginia	No. W. Va. R. App. P. 33.		Likely not. <i>State ex rel. Mun. Water Works v. Swope</i> , 835 S.E.2d 122, 128 (W. Va. 2019).
Wisconsin	No. <i>State v. Henley</i> , 802 N.W.2d 175, 181 (Wi. 2011).		Perhaps. <i>Compare State v. Henley</i> , 778 N.W.2d 853, 862 (Wis. 2010) (Roggensack, J., mem. decision), with Stempel, <i>supra</i> , at 890.
Wyoming	No. <i>See</i> Brennan Center Report at 24 n.47.		Yes. <i>Matter of Farman</i> , 841 P.2d 99, 101 (Wyo. 1992).

**EMBARGOED until 6 p.m. E.S.T.  
December 31, 2011 (No wires, no  
broadcasts, no Internet until  
6 p.m. E.S.T.)**  
For further information, contact the  
Public Information Office  
202-479-3211

## **2011 Year-End Report on the Federal Judiciary**

In 1920, American baseball fans were jolted by allegations that Chicago White Sox players had participated in a scheme to fix the outcome of the 1919 World Series. The team owners responded to the infamous “Black Sox Scandal” by selecting a federal district judge, Kenesaw Mountain Landis, to serve as Commissioner of Baseball and restore confidence in the sport. The public welcomed the selection of a prominent federal judge to purge corruption from baseball. But Judge Landis’s appointment led to another controversy: Could a federal judge remain on the bench while serving as Baseball Commissioner? That controversy brought to the fore a still broader question: Where do federal judges look for guidance in resolving ethics issues?

Since 1789, every federal judge has taken the same solemn oath to “administer justice without respect to persons,” to “do equal right to the poor and to the rich,” and “to faithfully and impartially discharge and perform” the duties of judicial office. But for the first 130 years of the Nation’s

existence, federal judges had no formal source for guidance on the broad array of ethical issues that might arise in the course of judicial service. Judge Landis resolved his situation by resigning his judicial commission in 1922 to focus all his efforts on the national pastime. The controversy, however, prompted organized efforts to develop more guidance for judges. That same year, the American Bar Association asked the Nation's new Chief Justice, former President William Howard Taft, to chair a Commission on Judicial Ethics.

Within two years, Chief Justice Taft's commission produced the ABA's 1924 Canons of Judicial Ethics. The 1924 Canons were advisory. As Chief Justice Taft explained, their 34 general principles served as "a guide and reminder" that federal and state judges could consult in discharging their duties. Since that time, the Judicial Conference of the United States—the policy-making body for the lower federal courts—has adopted and regularly updated its own Code of Judicial Conduct to provide guidance to federal judges. The 1924 Canons provided the foundation for that ongoing undertaking.

Some observers have recently questioned whether the Judicial Conference's Code of Conduct for United States Judges should apply to the Supreme Court. I would like to use my annual report this year to address

that issue, as well as some other related issues that have recently drawn public attention. The space constraints of the annual report prevent me from setting out a detailed dissertation on judicial ethics. And my judicial responsibilities preclude me from commenting on any ongoing debates about particular issues or the constitutionality of any enacted legislation or pending proposals. But I can provide some clarification on how the Justices address ethical issues and dispel some common misconceptions.

#### **A. The Code of Conduct for United States Judges**

What is now known as the Judicial Conference of the United States was created by Congress in 1922 to provide national guidance to the lower federal courts. The Chief Justice chairs the Judicial Conference, which includes the chief judge of each of the 13 federal judicial circuits and a district judge from each circuit. The Judicial Conference conducts much of its work through 25 committees, including the Committee on Codes of Conduct. As noted, that committee has promulgated and periodically revises the Code of Conduct for United States Judges. It also provides advice, on a formal and informal basis, to judges and judicial employees on the meaning and application of the Code's provisions.

The Code of Conduct, by its express terms, applies only to lower federal court judges. That reflects a fundamental difference between the

Supreme Court and the other federal courts. Article III of the Constitution creates only one court, the Supreme Court of the United States, but it empowers Congress to establish additional lower federal courts that the Framers knew the country would need. Congress instituted the Judicial Conference for the benefit of the courts it had created. Because the Judicial Conference is an instrument for the management of the lower federal courts, its committees have no mandate to prescribe rules or standards for any other body.

Some observers have suggested that, because the Judicial Conference's Code of Conduct applies only to the lower federal courts, the Supreme Court is exempt from the ethical principles that lower courts observe. That observation rests on misconceptions about both the Supreme Court and the Code.

All Members of the Court do in fact consult the Code of Conduct in assessing their ethical obligations. In this way, the Code plays the same role for the Justices as it does for other federal judges since, as the commentary accompanying Canon 1 of the Code explains, the Code "is designed to provide guidance to judges." It serves the same purpose as the 1924 Canons that Chief Justice Taft helped to develop, and Justices today use the Code for precisely that purpose. Each does so for the same compelling practical

reason: Every Justice seeks to follow high ethical standards, and the Judicial Conference's Code of Conduct provides a current and uniform source of guidance designed with specific reference to the needs and obligations of the federal judiciary.

The Code of Conduct is not, of course, the only source of guidance for Justices or lower court judges. Because it is phrased in general terms, it cannot answer all questions. And because the Code was developed for the benefit of the lower federal courts, it does not adequately answer some of the ethical considerations unique to the Supreme Court. The Justices, like other federal judges, may consult a wide variety of other authorities to resolve specific ethical issues. They may turn to judicial opinions, treatises, scholarly articles, and disciplinary decisions. They may also seek advice from the Court's Legal Office, from the Judicial Conference's Committee on Codes of Conduct, and from their colleagues. For that reason, the Court has had no reason to adopt the Code of Conduct as its definitive source of ethical guidance. But as a practical matter, the Code remains the starting point and a key source of guidance for the Justices as well as their lower court colleagues.

## **B. Financial Disclosure and Gift Regulations**

In addition to establishing the Judicial Conference, Congress has enacted legislation addressing a number of specific ethical matters. In particular, Congress has directed Justices and judges to comply with both financial reporting requirements and limitations on the receipt of gifts and outside earned income. The Court has never addressed whether Congress may impose those requirements on the Supreme Court. The Justices nevertheless comply with those provisions.

The Justices file the same financial disclosure reports as other federal judges. Those reports disclose, among other things, the Justices' non-governmental income, investments, liabilities, gifts, and reimbursements from third parties. For purposes of sound administration, the Justices, like lower court judges, file those reports through the Judicial Conference's Committee on Financial Disclosure. That committee provides guidance on the sometimes complex reporting requirements.

The Justices also observe the same limitations on gifts and outside income as apply to other federal judges. To provide additional guidance for lower court judges, the Judicial Conference has promulgated regulations governing both of those subjects. In 1991, the Members of the Court adopted an internal resolution in which they agreed to follow the Judicial

Conference regulations as a matter of internal practice. As a result, the Justices follow the very same practices on those subjects as their lower court colleagues.

### **C. Recusal**

Congress has directed that federal judicial officers must disqualify themselves from hearing cases in specified circumstances. As in the case of financial reporting and gift requirements, the limits of Congress's power to require recusal have never been tested. The Justices follow the same general principles respecting recusal as other federal judges, but the application of those principles can differ due to the unique circumstances of the Supreme Court.

The governing statute, which is set out in Title 28, Section 455, of the United States Code, states, as a general principle, that a judge shall recuse in any case in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned. That objective standard focuses the recusal inquiry on the perspective of a reasonable person who is knowledgeable about the legal process and familiar with the relevant facts. Section 455 also identifies a number of more specific circumstances when a judge must recuse.

All of the federal courts follow essentially the same process in resolving recusal questions. In the lower courts, individual judges decide for

themselves whether recusal is warranted, sometimes in response to a formal written motion from a party, and sometimes at the judge's own initiative. In applying the Section 455 standard, the judge may consult precedent, consider treatises and scholarly publications, and seek advice from other sources, including judicial colleagues and the Judicial Conference's Committee on Codes of Conduct. A trial judge's decision not to recuse is reviewable by a court of appeals, and a court of appeals judge's decision not to recuse is reviewable by the Supreme Court. A court normally does not sit in judgment of one of its own members' recusal decision in the course of deciding a case.

The process within the Supreme Court is similar. Like lower court judges, the individual Justices decide for themselves whether recusal is warranted under Section 455. They may consider recusal in response to a request from a party in a pending case, or on their own initiative. They may also examine precedent and scholarly publications, seek advice from the Court's Legal Office, consult colleagues, and even seek counsel from the Committee on Codes of Conduct. There is only one major difference in the recusal process: There is no higher court to review a Justice's decision not to recuse in a particular case. This is a consequence of the Constitution's

command that there be only “one supreme Court.” The Justices serve on the Nation’s court of last resort.

As in the case of the lower courts, the Supreme Court does not sit in judgment of one of its own Members’ decision whether to recuse in the course of deciding a case. Indeed, if the Supreme Court reviewed those decisions, it would create an undesirable situation in which the Court could affect the outcome of a case by selecting who among its Members may participate.

Although a Justice’s process for considering recusal is similar to that of the lower court judges, the Justice must consider an important factor that is not present in the lower courts. Lower court judges can freely substitute for one another. If an appeals court or district court judge withdraws from a case, there is another federal judge who can serve in that recused judge’s place. But the Supreme Court consists of nine Members who always sit together, and if a Justice withdraws from a case, the Court must sit without its full membership. A Justice accordingly cannot withdraw from a case as a matter of convenience or simply to avoid controversy. Rather, each Justice has an obligation to the Court to be sure of the need to recuse before deciding to withdraw from a case.

As with other ethical questions, Justices and lower federal court judges contemplating recusal can take good counsel from the principles set forth in Canon 14 of the original 1924 Canons of Judicial Ethics. That Canon addresses judicial independence. It provides that a judge “should not be swayed by partisan demands, public clamor or considerations of personal popularity or notoriety, nor be apprehensive of unjust criticism.” Such concerns have no role to play in deciding a question of recusal.

I have complete confidence in the capability of my colleagues to determine when recusal is warranted. They are jurists of exceptional integrity and experience whose character and fitness have been examined through a rigorous appointment and confirmation process. I know that they each give careful consideration to any recusal questions that arise in the course of their judicial duties. We are all deeply committed to the common interest in preserving the Court’s vital role as an impartial tribunal governed by the rule of law.

\*\*\*\*\*

When the Chicago White Sox took the field in 1919, they surely had no idea that their play would trigger a chain of events that would lead to the development of a code of conduct for federal judges. The public’s confidence in the integrity of the federal courts led to the appointment of a

federal judge to address the Black Sox Scandal. And when the federal judiciary encountered an ethical issue of its own, it took the lead in articulating ethical standards to bolster that confidence. Since that time, the judiciary has continued to revisit and revise those standards to maintain the public's trust in the integrity of its members.

As Alexander Hamilton put it in *The Federalist* No. 78, federal judges must “unite the requisite integrity with the requisite knowledge” to carry out their duties under the Constitution and laws. Throughout our Nation’s history, instances of judges abandoning their oath “to faithfully and impartially discharge and perform” the duties of their office have been exceedingly rare. Judges need and welcome guidance on their ethical responsibilities, and sources such as the Judicial Conference’s Code of Conduct provide invaluable assistance. But at the end of the day, no compilation of ethical rules can guarantee integrity. Judges must exercise both constant vigilance and good judgment to fulfill the obligations they have all taken since the beginning of the Republic.

I end this year once again with gratitude to our federal judges and court staff throughout the country for their selfless commitment to public service in the face of demanding dockets and tightened budgets. I am also grateful to Congress, in these times of fiscal constraint, for its careful

consideration of the judiciary's financial needs. Despite the many challenges, the federal courts continue to operate soundly, and the Nation's federal judges continue to discharge their duties with wisdom and care. I remain privileged and honored to be in a position to thank the judges and court staff for their dedication to the ideals that make our Nation great.

Best wishes in the New Year.

## Appendix

### Workload of the Courts

In 2011, caseloads increased in the U.S. district courts and in the probation and pretrial services offices, but decreased in the U.S. appellate and bankruptcy courts. Total case filings in the district courts grew 2% to 367,692. The number of persons under post-conviction supervision rose 2% to 129,780. Cases opened in the pretrial services system also went up 2%, reaching 113,875. In the U.S. courts of appeals, though, filings dropped 1.5% to 55,126. Filings in the U.S. bankruptcy courts, which had climbed 14% in 2010, declined 8% this year to just below 1.5 million petitions.

#### *The Supreme Court of the United States*

The total number of cases filed in the Supreme Court decreased from 8,159 filings in the 2009 Term to 7,857 filings in the 2010 Term, a decrease of 3.7%. The number of cases filed in the Court's *in forma pauperis* docket decreased from 6,576 filings in the 2009 Term to 6,299 filings in the 2010 Term, a 4.2% decrease. The number of cases filed in the Court's paid docket decreased from 1,583 filings in the 2009 Term to 1,558 filings in the 2010 Term, a 1.6% decrease. During the 2010 Term, 86 cases were argued and 83 were disposed of in 75 signed opinions, compared to 82 cases argued and 77 disposed of in 73 signed opinions in the 2009 Term.

*The Federal Courts of Appeals*

Filings in the regional courts of appeals fell 1.5% to 55,126. Growth occurred in original proceedings and bankruptcy appeals. Appeals arising from the district courts decreased. Although civil appeals remained fairly stable, reductions occurred in many types of criminal appeals. Appeals of administrative agency decisions declined as a result of the continued drop in filings related to the Board of Immigration Appeals.

*The Federal District Courts*

Civil filings in the U.S. district courts grew 2% to 289,252 cases. Fueling this growth was a 2% increase in federal question cases (i.e., actions under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States in which the United States is not a party in the case), which resulted mainly from cases addressing civil rights, consumer credit, and intellectual property rights.

Cases filed with the United States as a party climbed 9%. Those with the United States as plaintiff increased in response to a surge in defaulted student loan cases. Cases with the United States as defendant rose largely because of growth in Social Security cases.

Although criminal case filings (including transfers) remained stable (up by 12 cases to 78,440), the number of criminal defendants increased 3% to set a new record of 102,931. Growth in filings occurred for defendants

charged with drug crimes, general offenses, firearms and explosives offenses, sex offenses, and property offenses.

Filings for defendants charged with immigration offenses fell for the first time since 2006, decreasing 3%. The southwestern border districts accounted for 74% of the Nation's total immigration defendant filings, up from 73% in 2010.

*The Bankruptcy Courts*

Filings of bankruptcy petitions declined 8% to 1,467,221. This was the first reduction since 2007, when filings plunged after the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005 took effect. Filings for 2011 were lower in 87 of the 90 bankruptcy courts. Nonbusiness petitions fell 8%, and business petitions dropped 14%.

Bankruptcy petitions decreased 10% under chapter 7, 16% under chapter 11, and 4% under chapter 13.

*The Federal Probation and Pretrial Services System*

The 129,780 persons under post-conviction supervision on September 30, 2011, represented an increase of 2% over the total from the previous year. The number of persons serving terms of supervised release after their departure from correctional institutions grew 2% to 105,037, and amounted to 81% of all persons under supervision.

Cases opened in the pretrial services system in 2011, including pretrial diversion cases, rose 2% to 113,875.



## OPINION AND COMMENTARY

Editorials and other Opinion content offer perspectives on issues important to our community and are independent from the work of our newsroom reporters.

OPINION

# *Former NC Supreme Court justices: What we think about recusal controversy*

BY HON. JAMES G. EXUM JR., HON. BURLEY B. MITCHELL JR AND HON. MARK D. MARTIN

UPDATED OCTOBER 25, 2021 7:02 AM



We use cookies and similar technologies. By continuing to use this website, you consent to our [Terms of Service](#) and our [Privacy Policy](#).

ACCEPT  
COOKIES

**Only have a minute? Listen instead**

-03:52

Powered by **Trinity Audio**

Serving as a judge is challenging. We know from experience.

Among the three of us, we have more than 70 years of experience on the bench. Collectively, we've served at every level of our state's judiciary. Each of us has served as chief justice of the Supreme Court of North Carolina. We're all too familiar with the challenges our judges face every day — whether resolving tough legal questions or navigating thorny ethical dilemmas.

Sound judicial ethics are critical to our system of justice. They promote the institutional legitimacy of our courts and bolster the rule of law. In short, we all want to have confidence that the women and men wearing black robes are faithfully applying the law.

**TOP VIDEOS**

Children begin receiving first dose of pediatric COVID-19 vaccine

We use cookies and similar technologies. By continuing to use this website, you consent to our [Terms of Service](#) and our [Privacy Policy](#).

**ACCEPT  
COOKIES**

## NAACP v. Moore: Who—if anyone—has the power to disqualify democratically elected justices from carrying out their constitutional duties?

It might surprise you to learn that North Carolina law does not provide a definitive answer. Our constitution is silent on the issue of disqualification and recusal. A statute (G.S. 15A-1223) tells us that judges must recuse themselves under some circumstances in criminal cases. But there is no such statute for civil cases like the one before the Supreme Court. So, what should the court do?

### \$2 for 2 months

Subscribe for unlimited access to our website, app,  
eEdition and more

**CLAIM OFFER**

There is no single “correct” process for courts to adopt when deciding questions of recusal and disqualification. When faced with such questions, judges must balance their duty to hear and decide cases — their core duty — with the concomitant duty to recuse.

Courts in other states handle recusal and disqualification in a variety of ways. Each process has its virtues and its flaws. The Supreme Court of North Carolina has asked the parties in NAACP v. Moore for guidance on how to approach this delicate question, and we trust that the able advocates on both sides of the case will sharpen and clarify the issues at stake.

As former and retired jurists, it is not our place to tell the court what it should do in this instance. And we express no opinion on whether the affected justices in NAACP v. Moore should recuse or be disqualified from hearing this specific case.

But what we can do is offer our perspective on how the court has historically handled this issue and why. . In our collective experience on the court, the court deferred to the judgment of the individual justice or justices being asked to recuse.

Without exception, recusal was not considered a matter for the whole court to

We use cookies and similar technologies. By continuing to use this website, you consent to our [Terms of Service](#) and our [Privacy Policy](#).

**ACCEPT  
COOKIES**

# NC Opinions newsletter

Commentary that's driving the conversations across our state.

**SIGN UP**

This site is protected by reCAPTCHA and the Google Privacy Policy and Terms of Service apply.

Any approach to recusal and disqualification is bound to have its shortcomings. This approach to recusal and disqualification — an “honor system” approach — assumes that our affected colleagues will act in good faith. It assumes that our colleagues will base their decisions on the best interests of the parties, the court, and the state. It requires trust, respect, and collegiality among members of our Supreme Court.

Our confidence in this approach remains strong, in part because our court was hardly alone in adopting it. In fact, our nation’s highest court, the Supreme Court of the United States, employs a similar system of individual accountability for issues of recusal. When confronted with a recusal question, each justice balances the duty to execute the office against the parallel duty to step aside, and that justice renders an individual decision.

Only the seven members of our Supreme Court can determine whether this should remain the policy on recusal and disqualification. We trust our successors to resolve this difficult question in a way that promotes fundamental fairness, protects the integrity of our judiciary, and, above all else, preserves the rule of law. North Carolinians deserve nothing less.

*James G. Exum Jr. was the state Supreme Court’s chief justice from 1986 to 1994. Burley B. Mitchell Jr. was chief justice from 1995 to 1999. Mark D. Martin was chief justice from 2014-2019.*

This story was originally published October 24, 2021 4:00 AM

We use cookies and similar technologies. By continuing to use this website, you consent to our [Terms of Service](#) and our [Privacy Policy](#).

**ACCEPT COOKIES**

**Report of the**

**NORTH CAROLINA  
STATE CONSTITUTION  
STUDY COMMISSION**

**Raleigh / 1968**

REPORT  
OF THE  
NORTH CAROLINA STATE CONSTITUTION STUDY COMMISSION  
1968

)

To  
The North Carolina State Bar  
and the  
North Carolina Bar Association

Raleigh

1968

NORTH CAROLINA STATE CONSTITUTION STUDY COMMISSION

Chairman

Emery B. Denny, Raleigh

Vice Chairmen

Archie K. Davis, Winston-Salem

Rudolph I. Mintz, Wilmington

Secretary

Bert M. Montague, Raleigh

Members

Charles B. Aycock Kinston	Emery B. Denny Raleigh	Hector McLean Lumberton
James M. Baley, Jr. Asheville	Albert J. Ellis Jacksonville	L. P. McLendon, Jr. Greensboro
Millard Barbee Raleigh	Robert Gavin Sanford	Rudolph I. Mintz Wilmington
William R. Britt Smithfield	Robin L. Hinson Rockingham	Bert M. Montague Raleigh
Mrs. Harry B. Caldwell Greensboro	Luther H. Hodges Research Triangle Park	John T. Morrisey, Sr. Raleigh
Irving E. Carlyle Winston-Salem	Roberts H. Jernigan, Jr. Ahoskie	Charles W. Phillips Greensboro
Julius L. Chambers Charlotte	William A. Johnson Lillington	William D. Snider Greensborc
Archie K. Davis Winston-Salem	Claude V. Jones Durham	Asa T. Spaulding Durham
	E. L. Loftin Asheville	

only by impeachment. These remedies have never been used. Indeed, they are as a practical matter virtually unuseable. District Judges, Magistrates, and Clerks are removable in judicial proceedings. There is no provision for the disciplining of any judicial officer except by removal.

Taken all together, the current constitutional and statutory means of dealing with judicial misconduct or incapacity are fragmentary, illogical, and too often ineffective. Modern, uniform procedures for disciplining and removing unfit judicial officers are necessary parts of an efficient and responsible judicial system. The existence of these procedures is perhaps more important than their actual use, which would be rare. The experience of states such as Oklahoma, Florida, and California demonstrates the need for realistic procedures for this purpose. And the fact that the number of affected officers is growing rapidly adds urgency to the case.

# THE YALE LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 56

APRIL, 1947

NUMBER 4

## DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGES

JOHN P. FRANK†

THE recently published statement of Mr. Justice Jackson criticising Mr. Justice Black for participating in a case<sup>1</sup> argued by a man who twenty years before had been Black's law partner has brought the subject of judicial disqualification sharply into the focus of public and professional attention.<sup>2</sup> Although Justice Jackson's views on the sub-

† Assistant Professor of Law, Indiana University; Visiting Professor, Yale University School of Law, summer 1946.

Perhaps an article on judicial disqualification warrants a note on "author disqualification." In the October, 1942 term, I was law clerk to Justice Hugo L. Black. That relationship bred affections which may be reflected in this article. I have attempted to present this subject as dispassionately as possible; but of this the reader must judge for himself. I have been aided toward impartiality and in countless other ways by the many Yale students who assisted me in seminar during the summer of 1946. Particular debt is owing to Yale students William Coblenz, Gersten Rappaport, and Barbara Scott. Likewise I would like to acknowledge the assistance given me by Mr. Eustace Seligman, of the firm of Sullivan and Cromwell in New York, who contributed a memorandum on the practice of the late Chief Justice Stone in cases presented by that firm, and by the more than forty state chief justices and federal circuit judges who personally contributed to the study of current practice presented here.

Of course none of those mentioned is responsible in any way for the points of view and judgments expressed. J.P.F.

1. *Jewell Ridge Coal Corp. v. Local No. 6167*, 325 U. S. 161, 897 (1945).

2. The facts involved in the dispute are these: From approximately 1923 to 1925 Black and Mr. Crampton Harris engaged in the practice of law in Birmingham, Alabama. In 1925 the association was terminated and both attorneys continued to practice separately. In 1926 Black was elected to the Senate, where on one occasion he caused Harris to be retained as counsel in a particular case for a Senate Committee of which Black was chairman. *Hearst v. Black*, 87 F. (2d) 68 (App. D. C. 1936). In 1937, Senator Black was appointed to the Supreme Court, and became a permanent resident of Alexandria, Virginia. In the past five years he has seen Harris socially perhaps once or twice for a few minutes.

Meanwhile Harris continued in Birmingham practice with a mixed clientele including several labor unions. During the coal strike of 1943 he was special counsel for the United Mine Workers before the National War Labor Board. Somewhat earlier he instituted in Birmingham the first "portal to portal" case, presenting the question whether travel time underground of iron ore miners required payment under the Fair Labor Standards Act. The case was successfully prosecuted by Harris to the United States Supreme Court where Mr. Justice Black participated in the decision. *Tennessee Coal, Iron, & Railroad Co. v. Muscoda Local*, 321 U. S. 590 (1944).

A year later Harris brought a second case involving portal to portal pay, this time for his coal miner clients, to the Supreme Court. This case had been instituted in West Virginia

disqualified for financial interest. No other disqualifications were permitted, and bias, today the most controversial ground for disqualification, was rejected entirely.

### GENERAL AMERICAN PRACTICE

The contemporary disqualification practice of both federal and state courts is broader than that of the common law. Not only has the principle of pecuniary interest been extended to keep pace with changing economic institutions, but relationship between judge and litigant, and a variety of other types of judicial bias have been prohibited in modern practice by the common law.<sup>24</sup>

Expansion of common law concepts has been brought about in the federal appellate courts, where no statute controls, largely through the exercise of their own discretion. In the Supreme Court disqualification has always been the prerogative of each individual Justice, and prior to the *Jewell Ridge* incident no member of the Court has ever pronounced public judgment on the practice of another.<sup>25</sup> From the beginning the practice seems to have been founded upon a mixture of common law notions, individual judgments of propriety, and practicability. While statutes do not explicitly apply to Supreme Court practice, the impulse of individual justices has generally been to adopt their principles.<sup>26</sup>

State judges have more often been subjected to limitations imposed by statute. In this respect older states have generally adhered fairly closely to the common law with only slight doctrinal and statutory variations. Newer states, on the other hand, have tended to adopt elaborate codes which fall into three categories: (1) disqualification for specified grounds in addition to those recognized at common law;<sup>27</sup> (2) mandatory change of venue statutes, themselves of several distinct types, which often supplement the first method listed;<sup>28</sup> and (3) au-

24. See, e.g., *People ex rel. Rusch v. Cunningham*, 308 Ill. App. 63, 31 N. E. (2d) 369 (1941) (political bias); *Petrey v. Holliday*, 178 Ky. 410, 199 S. W. 67 (1917) (relationship).

25. In some jurisdictions, the matter of disqualification of a judge can be decided by the whole court. *Board of Justices v. Fennimore*, 1 N. J. L. 190 (1793); *State ex rel Short v. Martin*, 125 Okla. 24, 256 Pac. 681 (1927); *State ex rel. Mitchell v. Sage Stores*, 157 Kan. 622, 143 P. (2d) 652 (1943). The Inquiry shows that in almost all courts, disqualification in doubtful cases is at least informally discussed with other judges.

26. "I think there is no statute which excludes a Supreme Court Justice from sitting even in these cases, but statutes would have prevented a district judge, and I think also a circuit court of appeals judge sitting in these cases under like conditions.

"And it has always seemed to the Court that when a district judge could not sit in a case because of his previous association with it, or a circuit court of appeals judge, it was our manifest duty to take the same position." Testimony of Chief Justice Stone, *Hearings before Committee on the Judiciary on H. R. 2808*, 78th Cong., 1st Sess. (1943) 24. The Chief Justice's memory played him false in his inclusion of the Circuit Court of Appeals judges under the statutes.

27. See L.A. CODE PRAC. (Dart. 1942) art. 338, listing six grounds of disqualification.

28. An example is the federal provision, 36 STAT. 1090 (1911), 28 U. S. C. § 25 (1940).



12-1-2005

# Duck, Duck, Goose: Hunting for Better Recusal Practices in the United States Supreme Court in Light of *Cheney v. United States District Court*

Timothy J. Goodson

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarship.law.unc.edu/nclr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Timothy J. Goodson, *Duck, Duck, Goose: Hunting for Better Recusal Practices in the United States Supreme Court in Light of Cheney v. United States District Court*, 84 N.C. L. REV. 181 (2005).

Available at: <http://scholarship.law.unc.edu/nclr/vol84/iss1/>

judges.<sup>44</sup> As professionals in maintaining neutrality and considering only the relevant matters when rendering a decision, judges may be disinclined to admit when their decision is improperly influenced or biased.<sup>45</sup> Indeed, scholars have questioned whether judges are even capable of knowing when their decisions are biased.<sup>46</sup> In light of these questions, and the fact that the judge whose bias is being challenged is the one who decides whether or not to disqualify himself, a standard that focuses the inquiry on the appearance of impartiality is laudable as an effective way of ensuring actual impartiality.<sup>47</sup>

Balanced against the goal of judicial impartiality is the competing objective of judicial independence.<sup>48</sup> An absolute preoccupation with impartiality and its appearance would, counterintuitively, compromise the neutrality of the judge.<sup>49</sup> This is so because if judges were expected to recuse themselves based on irrational or unsupported conjecture of judicial bias, the parties in the litigation or a vindictive press would be able to control the assignment of judges.<sup>50</sup> A judge whose participation is subject to unjustified removal by the

44. See Bassett, *supra* note 23, at 1250 (“[S]tudies of unconscious bias confirm the observation that people who claim, and honestly believe, they are not prejudiced may nonetheless harbor unconscious stereotypes and beliefs. Thus, although judges should be constantly vigilant for potential biases and prejudices, they will not always recognize their own biases and stereotypes.”).

45. See *In re Mason*, 916 F.2d 384, 386 (7th Cir. 1990) (“Judges asked to recuse themselves hesitate to impugn their own standards . . .”).

46. See Bassett, *supra* note 23, at 1249–50 (relying on social science experimental studies to argue in favor of a peremptory challenge to federal judges as a way of “tak[ing] into account judges’ inability to detect some unconscious biases”).

47. Commentary on the policy of impartiality has long questioned whether such a goal is possible, given that judges are human and fallible and thus incapable of absolute impartiality. See, e.g., BENJAMIN CARDODOZ, THE NATURE OF THE JUDICIAL PROCESS 168 (1921) (“The great tides and currents which engulf the rest of men, do not turn aside in their course, and pass the judges by.”). However, even assuming, arguendo, the impossibility of the goal, there is no reason why the law should not strive toward such an ideal. The unattainability of an absolutely impartial judiciary does not undermine impartiality as a model.

48. See *United States v. Greenough*, 782 F.2d 1556 (1986) (per curiam), where the Court noted:

There are twin, and sometimes competing, policies that bear on the application of the § 455(a) standard. The first is that courts must not only be, but must seem to be, free of bias or prejudice . . . . A second policy is that a judge, having been assigned to a case, should not recuse himself on unsupported, irrational, or highly tenuous speculation.

*Id.* at 1558.

49. See *id.* at 1558 (“If [recusal based on unsupported allegations of bias] occurred the price of maintaining the purity of the appearance of justice would be the power of litigants or third parties to exercise a veto over the assignment of judges.”).

50. *Id.*

parties or the press cannot be said to be neutral. In order to preserve judicial independence, the law of recusal constrains the policy of impartiality with the requirement that a charge of bias be supported by facts,<sup>51</sup> or that appearance of impartiality be evaluated from the perspective of a reasonable observer.<sup>52</sup>

Another goal of recusal law that must be balanced against judicial impartiality is judicial efficiency. Recusal at the district court level and the court of appeals level necessitates the transfer of the case to another judge. Such transfers are inefficient because they cause delay and, if the recusing judge has already invested some time into the case, a waste of judicial resources.<sup>53</sup> At the trial and intermediate appellate levels, judicial efficiency is an important consideration in the law of recusal. In the Supreme Court, a Justice's recusal would not result in a case being transferred, because there is no substitute for a Supreme Court Justice.<sup>54</sup> Nonetheless, efficiency may still be a consideration, as it is probably correct that the involvement of fewer Justices in a case is less efficient than having the Court's full judicial resources dedicated to the matter.<sup>55</sup>

---

51. *Id.*

52. Microsoft Corp. v. United States, 530 U.S. 1301, 1302 (2000) (statement of Rehnquist, C.J., respecting recusal) (citing *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Inc.*, 861 F.2d 1307, 1309 (2d Cir. 1988)). The reasonable observer is a person who is apprised of all the material facts, including those not known by the general public. See FLAMM, *supra* note 8, § 5.8.1, at 170 ("Where a reasonable person knowing all the relevant facts and circumstances would not harbor doubts concerning the judge's impartiality, disqualification is generally deemed to be unwarranted.").

53. See *United States v. Snyder*, 235 F.3d 42, 46 (1st Cir. 2000) ("In any event, the unnecessary transfer of a case from one judge to another is inherently inefficient and delays the administration of justice."); *United States v. McLain*, 701 F. Supp. 1544, 1556 (M.D. Fla. 1988) ("A busy district court cannot accept unwarranted recusals or changes in judges' assignment; they place extra burdens on the other judges and waste judicial resources.") (citation omitted).

54. See *Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 541 U.S. 913, 915–16 (2004) (mem. of Scalia, J.) (explaining why the doubts should not be resolved in favor of recusal in the Supreme Court, even if that would be a sound policy for the courts of appeals).

55. One reply to this assertion is that decisions may come more easily to a group of fewer Justices, since there are fewer people to disagree and negotiations can take place more quickly. However, the "inefficiencies" that inhere in the size of the Court are intentional and are a productive and useful part of the Supreme Court's decisionmaking process. The inconvenience and slowness of deliberating, discussing, persuading, negotiating, and building a five-person consensus have benefits that recusal law should not seek to eliminate. It is the *fruitless* use of judicial resources that concerns recusal law.

The efficiency consideration in the Supreme Court is distinct from the concern of a split vote, which is addressed *infra* in Part I.E.

Rather, the complications only affect prudential considerations when the existing § 455 standard is not met. Specifically, the Court's unique position should make Justices unwilling to recuse themselves when the legal standard does not demand recusal, but should not influence the determination of whether § 455 mandates recusal.

The first complication introduced by the Supreme Court's position is that, unlike in the district courts or circuit courts, there is no substitute for a disqualified Supreme Court Justice.<sup>90</sup> Thus, a recusal by one of the Justices introduces the possibility that the remaining Justices will split evenly in the decision.<sup>91</sup> In the Supreme Court, an equally divided vote automatically affirms the judgment of the lower court.<sup>92</sup> For that reason, some have equated a Supreme Court Justice's recusal with a vote against the petitioner.<sup>93</sup> However, this characterization holds only for the immediate case and ignores the precedential effect of the Court's decision. Though an even vote affirms for the respondent in the instant case, it does not carry the precedential weight of a majority decision by the Supreme Court.<sup>94</sup>

It is important, then, to recognize the proper effect of this consideration. The fact that a split vote automatically affirms the lower court is a valid reason for a Supreme Court Justice not to recuse "out of an excess of caution,"<sup>95</sup> but it does not mean that the

---

90. *Cheney*, 541 U.S. Dist. Court, 541 U.S. 913, 915–16 (2004) (mem. of Scalia, J.); *Microsoft Corp. v. United States*, 530 U.S. 1301 (2000) (statement of Rehnquist, C.J., respecting recusal); FLAMM, *supra* note 8, § 28.3.1, at 850–51; Lubet, *supra* note 87, at 662 n.28.

91. *Cheney*, 541 U.S. at 915–16 (memorandum of Scalia, J.); *Microsoft*, 530 U.S. at 1303 (statement of Rehnquist, C.J., respecting recusal); FLAMM, *supra* note 8, § 28.3.1, at 850–51.

92. *Cheney*, 541 U.S. at 915–16 (mem. of Scalia, J.); *Microsoft*, 530 U.S. at 1303 (statement of Rehnquist, C.J., respecting recusal); FLAMM, *supra* note 8, § 28.3.1, at 850–51. For a dramatic illustration of this occurrence, see *Beazley v. Johnson*, 533 U.S. 969 (2001). In that case, three Justices recused themselves and the remaining Justices split on the question of whether to stay the execution of a young black man who was sentenced to death in Texas by an all white jury for the killing of a white businessman. The defendant was seventeen years old at the time of the crime. The result of the split was that the stay was not granted. However, the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals unexpectedly intervened two days later and stayed the execution. See *Bassett*, *supra* note 23, at 1214–16.

In light of this consideration, seven Justices issued a joint press release in November 1993 giving notice that "[a]bsent some special factor," those Justices would not recuse themselves "by reason of a relative's participation as a lawyer in earlier stages of the case." Press Release, U.S. Supreme Court, Statement of Recusal Policy (Nov. 1, 1993), reprinted in FLAMM, *supra* note 8, app. A, at 1069.

93. See, e.g., *Cheney*, 541 U.S. at 916 ("Moreover, granting the motion [to recuse] is (insofar as the outcome of the particular case is concerned) effectively the same as casting a vote against the petitioner.").

94. FLAMM, *supra* note 8, § 28.3.1, at 851; Stempel, *supra* note 34, at 651.

95. Press Release, U.S. Supreme Court, *supra* note 92.

<b><u>Orders Addressing Recusal</u></b>		
<b><u>Case</u></b>	<b><u>On Motion or <i>Sua Sponte</i>?</u></b>	<b><u>Did Justice Recuse from Recusal Decision?</u></b>
<b>Adams v. AVX Corp.</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 108	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Adcox v. Clarkson Bros. Const. Co.</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   780 S.E.2d 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Adcox v. Clarkson Bros. Const. Co.</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 599	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Aesthetic Facial &amp; Ocular Plastic Surgery Center, P.A. v. Zaldivar</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 173	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Akins v. City of Thomasville</b> N.C.   March 06, 2002   355 N.C. 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Akins v. City of Thomasville</b> N.C.   November 04, 1999   351 N.C. 640	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Akins v. City of Thomasville</b> N.C.   November 04, 1999   351 N.C. 640	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Allen v. Durham Co. District Attorney Office</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   851 S.E.2d 371	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Allen v. Person County Superior Court</b> N.C.   March 20, 2020   374 N.C. 264	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Allen v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   April 11, 1962   256 N.C. 700	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Allstate Ins. Co. v. Stilwell</b> N.C.   August 23, 2007   361 N.C. 567	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Allsup v. McVille, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 06, 2001   353 N.C. 359	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Towers, Inc. v. Town of Morrisville</b> N.C.   June 12, 2013   743 S.E.2d 189	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Towers, Inc. v. Town of Morrisville</b> N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 603	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Towers, Inc. v. Town of Morrisville</b> N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 603	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Amward Homes, Inc. v. Town of Cary</b> N.C.   October 10, 2011   718 S.E.2d 379	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Amward Homes, Inc. v. Town of Cary</b> N.C.   July 05, 2011   710 S.E.2d 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Amward Homes, Inc. v. Town of Cary</b> N.C.   August 15, 2011   714 S.E.2d 152	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Amward Homes, Inc. v. Town of Cary</b> N.C.   August 05, 2011   714 S.E.2d 152	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Amward Homes, Inc. v. Town of Cary</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 210	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Anderson v. Crouch</b> N.C.   March 19, 2009   363 N.C. 122	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Anderson v. Mystic Lands, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 26, 2021   376 N.C. 895	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Anderson v. Mystic Lands, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 26, 2021   853 S.E.2d 901	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Andrews v. Administrative Office of Courts</b> N.C.   November 21, 2002   356 N.C. 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Andrews v. Land</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 590	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Anglin v. Berger</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   371 N.C. 482	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Anglin v. Berger</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   371 N.C. 482	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Antelo v. Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Antelo v. Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Appeal of Broughton</b> N.C.   September 05, 1996   476 S.E.2d 111	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Archer v. Rockingham County</b> N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 210	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Archie v. Hawkins</b> N.C.   November 01, 2017   370 N.C. 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Armstrong v. North Carolina</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 209	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Arnold v. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   370 N.C. 69	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Arquilla v. City of Salisbury</b> N.C.   March 02, 2000   351 N.C. 350	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Atlantic and East Carolina Ry. Co. v. Wheatly Oil Co., Inc.</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   358 N.C. 542	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Aune v. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill</b> N.C.   March 07, 1996   342 N.C. 893	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ausley v. Bishop</b> N.C.   February 27, 2003   356 N.C. 696	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Avoki v. Ferebee</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Avoki v. Ferebee</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Avoki v. Ferebee</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ayers v. Emerald Isle Realty, Inc.</b> N.C.   June 24, 1999   350 N.C. 600	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Azige v. Holy Trinity Ethiopian Orthodox Tewahdo Church</b> N.C.   November 22, 2016   369 N.C. 195	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Azige v. Holy Trinity Ethiopian Orthodox Tewahdo Church</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 532	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Azige v. Holy Trinity Ethiopian Orthodox Tewahdo Church</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 532	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Azige v. Holy Trinity Ethiopian Orthodox</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Tewahdo Church</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 532		
<b>B. Dalton &amp; Co. v. Camp</b> N.C.   October 05, 2000   352 N.C. 672	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Badrock v. Pickard</b> N.C.   December 06, 2007   362 N.C. 86	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bailey v. State</b> N.C.   March 02, 2000   351 N.C. 350	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Charlotte Motor Speedway, Inc.</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 425	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. NC Psychology Board</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 300	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. North Carolina Psychology Board</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 300	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   609 S.E.2d 229	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   609 S.E.2d 393	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   609 S.E.2d 229	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   609 S.E.2d 393	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   609 S.E.2d 228	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   599 S.E.2d 38	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   599 S.E.2d 39	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   599 S.E.2d 39	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   599 S.E.2d 38	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   599 S.E.2d 39	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baldwin v. Wilkie</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 353	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ball v. Bayada Home Health Care</b> N.C.   May 01, 2019   372 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ball v. Bayada Home Health Care</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 704	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bank of America, N.A. v. Rivera</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   370 N.C. 78	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Banyan GW, LLC v. Wayne Preparatory Academy Charter School, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 174	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bartlett v. Beck</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   633 S.E.2d 673	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bartlett v. Beck</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   633 S.E.2d 673	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bartlett v. D.P.S. State</b> N.C.   August 19, 2014   367 N.C. 515	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bass v. Sides</b> N.C.   February 08, 1996   342 N.C. 651	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Bauman v. Pasquotank County ABC Board</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   853 S.E.2d 153	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bauman v. Pasquotank County ABC Board</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   853 S.E.2d 153	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bear v. Exotic Imports, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 89	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Beck v. Carolina Power &amp; Light</b> N.C.   December 07, 1982   307 N.C. 267	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>BellSouth v. City of Laurinburg</b> N.C.   April 06, 2005   615 S.E.2d 660	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>BellSouth v. City of Laurinburg</b> N.C.   April 06, 2005   615 S.E.2d 659	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>BellSouth v. City of Laurinburg</b> N.C.   April 06, 2005   615 S.E.2d 659	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>BellSouth v. City of Laurinburg</b> N.C.   April 06, 2005   615 S.E.2d 659	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>BellSouth v. City of Laurinburg</b> N.C.   April 06, 2005   615 S.E.2d 660	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ben Johnson Homes, Inc. v. Plauche</b> N.C.   April 05, 2001   353 N.C. 369	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ben Johnson Homes, Inc. v. Watkins</b> N.C.   August 16, 2001   354 N.C. 67	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bennett v. Bennett</b> N.C.   February 15, 2007   643 S.E.2d 589	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bennett v. Bennett</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   March 30, 2007   645 S.E.2d 77		
<b>Bentley v. Revlon, Inc.</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 788	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Beroth Oil Co. v. Whiteheart</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Berry v. Beck</b> N.C.   May 03, 2005   613 S.E.2d 687	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Biggers v. Bald Head Island</b> N.C.   March 11, 2010   363 N.C. 853	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Billings v. Rosenstein</b> N.C.   March 08, 2006   630 S.E.2d 664	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Birtha v. Stonemor</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 570	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Birtha v. Stonemor, North Carolina, LLC</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 570	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bishop v. Bishop</b> N.C.   March 03, 2021   853 S.E.2d 905	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blackwell v. Hooks</b> N.C.   May 22, 2018   371 N.C. 343	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blinson v. State</b> N.C.   April 10, 2008   362 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blinson v. State</b> N.C.   April 10, 2008   362 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blinson v. State</b> N.C.   April 10, 2008   362 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Blinson v. State</b> N.C.   April 10, 2008   362 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blow v. DSM Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 11, 2010   363 N.C. 853	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Board of Educ. of Orange County v. Forrest</b> N.C.   April 06, 1927   193 N.C. 519	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bobbitt v. North Carolina State University</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 350	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bost Const. Co. v. Blondy</b> N.C.   January 23, 2014   367 N.C. 292	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bost Realty Co., Inc. v. City of Concord</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   376 N.C. 877	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bowers v. City of Thomasville</b> N.C.   July 19, 2001   353 N.C. 723	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   March 04, 2004   358 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   May 01, 2003   357 N.C. 163	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   March 04, 2004   358 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   March 04, 2004   358 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   May 01, 2003   357 N.C. 163	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   November 05, 2009   363 N.C. 651	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   November 05, 2009   363 N.C. 651	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce &amp; Isley, PLLC v. Cooper</b> N.C.   October 28, 2003   357 N.C. 655	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boylan v. Verizon Wireless</b> N.C.   February 12, 2013   737 S.E.2d 380	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boylan v. Verizon Wireless</b> N.C.   January 23, 2013   366 N.C. 434	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Braden v. Lowe</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Braden v. Lowe</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bradley v. U.S. Packaging, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 07, 1999   351 N.C. 99	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bratton v. Oliver</b> N.C.   April 05, 2001   353 N.C. 369	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Brevorka v. Wolfe Const., Inc.</b> N.C.   March 27, 2003   357 N.C. 61	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brewer v. Brewer</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   766 S.E.2d 851	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brewer v. Hunter</b> N.C.   October 07, 2014   763 S.E.2d 377	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brewer v. Hunter</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 800	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brewer v. Hunter</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 800	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bridges v. Bridges</b> N.C.   April 05, 2001   353 N.C. 369	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bridges v. Parrish</b> N.C.   March 08, 2013   366 N.C. 573	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brinkley v. Pell Paper Box Co., Inc.</b> N.C.   December 30, 1998   349 N.C. 528	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brooks v. Hooks</b> N.C.   June 16, 2017   370 N.C. 69	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brooks v. Southern Nat. Corp.</b> N.C.   June 24, 1999   350 N.C. 592	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brooks v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brooks v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Broughton v. Broughton</b> N.C.   July 14, 2003   583 S.E.2d 600	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Broughton v. Broughton</b> N.C.   July 14, 2003   583 S.E.2d 600	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brown v. Terry</b> N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 307	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Browning v. Carolina Power &amp; Light Co.</b> N.C.   May 05, 1995   340 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Burgess v. Ebay, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   783 S.E.2d 739	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Burgess v. Ebay, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   783 S.E.2d 739	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Burke v. Carolina &amp; N. W. Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   September 26, 1962   257 N.C. 683	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Butler v. Hammocks, LLC</b> N.C.   December 08, 2011   365 N.C. 371	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Buttacavoli v. Buttacavoli</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   860 S.E.2d 913	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Buttacavoli v. Buttacavoli</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   860 S.E.2d 914	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Buttacavoli v. Buttacavoli</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   860 S.E.2d 915	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Buttacavoli v. Buttacavoli</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 316	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Buttacavoli v. Buttacavoli</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 316	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>C&amp;S Realty Corp. v. Blowe</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   July 05, 2006   635 S.E.2d 287		
<b>C&amp;S Realty Corp. v. Blowe</b> N.C.   July 05, 2006   635 S.E.2d 287	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cabarrus County v. Systel Business Equipment Co., Inc.</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 425	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cabe v. Worley</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cail v. Cerwin</b> N.C.   February 03, 2011   365 N.C. 75	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Calabria v. Elections &amp; Hudson</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   640 S.E.2d 63	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Calabria v. Elections &amp; Hudson</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   640 S.E.2d 62	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Calabria v. Elections &amp; Hudson</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   640 S.E.2d 62	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Calabria v. North Carolina State Bd. of Elections</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   640 S.E.2d 61	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Calhoun v. Wayne Dennis Heating &amp; Air Conditioning</b> N.C.   February 04, 1999   527 S.E.2d 663	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Calhoun v. Wayne Dennis Heating &amp; Air Conditioning</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 92	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Campbell v. Bowman</b> N.C.   April 06, 2006   360 N.C. 478	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Campbell v. Ingram</b> N.C.   April 10, 2007   361 N.C. 351	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Campbell v. Ingram</b> N.C.   April 10, 2007   361 N.C. 351	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Campos-Brizuela v. Rocha Masonry, L.L.C.</b> N.C.   October 04, 2012   366 N.C. 398	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cannon v. Cannon</b> N.C.   April 06, 2000   351 N.C. 471	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cannon v. Cannon</b> N.C.   December 02, 1999   351 N.C. 186	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cannon v. Cannon</b> N.C.   April 06, 2000   351 N.C. 471	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cannon v. Cannon</b> N.C.   April 06, 2000   351 N.C. 471	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cannon v. Cannon</b> N.C.   July 19, 2001   550 S.E.2d 770	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cannon v. Cannon</b> N.C.   May 17, 2000   543 S.E.2d 893	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cannon v. Cannon</b> N.C.   June 07, 2001   549 S.E.2d 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cannon v. Wiggins</b> N.C.   November 16, 2006   638 S.E.2d 464	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cape Fear River Watch v North Carolina Environmental Management Com'n</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 273		
<b>Cape Fear River Watch v North Carolina Environmental Management Com'n</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 273	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cape Fear River Watch v. North Carolina Environmental Management Com'n</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 273	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cape Fear River Watch v. North Carolina Environmental Management Com'n</b> N.C.   December 02, 2014   367 N.C. 801	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cape Fear River Watch v. North Carolina Environmental Management Com'n</b> N.C.   March 03, 2015   768 S.E.2d 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cape Fear River Watch v. North Carolina Environmental Management Com'n</b> N.C.   March 02, 2015   368 N.C. 242	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cape Fear River Watch v. North Carolina Environmental Management Com'n</b> N.C.   March 02, 2015   368 N.C. 242	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Capps v. NW Sign Industries</b> N.C.   April 06, 2006   630 S.E.2d 924	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Capps v. NW Sign Industries</b> N.C.   February 13, 2006   629 S.E.2d 609	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Capps v. NW Sign Industries</b> N.C.   April 06, 2006   630 S.E.2d 924	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Capps v. NW Sign Industries</b> N.C.   February 13, 2006   360 N.C. 362	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Capps v. NW Sign Industries</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   April 06, 2006   630 S.E.2d 927		
<b>Carlton v. Burke County Board of Education</b> N.C.   October 01, 2019   832 S.E.2d 739	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carlton v. Burke County Board of Education</b> N.C.   September 25, 2019   373 N.C. 66	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carolina Marlin Club Marina Ass'n, Inc. v. Preddy</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 279	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carriker v. Carriker</b> N.C.   October 08, 1998   349 N.C. 228	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carter v. State</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 38	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carter v. State</b> N.C.   June 07, 2016   789 S.E.2d 441	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carter-Hubbard Pub. Co., Inc. v. WRM Hosp. Operating Corp.</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cary Creek Ltd. Partnership v. Town of Cary</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 193	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chamberlain v. Bray</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 31	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chamberlain v. N.C. Department of Public Safety</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 42	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chamberlain v. N.C. Department of Public</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Safety</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 42		
<b>Chamberlain v. State</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 37	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chambers v. Transit Management</b> N.C.   January 03, 2007   361 N.C. 227	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Charlotte Pavilion Road Retail Inv., L.L.C. v. North Carolina CVS Pharmacy, LLC</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 262	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Charlotte Pavilion Road Retail Inv., L.L.C. v. North Carolina CVS Pharmacy, LLC</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 262	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cherney v. N.C. Zoological Park</b> N.C.   December 14, 2006   361 N.C. 147	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cherney v. NC Zoological Park</b> N.C.   October 04, 2007   651 S.E.2d 893	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cherney v. North Carolina Zoological Park</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 532	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chisum v. Campagna</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Christmas v. Cabarrus County</b> N.C.   June 17, 2009   363 N.C. 372	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Church v. Decker</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   818 S.E.2d 291	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Church v. Decker</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   371 N.C. 473	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>CIM Ins. Corp. v. Cascade Auto Glass, Inc.</b> N.C.   December 11, 2008   362 N.C. 679	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>CIM Ins. Corp. v. Cascade Glass</b> N.C.   December 11, 2008   669 S.E.2d 744	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cinoman v. University of North Carolina</b> N.C.   April 12, 2012   724 S.E.2d 527	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Citizens Addressing Reassignment and Educ., Inc. v. Wake County Bd. of Educ.</b> N.C.   March 06, 2008   362 N.C. 234	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Citizens Addressing Reassignment and Educ., Inc. v. Wake County Bd. of Educ.</b> N.C.   March 06, 2008   362 N.C. 234	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Asheville v. State</b> N.C.   February 05, 2009   672 S.E.2d 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Durham v. Proctor</b> N.C.   January 27, 1926   191 N.C. 119	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Monreo v. W.F. Harris Development</b> N.C.   December 30, 1998   349 N.C. 528	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Clarkson Bros. Const. Co. v. Utica Mut. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 599	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cobb v. Day</b> N.C.   June 09, 2021   377 N.C. 563	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Common Cause v. Lewis</b> N.C.   November 15, 2019   834 S.E.2d 430	Motion	No

<b>CommScope Credit Union v. Butler &amp; Burke, LLP</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Commscope Credit Union v. Butler and Burke, LLP</b> N.C.   April 10, 2015   771 S.E.2d 528	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Commscope Credit Union v. Butler and Burke, LLP</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Commscope Credit Union v. Butler and Burke, LLP</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Commscope Credit Union v. Butler and Burke, LLP</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Commscope Credit Union v. Butler and Burke, LLP</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Commscope Credit Union v. Butler and Burke, LLP</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Connette for Gullatte v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   854 S.E.2d 589	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Connette v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   June 17, 2021   858 S.E.2d 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Connette v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   June 17, 2021   858 S.E.2d 295	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Connette v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   June 18, 2021   858 S.E.2d 297	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Connette v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   April 20, 2021   856 S.E.2d 859	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Connor v. Harless</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Coombs v. Sprint Communications</b> N.C.   March 09, 2000   543 S.E.2d 151	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>Coombs v. Sprint Communications</u></b> N.C.   March 02, 2000   543 S.E.2d 125	Motion	Yes
<b>Coombs v. Sprint Communications Co.</b> N.C.   March 02, 2000   543 S.E.2d 124	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Coombs v. Sprint Communications Co. LP</b> N.C.   March 02, 2000   543 S.E.2d 125	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Country Club of Johnston County, Inc. v. U.S. Fidelity and Guaranty Co.</b> N.C.   February 03, 2000   351 N.C. 352	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>County of Lenoir v. Moore</b> N.C.   April 07, 1995   340 N.C. 104	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC, LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 538	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC, LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   March 13, 2018   370 N.C. 693	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC, LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   July 07, 2017   370 N.C. 76	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218		
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   October 05, 2017   370 N.C. 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   October 05, 2017   370 N.C. 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   October 05, 2017   370 N.C. 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   October 05, 2017   370 N.C. 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 547	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 546	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 546	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 545	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 546	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 544	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 540	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   851 S.E.2d 615	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   852 S.E.2d 208	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Courtney NC LLC v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 545	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Craig v. Board of Educ.</b> N.C.   October 01, 2008   667 S.E.2d 724	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crazie Overstock Promotions, LLC v. State</b> N.C.   July 20, 2021   859 S.E.2d 633	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cross v. Capital Transaction Group, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 05, 2009   363 N.C. 124	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crowder v. Crowder</b> N.C.   October 01, 2003   357 N.C. 504	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crump v. Snead</b> N.C.   November 04, 1999   351 N.C. 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crutchfield v. Carolina Football Enterprises, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 26, 2008   362 N.C. 470	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crutchfield v. Carolina Football Enterprises, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 26, 2008   665 S.E.2d 740	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cunningham v. Goodyear Tire &amp; Rubber Co.</b> N.C.   February 05, 2021   853 S.E.2d 173	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cunningham v. Goodyear Tire &amp; Rubber</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Co.</b> N.C.   February 05, 2021   853 S.E.2d 172		
<b>Cunningham v. Goodyear Tire &amp; Rubber Co.</b> N.C.   March 09, 2021   854 S.E.2d 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Currie, v. State</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 849	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Currie v. State</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 847	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Currie v. State</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 849	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Currie v. State</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   374 N.C. 263	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Currituck Associates-Residential Partnership v. Hollowell</b> N.C.   January 31, 2006   360 N.C. 367	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Daimlerchrysler Corp. v. Kirkhart</b> N.C.   January 31, 2001   546 S.E.2d 615	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dallaire v. Bank of America N.A.</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   747 S.E.2d 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dallaire v. Bank of America N.A.</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 211	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dalton v. Camp</b> N.C.   October 05, 2000   352 N.C. 672	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dancy v. Abbott Laboratories</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Daniel v. Wray</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   December 19, 2002   574 S.E.2d 678		
<b>Dare County v. North Carolina Dept. of Ins.</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 90	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dare County v. North Carolina Dept. of Ins.</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 90	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>DavFam, LLC v. Davis</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 714	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. City of Mebane</b> N.C.   July 22, 1999   350 N.C. 828	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. City of New Bern</b> N.C.   December 11, 2008   362 N.C. 680	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Jenkins</b> N.C.   October 08, 1952   236 N.C. 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dempsey v. Johnny's Mobile Home Service of Asheville, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 27, 2003   356 N.C. 669	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Denney v. Wardson Construction, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 701	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Department of Correction v. Medical Bd.</b> N.C.   December 16, 2008   672 S.E.2d 20	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Desmond v. News and Observer Publishing Company</b> N.C.   October 14, 2020   376 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Desmond v. News and Observer Publishing Company</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   October 14, 2020   848 S.E.2d 487		
<b>Dickson v. Rucho</b> N.C.   January 04, 2019   372 N.C. 54	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dickson v. Rucho</b> N.C.   December 17, 2012   366 N.C. 425	Motion	No
<b>Dickson v. Rucho</b> N.C.   December 17, 2012   366 N.C. 425	Motion	No
<b>Dickson v. Rucho</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 251	Motion	No
<b>Dillahunt v. First Mount Vernon Indus. Loan Ass'n</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 329	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dixon v. Hooks</b> N.C.   May 10, 2021   377 N.C. 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>DKH Corp. v. Rankin-Patterson Oil Co.</b> N.C.   March 06, 1997   483 S.E.2d 705	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>DKH Corp. v. Rankin-Patterson Oil Co., Inc.</b> N.C.   April 06, 2001   353 N.C. 371	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dodder v. Yates Const. Co.</b> N.C.   December 30, 1998   349 N.C. 528	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dove v. Harvey</b> N.C.   January 26, 2006   360 N.C. 289	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dubose v. N.C. Dept. of Correction</b> N.C.   December 15, 2010   364 N.C. 616	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Duncan v. Duncan</b> N.C.   August 19, 2014   367 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Duncan v. Duncan</b> N.C.   August 19, 2014   367 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Duncan v. Duncan</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dunhill Holdings, LLC v. Lindberg</b> N.C.   September 24, 2018   371 N.C. 575	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dunn v. Canoy</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 351	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eastern Carolina Regional Housing Authority v. Lofton</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 262	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eastern Carolina Regional Housing Authority v. Lofton</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 262	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eastern Carolina Regional Housing Authority v. Lofton</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 262	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eastern Carolina Regional Housing Authority v. Lofton</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 2692	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eastern Carolina Regional Housing Authority v. Lofton</b> N.C.   July 14, 2015   774 S.E.2d 311	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eastern Carolina Regional Housing Authority v. Lofton</b> N.C.   August 11, 2015   775 S.E.2d 827	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Edgewater Services, Inc. v. Epic Logistics, Inc.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 400		
<b>Edgewater Services, Inc. v. Epic Logistics, Inc.</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 400	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Edwards v. Bipartisan State Board of Elections and Ethics Enforcement</b> N.C.   September 25, 2018   818 S.E.2d 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Edwards v. Bipartisan State Board of Elections and Ethics Enforcement</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   371 N.C. 481	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ehmann v. Medflow, Inc.</b> N.C.   December 22, 2017   370 N.C. 586	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ehmann v. Medflow, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   371 N.C. 461	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ehmann v. Medflow, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   817 S.E.2d 393	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ehmann v. Medflow, Inc.</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   818 S.E.2d 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ehmann v. Medflow, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 12, 2018   370 N.C. 586	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eisenbrown v. Town of Lake Lure</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 172	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Elam v. William Douglas Management, Inc.</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 599	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Elam v. William Douglas Management.,</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Inc.</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   780 S.E.2d 558		
<b>Elam v. William Douglas Management., Inc.</b> N.C.   July 22, 2015   368 N.C. 599	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eley v. Rocky Mount Family Y.M.C.A.</b> N.C.   May 06, 1999   536 S.E.2d 71	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eley v. Rocky Mount Family Young Men's Christian Ass'n</b> N.C.   May 06, 1999   536 S.E.2d 71	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eli Global, LLC v. Heavner</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   816 S.E.2d 856	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eli Global, LLC v. Heavner</b> N.C.   March 02, 2018   370 N.C. 563	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ellen v. A.C. Schultes of Maryland, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 17, 2006   360 N.C. 575	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Elm St. Gallery, Inc. v. Williams</b> N.C.   December 11, 2008   362 N.C. 680	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Erthal v. May</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 421	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Erthal v. May</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 421	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Erthal v. May</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 421	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Estate of Blackburn ex rel. Phelps v. Stabilus</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 428	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Estate of Johnson v. Fundacja Jasmin Reginy Elandt i Normana Lloyda <b>Johnsonow</b> N.C.   May 03, 2017   369 N.C. 562	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Estate of Peyton By and Through Peyton v. <b>N.C. Department of Transportation</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Estate of Ray ex rel. Ray v. Forgy N.C.   December 18, 2013   367 N.C. 271	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Estate of Ray ex rel. Ray v. Forgy N.C.   December 18, 2013   367 N.C. 271	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Estate of Savino v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg <b>Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 289	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Estate of Savino v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg <b>Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 289	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Estate of Tipton By and Through Tipton v. <b>Delta Sigma Phi Fraternity, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 703	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Estates, Inc. v. Town of Chapel Hill N.C.   February 04, 1999   350 N.C. 93	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Estates, Inc. v. Town of Chapel Hill N.C.   February 04, 1999   350 N.C. 93	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Executive Medical Transp., Inc. v. Jones County Dept. of Social Services N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 435	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Fagundes v. Ammons Development Group,	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Inc.</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 579		
<b>Faires v. State Bd. of Elections</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   368 N.C. 758	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fairies v. State Bd. of Elections</b> N.C.   March 30, 2016   783 S.E.2d 502	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fallis v. Watauga Medical Center, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 308	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fannie Mae v. Price</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 711	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Farm Bureau v. Armwood</b> N.C.   June 22, 2007   647 S.E.2d 612	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Farm Bureau v. Armwood</b> N.C.   April 23, 2007   645 S.E.2d 378	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Farm Bureau v. Armwood</b> N.C.   April 25, 2007   645 S.E.2d 379	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Farm Bureau v. Armwood</b> N.C.   April 23, 2007   645 S.E.2d 377	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Farm Bureau v. Armwood</b> N.C.   April 23, 2007   645 S.E.2d 378	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Farm Bureau v. Armwood</b> N.C.   May 10, 2007   647 S.E.2d 95	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Farm Bureau v. Armwood</b> N.C.   March 16, 2007   645 S.E.2d 74	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Featherstone v. Featherstone</b> N.C.   October 03, 2002   356 N.C. 297	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Felton v. Hospital Guild of Thomasville, Inc.</b> N.C.   November 03, 1982   307 N.C. 121	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fender v. Deaton</b> N.C.   February 04, 1999   350 N.C. 94	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fender v. Deaton</b> N.C.   December 19, 2002   356 N.C. 612	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fennell v. N.C. Dept. of Crime Control</b> N.C.   March 06, 2002   355 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fennell v. N.C. Dept. of Crime Control</b> N.C.   March 06, 2002   355 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fennell v. N.C. Dept. of Crime Control</b> N.C.   March 06, 2002   355 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fields v. Dery</b> N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 308	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fifth Third Mortg. Co. v. Miller</b> N.C.   November 04, 2010   364 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fink v. Goodyear Tire</b> N.C.   January 08, 2009   363 N.C. 125	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fink v. Goodyear Tire</b> N.C.   April 30, 2009   363 N.C. 257	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fink v. Goodyear Tire &amp; Rubber Co.</b> N.C.   April 30, 2009   363 N.C. 257	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Foard v. Atlantic &amp; N.C.R. Co.</b> N.C.   December 01, 1860   8 Jones (NC) 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ford v. Hooks</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   May 07, 2018   371 N.C. 116		
<b>Ford v. Polk</b> N.C.   February 27, 2007   643 S.E.2d 595	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Formyduval v. Britt</b> N.C.   January 09, 2007   641 S.E.2d 804	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fox v. City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   April 14, 2014   757 S.E.2d 919	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fox v. City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   April 10, 2014   367 N.C. 494	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fox v. Johnson</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 679	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fox v. Johnson</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   781 S.E.2d 480	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. France</b> N.C.   January 15, 2013   366 N.C. 424	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. France</b> N.C.   January 14, 2013   366 N.C. 584	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. France</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 584	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. France</b> N.C.   April 22, 2013   366 N.C. 584	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. France</b> N.C.   April 16, 2013   366 N.C. 584	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. France</b> N.C.   January 14, 2013   366 N.C. 584	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>France v. France</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 584	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. NC Department of Public Safety</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 709	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. NC Department of Public Safety</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 709	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. Petersen</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 813	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>France v. Petersen</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 813	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Frazier v. Steelman</b> N.C.   September 03, 2002   356 N.C. 300	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Frazier v. Steelman</b> N.C.   August 15, 2002   356 N.C. 162	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Frink v. Cumberland County Superior Court</b> N.C.   December 02, 2004   606 S.E.2d 905	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Garrett v. Pegram</b> N.C.   March 09, 1897   120 N.C. 288	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gaston Co. Dyeing v. Northfield Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   July 22, 1999   537 S.E.2d 822	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gaston County Dyeing Mach. Co. v. Northfield Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 308	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gates Four Homeowners Ass'n, Inc. v. City of Fayetteville</b> N.C.   August 18, 2005   359 N.C. 851	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Gates Four Homeowners Ass'n, Inc. v. City of Fayetteville N.C.   August 18, 2005   359 N.C. 851	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gates Four Homeowners Ass'n, Inc. v. City of Fayetteville N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 329	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gates Four Homeowners Ass'n, Inc. v. City of Fayetteville N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 329	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gibboney v. Wachovia Bank, N.A. N.C.   August 17, 2006   360 N.C. 576	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gibboney v. Wachovia Bank, N.A. N.C.   August 17, 2006   360 N.C. 576	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gibbs v. Roca's Welding, LLC N.C.   March 10, 2021   376 N.C. 901	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gill v. Phifer N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 94	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gill v. Phifer N.C.   March 03, 1999   532 S.E.2d 529	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gill v. Phifer N.C.   March 03, 1999   532 S.E.2d 530	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gill v. Phifer N.C.   March 03, 1999   532 S.E.2d 530	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Ginsburg v. Pritchard N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 575	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Glover v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 299		
<b>Glover v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   827 S.E.2d 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Glover v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 299	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Glynne v. Wilson Medical Center</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 811	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Glynne v. Wilson Medical Center</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 811	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goldston &amp; Harrington v. State</b> N.C.   September 26, 2006   636 S.E.2d 579	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goldston &amp; Harrington v. State &amp; Easley</b> N.C.   December 02, 2004   606 S.E.2d 905	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goldston v. State</b> N.C.   December 02, 2004   606 S.E.2d 905	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goldston v. State</b> N.C.   January 28, 2010   363 N.C. 802	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goldston v. State</b> N.C.   September 01, 2010   701 S.E.2d 683	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gonzalez v. Worrell</b> N.C.   February 13, 2013   739 S.E.2d 554	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gonzalez v. Worrell</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   January 15, 2013   735 S.E.2d 827		
<b>Gray v. Federal National Mortgage Association</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 853	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gray v. Federal National Mortgage Association</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   374 N.C. 265	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gray v. Federal National Mortgage Association</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 854	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gray v. North Carolina Ins. Underwriting Ass'n</b> N.C.   June 24, 1999   350 N.C. 594	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gregory v. Brown</b> N.C.   November 16, 2011   719 S.E.2d 26	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gregory v. Pearson</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gregory v. Pearson</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gregory v. Pearson</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gregory v. Pearson</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gregory v. Pearson</b> N.C.   December 18, 2013   367 N.C. 269	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gregory v. W.A. Brown &amp; PMA Ins.</b> N.C.   July 12, 2011   711 S.E.2d 157	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Gregory v. W.A. Brown &amp; Sons</b> N.C.   November 16, 2011   719 S.E.2d 26	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Grier ex rel. Brown v. Guy</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 563	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffin v. Absolute Fire Control</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   376 N.C. 667	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffin v. Ball</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 268	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffin v. Ball</b> N.C.   December 18, 2013   752 S.E.2d 147	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffin v. Ball</b> N.C.   August 28, 2013   367 N.C. 268	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffin v. Ball</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 268	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffith v. Hovis</b> N.C.   September 24, 2015   368 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffith v. Hovis</b> N.C.   September 24, 2015   368 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffith v. McGee</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 277	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffith v. North Carolina Dept. of Correction</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   731 S.E.2d 830	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffith v. North Carolina Dept. of Correction</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 331	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Griffith v. North Carolina Dept. of Correction</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 332	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Groves v. Travelers Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 7	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Groves v. Travelers Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 7	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Haarhuis v. Cheek</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Haarhuis v. Cheek</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Haarhuis v. Cheek</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Haarhuis v. Cheek</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hager v. Smithfield East Health Holdings, LLC</b> N.C.   December 04, 2019   373 N.C. 253	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hall v. Cohen</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   361 N.C. 692	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hall v. Toreros II, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 19, 2009   363 N.C. 125	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hall v. Toreros II, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 30, 2009   363 N.C. 257	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamby v. Profile Products</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   January 22, 2007   641 S.E.2d 807		
<b>Hamby v. Profile Products</b> N.C.   March 05, 2007   643 S.E.2d 596	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamby v. Profile Products</b> N.C.   February 15, 2007   643 S.E.2d 589	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamby v. Profile Products</b> N.C.   November 08, 2007   654 S.E.2d 475	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamilton v. Mortgage Information Services, Inc.</b> N.C.   January 22, 2013   736 S.E.2d 183	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamilton v. Mortgage Information Services, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   739 S.E.2d 849	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamilton v. Mortgage Information Services, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 15, 2013   366 N.C. 579	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamilton v. Mortgage Information Services, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 15, 2013   366 N.C. 579	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamlet H.M.A., LLC v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   April 30, 2019   826 S.E.2d 453	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamlet H.M.A., LLC v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   May 08, 2019   826 S.E.2d 693	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamlet H.M.A., LLC v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   April 12, 2019   825 S.E.2d 255	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamlet H.M.A., LLC v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   April 04, 2019   824 S.E.2d 431	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Hamlet H.M.A., LLC v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   May 17, 2019   827 S.E.2d 107	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamlet H.M.A., LLC v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   June 25, 2019   829 S.E.2d 205	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamlet H.M.A., LLC v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   January 23, 2020   373 N.C. 590	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hardin v. Liverpool &amp; London &amp; Globe Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   April 08, 1925   189 N.C. 423	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harmon v. Hunt</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harold Lang Jewelers, Inc. v. Johnson</b> N.C.   August 22, 2003   357 N.C. 458	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harrington v. Roeder</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harris v. Department of Public Safety</b> N.C.   November 30, 2015   368 N.C. 603	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harris v. Iredell County</b> N.C.   December 05, 2018   371 N.C. 793	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hatcher v. Lee</b> N.C.   December 02, 1999   351 N.C. 187	Motion	No
<b>Haugh v. County of Durham</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 328	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Haugh v. County of Durham</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 328	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Hauser v. Brookview Women's Center, PLLC</b> N.C.   June 09, 2021   377 N.C. 557	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hayes v. Macias</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 353	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hayes v. Town of Fairmont</b> N.C.   March 04, 1999   350 N.C. 81	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hearne v. Sherman</b> N.C.   December 03, 1998   349 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hearne v. Sherman &amp; Chatham Co.</b> N.C.   December 03, 1998   349 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Heatherly v. State</b> N.C.   May 27, 2008   Not Reported in S.E.2d	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Heflin v. G.R. Hammonds Roofing, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 11, 2010   363 N.C. 854	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Henderson v. Vaughn</b> N.C.   April 14, 2020   374 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Henderson v. Vaughn</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   851 S.E.2d 382	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Henderson v. Vaughn</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Henderson v. Vaughn</b> N.C.   April 06, 2020   374 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hershner v. NC Dept. of Admin.</b> N.C.   February 09, 2015   368 N.C. 240	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Hershner v. NC Dept. of Administration</b> N.C.   January 14, 2015   767 S.E.2d 327	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hieb v. Lowery</b> N.C.   November 04, 1999   351 N.C. 103	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>High Point Bank and Trust Co. v. Highmark Properties, LLC</b> N.C.   September 24, 2015   368 N.C. 352	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>High Point Bank and Trust Co. v. Highmark Properties, LLC</b> N.C.   September 24, 2015   368 N.C. 352	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Garrison</b> N.C.   June 11, 2001   353 N.C. 726	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   March 02, 2006   360 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   August 17, 2006   360 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   July 28, 2006   360 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   August 17, 2006   360 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   January 03, 2001   353 N.C. 373	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   642 S.E.2d 249	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   June 24, 1999   537 S.E.2d 213	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   August 17, 2006   635 S.E.2d 601		
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   December 19, 2002   356 N.C. 612	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   June 24, 1999   537 S.E.2d 213	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   March 02, 2006   360 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 8	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   January 03, 2001   353 N.C. 373	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   August 17, 2006   635 S.E.2d 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   October 01, 2003   587 S.E.2d 668	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   August 15, 2002   568 S.E.2d 195	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   January 03, 2001   546 S.E.2d 605	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Hill</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<u>Hill v. Hill</u> N.C.   August 17, 2006   360 N.C. 577	Motion	Yes
<u>Hill v. StubHub, Inc.</u> N.C.   January 24, 2013   736 S.E.2d 504	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Hill v. Stubhub, Inc.</u> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 424	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Hinton v. State</u> N.C.   September 07, 2021   861 S.E.2d 751	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Hinton v. State</u> N.C.   September 23, 2020   375 N.C. 502	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Hoag v. County of Pitt</u> N.C.   September 23, 2020   375 N.C. 494	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Hockney &amp; Ass'n v. Cornelius</u> N.C.   January 26, 2006   628 S.E.2d 251	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Hockney &amp; Asso. v. State</u> N.C.   October 05, 2006   636 S.E.2d 806	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Hoke County Bd. of Educ. v. State</u> N.C.   December 20, 2013   752 S.E.2d 501	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Hollin v. Council on Aging</u> N.C.   March 06, 2008   362 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Hollin v. Johnson County Council on Aging</u> N.C.   March 06, 2008   362 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Home Builders Ass'n of Fayetteville North Carolina, Inc. v. City of Fayetteville</u> N.C.   August 18, 2005   359 N.C. 851	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Home Builders Association of Fayetteville North Carolina, Inc. v. City of Fayetteville N.C.   August 18, 2005   359 N.C. 851</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Housecalls Home Health Care, Inc. v. State, Dept. of Health and Human Services N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 592</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Housecalls Home Health Care, Inc. v. State, Dept. of Health and Human Services N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 592</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Howard v. UNC-Chapel Hill N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 427</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Howard v. Wayne County Clerk of Court N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 299</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Howard v. Wayne County Clerk of Court N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 715</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Howes v. Hockney N.C.   January 26, 2006   628 S.E.2d 250</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Howes v. Hockney N.C.   January 26, 2006   628 S.E.2d 250</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hudgins v. Wagoner N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 88</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hudgins v. Wagoner N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 88</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hudson v. Hudson N.C.   March 03, 1999   533 S.E.2d 465</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Huffman v. Moore County N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 328</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Hummer v. Pulley, Watson, King &amp; Lische</b> N.C.   August 21, 2003   357 N.C. 459	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hummer v. Pulley, Watson, King &amp; Lische</b> N.C.   August 21, 2003   357 N.C. 459	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hummer v. Pulley, Watson, King &amp; Lischer</b> N.C.   August 21, 2003   357 N.C. 459	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hunt v. Department of Public Safety</b> N.C.   September 25, 2019   373 N.C. 60	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hunter v. Bowden</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 337	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hyde v. Poole</b> N.C.   December 12, 2016   369 N.C. 481	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hy-Tech Const., Inc. v. Wake County Bd. of Educ.</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re A.B.S.D.</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   710 S.E.2d 25	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re As.L.G.</b> N.C.   March 29, 2006   630 S.E.2d 671	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re B.G.</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   709 S.E.2d 918	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re B.G.</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   709 S.E.2d 919	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re C.L.C.</b> N.C.   March 29, 2006   630 S.E.2d 671	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Custodial Law Enforcement</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Recording Sought by City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   September 16, 2021   862 S.E.2d 198		
<b>In re Custodial Law Enforcement Recording Sought by City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   853 S.E.2d 147	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Custodial Law Enforcement Recording Sought by City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   August 09, 2021   860 S.E.2d 658	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Custodial Law Enforcement Recording Sought by City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   July 19, 2021   859 S.E.2d 631	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Custodial Law Enforcement Recording Sought by City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   March 02, 2021   853 S.E.2d 902	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Custodial Law Enforcement Recording Sought by City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   853 S.E.2d 151	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re D.N.W.</b> N.C.   August 30, 2013   367 N.C. 211	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re District Court Administrative Order</b> N.C.   January 26, 2012   720 S.E.2d 15	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re District Court Administrative Order</b> N.C.   January 27, 2012   365 N.C. 417	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re District Court Administrative Order</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   710 S.E.2d 6	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re E.D.</b> N.C.   May 28, 2019   827 S.E.2d 525	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re E.S.P.</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 587	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re E.S.P.</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 587	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Estate of Monk</b> N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 212	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Foreclosure of Deed of Trust of Hooper</b> N.C.   May 03, 2001   353 N.C. 451	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Fowler</b> N.C.   December 05, 2018   371 N.C. 794	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Frazier</b> N.C.   December 09, 1998   525 S.E.2d 783	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Frazier</b> N.C.   December 09, 1998   525 S.E.2d 783	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Frazier</b> N.C.   December 09, 1998   525 S.E.2d 783	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hall</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 250	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hayes</b> N.C.   January 28, 2010   363 N.C. 803	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hayes</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   January 28, 2010   363 N.C. 803		
<b>In re Hayes</b> N.C.   January 28, 2010   363 N.C. 803	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hill</b> N.C.   November 04, 1999   351 N.C. 105	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hill</b> N.C.   November 04, 1999   351 N.C. 105	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   838 S.E.2d 197	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   838 S.E.2d 196	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   838 S.E.2d 197	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   October 08, 2018   371 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 717	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 717	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   March 09, 2018   370 N.C. 697	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   October 08, 2018   371 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   October 08, 2018   371 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 717	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 592	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   June 03, 2020   374 N.C. 751	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   June 03, 2020   842 S.E.2d 588	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   October 04, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   October 04, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   October 04, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Hinton</b> N.C.   January 12, 2018   370 N.C. 588	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Huff</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 374	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Huff</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 374	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Inquiry Concerning a Judge</b> N.C.   November 22, 2002   356 N.C. 389	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re J.C.</b> N.C.   September 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 148	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re J.J.</b> N.C.   June 05, 2007   647 S.E.2d 429	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b><i>In re J.J.</i></b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 353	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re J.J.</i></b> N.C.   July 24, 2007   650 S.E.2d 441	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re J.J.</i></b> N.C.   July 12, 2007   650 S.E.2d 437	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re J.J.</i></b> N.C.   August 23, 2007   650 S.E.2d 604	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re J.J.</i></b> N.C.   July 24, 2007   650 S.E.2d 441	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re K.G.A.W.</i></b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 794	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re K.R.M.</i></b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re L.C.</i></b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 354	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re M.X.</i></b> N.C.   February 08, 2011   706 S.E.2d 240	Motion	No
<b><i>In re M.X.</i></b> N.C.   June 16, 2010   364 N.C. 241	Motion	No
<b><i>In re Marathon Holdings, LLC</i></b> N.C.   March 08, 2012   722 S.E.2d 787	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re Monk</i></b> N.C.   November 26, 2001   354 N.C. 573	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><i>In re N.J.</i></b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 384	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re N.J.</b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 384	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re N.J.</b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 383	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Pedestrian Walkway Failure</b> N.C.   January 26, 2006   360 N.C. 290	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Pedestrian Walkway Failure</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   610 S.E.2d 203	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Pedestrian Walkway Failure</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   610 S.E.2d 201	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Pedestrian Walkway Failure</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   610 S.E.2d 200	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Pedestrian Walkway Failure</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   610 S.E.2d 200	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Pedestrian Walkway Failure</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   610 S.E.2d 202	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Pedestrian Walkway Failure</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   610 S.E.2d 201	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Pedestrian Walkway Failure</b> N.C.   February 03, 2005   610 S.E.2d 202	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   December 02, 2020   850 S.E.2d 349	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   December 02, 2020   850 S.E.2d 349	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   April 19, 2021   856 S.E.2d 859	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   April 19, 2021   856 S.E.2d 122	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   December 02, 2020   850 S.E.2d 348	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   November 23, 2020   849 S.E.2d 566	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   June 03, 2020   374 N.C. 751	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   February 25, 2021   376 N.C. 903	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   June 09, 2021   377 N.C. 568	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Spencer</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 811	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re T.M.</b> N.C.   September 17, 2007   651 S.E.2d 889	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re T.W.B., Jr.</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 562	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Taylor</b> N.C.   February 05, 2004   358 N.C. 154	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re Trusell</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 407	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Trusell</b> N.C.   April 12, 2012   724 S.E.2d 912	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Wade</b> N.C.   May 04, 2006   360 N.C. 481	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Wade</b> N.C.   May 04, 2006   360 N.C. 481	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Wade</b> N.C.   May 04, 2006   632 S.E.2d 773	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Wade</b> N.C.   May 04, 2006   360 N.C. 481	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Wade</b> N.C.   May 04, 2006   360 N.C. 481	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Will of Campbell</b> N.C.   March 27, 2003   357 N.C. 63	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Will of Cole</b> N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 309	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Will of McFayden</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   361 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Will of McFayden</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   361 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Will of Turner</b> N.C.   August 23, 2007   361 N.C. 568	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Yelverton</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re Z.C.M.</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 428	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Z.C.M.</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 428	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Inland Harbor Homeowners Ass'n, Inc. v. St. Josephs Marina, LLC</b> N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 595	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>International Fidelity Ins. Co. v. Cortez</b> N.C.   January 09, 2014   367 N.C. 291	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Isasi v. F.D.Y., Inc.</b> N.C.   April 05, 2001   353 N.C. 375	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ivarsson v. Office of Indigent Defense Services</b> N.C.   June 12, 2003   357 N.C. 250	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James River Equipment, Inc. v. Mecklenburg Utilities, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James River Equipment, Inc. v. Mecklenburg Utilities, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James River Equipment, Inc. v. Mecklenburg Utilities, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   April 28, 2005   359 N.C. 633	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   May 04, 2005   359 N.C. 633	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. Bartlett</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   May 04, 2005   359 N.C. 633		
<b>James v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   December 22, 2004   359 N.C. 274	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. State</b> N.C.   March 27, 2019   372 N.C. 109	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. State</b> N.C.   December 29, 2020   376 N.C. 678	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. State</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 302	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 05, 2001   353 N.C. 376	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jensen v. Jessamy</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 602	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>John Conner Const. v. Grandfather Holding Co.</b> N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 603	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. Lucas</b> N.C.   October 08, 2009   363 N.C. 654	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. Superior Court Judge</b> N.C.   June 30, 2008   664 S.E.2d 564	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnston v. State</b> N.C.   September 26, 2013   367 N.C. 234	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnston v. State</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 562	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnston v. State</b> N.C.   January 17, 2013   366 N.C. 422	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Johnston v. State</b> N.C.   May 29, 2013   743 S.E.2d 175	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnston v. State</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 562	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Joker Club, LLC v. Hardin</b> N.C.   January 24, 2008   362 N.C. 176	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jones v. Dalton</b> N.C.   June 23, 2008   667 S.E.2d 720	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jones v. Dalton</b> N.C.   October 09, 2008   362 N.C. 509	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jones v. Weyerhaeuser Co.</b> N.C.   June 07, 2001   353 N.C. 525	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jordan v. North Carolina Dept. of Transp., Div. of Motor Vehicles</b> N.C.   March 01, 2001   353 N.C. 376	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jordan v. State</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 526	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Journey Capital, LLC v. City of Concord</b> N.C.   March 12, 2021   376 N.C. 878	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>K2 Asia Ventures v. Krispy Kreme Doughnut Corporation</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 313	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>K2 Asia Ventures v. Krispy Kreme Doughnut Corporation</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 315	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>K2 Asia Ventures v. Krispy Kreme Doughnut Corporation</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 315	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

K2 Asia Ventures v. Krispy Kreme Doughnut Corporation N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 313	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Kegley v. City of Fayetteville N.C.   September 13, 2005   360 N.C. 64	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Kegley v. City of Fayetteville N.C.   August 18, 2005   359 N.C. 852	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Kegley v. City of Fayetteville N.C.   September 13, 2005   360 N.C. 64	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Kegley v. City of Fayetteville N.C.   August 18, 2005   359 N.C. 852	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Kempson v. North Carolina Dept. of Human Resources N.C.   May 02, 1991   328 N.C. 722	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Kennedy v. Kennedy N.C.   September 11, 2003   357 N.C. 506	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Kennedy v. Speedway Motorsports Inc. N.C.   October 05, 2006   360 N.C. 648	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
King v. Brooks N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 589	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
King v. Bryant N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 794	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
King v. Speedway Motorsports N.C.   February 03, 2005   609 S.E.2d 774	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
King v. Speedway Motorsports N.C.   February 03, 2005   609 S.E.2d 774	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>King v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   599 S.E.2d 398	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>King v. Speedway Motorsports</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   599 S.E.2d 398	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Knight v. York</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 11	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Knight v. York</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 11	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Knight v. York</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 11	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kuplen v. Arnold</b> N.C.   December 30, 1998   526 S.E.2d 178	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lacey v. Kirk</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   771 S.E.2d 321	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lacey v. Kirk</b> N.C.   March 13, 2015   769 S.E.2d 628	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lacey v. Kirk</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 250	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   August 18, 2021   861 S.E.2d 335	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   January 26, 2021   376 N.C. 661	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   September 22, 2020   847 S.E.2d	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

408		
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   September 22, 2020   847 S.E.2d 407	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   March 24, 2020   839 S.E.2d 58	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   June 29, 2020   843 S.E.2d 451	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   September 22, 2020   847 S.E.2d 406	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   September 09, 2020   847 S.E.2d 37	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   September 23, 2020   375 N.C. 500	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   March 30, 2020   839 S.E.2d 63	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   April 11, 2019   372 N.C. 301	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lake v. State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 591	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Lane v. American Nat. Can Co.</b> N.C.   March 06, 2008   362 N.C. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lawson v. Lawson</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   781 S.E.2d 618	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Legette v. Scotland Memorial Hosp.</b> N.C.   January 24, 2008   362 N.C. 177	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Leveaux-Quigless v. Pilgrim</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Leveaux-Quigless v. Pilgrim</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Level 3 Communications, LLC v. Couch</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lewis v. Craven Regional Medical Center</b> N.C.   March 02, 2006   360 N.C. 364	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>LexisNexis Risk Data Management, Inc. v. NC Administrative Office of Courts</b> N.C.   August 05, 2015   368 N.C. 280	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lifestore Bank v. Mingo Tribal Preservation Trust</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 255	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lifestore Bank v. Mingo Tribal Preservation Trust</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 255	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Light v. Prasad</b> N.C.   August 12, 2020   375 N.C. 277	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lindberg v. Lindberg</b> N.C.   December 10, 2019   373 N.C. 258	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Lipe v. Davis Co.</b> N.C.   November 08, 2001   354 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lipe v. Starr Davis Co., Inc.</b> N.C.   November 08, 2001   354 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lipe v. Starr Davis Co., Inc.</b> N.C.   December 19, 2002   356 N.C. 614	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Livesay v. Carolina First Bank</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 246	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Long v. State</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 414	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Long v. State</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 414	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Long v. State</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 414	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Long v. Watts</b> N.C.   March 08, 1922   183 N.C. 99	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Loredo v. CSX Transp., Inc.</b> N.C.   October 06, 2005   360 N.C. 65	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lucas v. LL Bldg. Products, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Macias v. BSI Associates, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   374 N.C. 434	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Magnolia Mfg. of North Carolina, Inc. v. Erie Ins. Exchange</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 371	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Malloy v. Cooper</b> N.C.   June 17, 2009   363 N.C. 374	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Market America, Inc. v. Christman-Orth</b> N.C.   February 03, 2000   351 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Masood v. Oil Co.</b> N.C.   March 05, 2007   643 S.E.2d 596	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of A.P.</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of Custodial Law Enforcement Recording Sought by City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   June 23, 2021   378 N.C. 354	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of Custodial Law Enforcement Recording Sought by City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 673	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of E.D.</b> N.C.   June 24, 2019   372 N.C. 700	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of Foreclosure of Deed of Trust By Clayton</b> N.C.   March 11, 1998   500 S.E.2d 97	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McCrann v. Pinehurst, LLC</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 593	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McDonald v. North Carolina Dept. of Correction</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 237	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McKinney v. McKinney</b> N.C.   January 23, 2014   367 N.C. 288	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McKoy v. Beasley</b> N.C.   October 06, 2011   365 N.C. 352	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McKoy v. Beasley</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   October 06, 2011   732 S.E.2d 343		
<b>McKyer v. McKyer</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaughlin v. Bailey</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaughlin v. Bailey</b> N.C.   November 02, 2015   778 S.E.2d 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaughlin v. Bailey</b> N.C.   September 03, 2015   776 S.E.2d 511	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaughlin v. Bailey</b> N.C.   October 06, 2015   777 S.E.2d 72	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaughlin v. Bailey</b> N.C.   December 18, 2015   781 S.E.2d 464	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaughlin v. Bailey</b> N.C.   October 07, 2015   777 S.E.2d 74	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaughlin v. Bailey</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   838 S.E.2d 184	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaughlin v. Bailey</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 284	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mecklenburg County v. Simply Fashion Stores, Ltd.</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 187	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Medical Mut. Ins. Co.of North Carolina v. Mauldin</b> N.C.   May 03, 2001   353 N.C. 456	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Mehaffey v. Burger King</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 248	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Meherrin Tribe of North Carolina v. North Carolina State Com'n of Indian Affairs</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 238	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Melton v. Stamm</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 377	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Melton v. Stamm</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 377	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Melton v. Stamm</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 377	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Metro Development Group, LLC v. City of Concord</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   376 N.C. 881	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mishoe v. Sikes</b> N.C.   May 05, 1995   340 N.C. 256	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mission Hospitals, Inc. v. North Carolina Dept. of Health and Human Services, Div. of Facility Services, Certificate of Need Section</b> N.C.   September 03, 2008   667 S.E.2d 464	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mission Hospitals v. Radiation Therapy</b> N.C.   September 03, 2008   667 S.E.2d 465	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mitchell, Brewer, Richardson, Adams, Burge &amp; Boughman, PLLC v. Brewer</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 188	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mitchell v. Brewer</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 188	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Monteith v. Kovas</b> N.C.   May 13, 2004   358 N.C. 544	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moore v. Beck</b> N.C.   June 11, 2002   355 N.C. 750	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moore v. Beck</b> N.C.   June 11, 2002   355 N.C. 750	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moore v. City of Creedmoor</b> N.C.   February 10, 1997   345 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moretz v. Miller</b> N.C.   September 04, 1997   347 N.C. 137	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morgan v. Steiner</b> N.C.   October 05, 2006   360 N.C. 648	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morgan v. Steiner</b> N.C.   October 05, 2006   360 N.C. 648	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morguard Lodge Apartments, LLC v. Follum</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 843	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morguard Lodge Apartments, LLC v. Follum</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 843	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morguard Lodge Apartments, LLC v. Follum</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 350	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morguard Lodge Apartments, LLC v. Follum</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 347	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morguard Lodge Apartments, LLC v.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Follum</b> N.C.   January 24, 2020   373 N.C. 595		
<b>Morguard Lodge Apartments, LLC v. Follum</b> N.C.   January 31, 2020   374 N.C. 270	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morguard Lodge Apartments, LLC v. Follum</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 349	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morris v. E.A. Morris Charitable Foundation</b> N.C.   February 09, 2004   358 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morris v. Thomas</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   358 N.C. 544	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morris v. Thomas</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   358 N.C. 544	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morris v. U.S. Air, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 09, 1999   535 S.E.2d 41	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Murchison v. Regional Surgical Specialists</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 710	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mutter v. Ball</b> N.C.   April 25, 2016   784 S.E.2d 484	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mutter v. Ball</b> N.C.   April 26, 2016   784 S.E.2d 484	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mutter v. Ball</b> N.C.   April 25, 2016   785 S.E.2d 91	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Myles v. Lucas and McCowan Masonry</b> N.C.   November 08, 2007   361 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C. Department of Environmental Quality v. TRK Development, LLC N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 705	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
N.C. Dept. of Public Safety v. Tucker N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 246	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
N.C. State Bar v. Frazier N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
N.C. State Bar v. Frazier N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
N.C. State Bar v. Frazier N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
N.C. State Bar v. Frazier N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Nanny's Korner Day Care Center, Inc. v. North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child Development N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Nanny's Korner Day Care Center, Inc. v. North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child Development and Early Education N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 700	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Nay v. Cornerstone Staffing Solutions N.C.   March 09, 2021   854 S.E.2d 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Nay v. Cornerstone Staffing Solutions N.C.   February 03, 2021   853 S.E.2d 149	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Nay v. Cornerstone Staffing Solutions N.C.   April 06, 2021   855 S.E.2d 790	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

NC Alliance for Retired Americans v. NC State Board of Elections N.C.   October 23, 2020   848 S.E.2d 495	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
NC Alliance for Retired Americans v. NC State Board of Elections N.C.   October 23, 2020   848 S.E.2d 495	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
NC Alliance for Retired Americans v. NC State Board of Elections N.C.   October 23, 2020   848 S.E.2d 493	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
NC Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company, Inc. v. Dana N.C.   September 23, 2020   847 S.E.2d 409	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
NC Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company, Inc. v. Dana N.C.   November 25, 2020   849 S.E.2d 878	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
NC Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company, Inc. v. Dana N.C.   September 30, 2020   847 S.E.2d 891	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
NC State Bar v. Burford N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
NC State Bar v. Simmons N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 791	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
NC State Board of Education v. State N.C.   October 16, 2017   370 N.C. 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Neal v. Wilmington & W.R. Co. N.C.   June 01, 1862   8 Jones (NC) 482	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Nesbit v. Howard	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   June 04, 1993   333 N.C. 782		
<b>New Hanover County Board of Education v. Stein</b> N.C.   June 03, 2021   857 S.E.2d 851	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>New Hanover County Board of Education v. Stein</b> N.C.   July 06, 2021   858 S.E.2d 806	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>New Hanover County Board of Education v. Stein</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   856 S.E.2d 97	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>New Hanover County Board of Education v. Stein</b> N.C.   June 25, 2021   858 S.E.2d 626	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>New Hanover County Board of Education v. Stein</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   856 S.E.2d 105	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>New Hanover County Board of Education v. Stein</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   856 S.E.2d 106	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>New Hanover County Board of Education v. Stein</b> N.C.   September 20, 2021   862 S.E.2d 203	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>New Hanover County Board of Education v. Stein</b> N.C.   July 07, 2021   859 S.E.2d 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Newman v. Stepp</b> N.C.   January 26, 2021   376 N.C. 673	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Nichols v. Pulley</b> N.C.   January 11, 2019   372 N.C. 56	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Nichols v. Terry</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 295	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Nicholson v. Thom</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 434	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Nicholson v. Thom</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 434	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Nobles v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   May 03, 2019   372 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Norfolk Southern Ry. Co. v. Smith</b> N.C.   November 03, 2005   360 N.C. 66	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Alliance for Retired Americans v. North Carolina State Board of Elections</b> N.C.   October 26, 2020   848 S.E.2d 497	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Alliance for Retired Americans v. North Carolina State Board of Elections</b> N.C.   October 26, 2020   848 S.E.2d 496	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Counties Liability and Property Joint Risk Management Agency v. Curry</b> N.C.   October 09, 2008   362 N.C. 509	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Dept. of Public Safety v. Tucker</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 246	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Dept. of Transp. v. County of Durham</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   361 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

North Carolina Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company, Inc. v. Dana N.C.   September 23, 2020   375 N.C. 499	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina Nat. Bank v. Morgan N.C.   March 05, 1980   299 N.C. 541	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina State Bar v. Burford N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina State Bar v. Frazier N.C.   March 02, 2001   353 N.C. 378	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina State Bar v. Hudson N.C.   September 05, 1996   476 S.E.2d 121	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina State Bar v. Hudson N.C.   July 30, 1996   473 S.E.2d 618	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina State Bar v. Simmons N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 791	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina State Bar v. Sossoman N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 89	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina State Bar v. Sossomon N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 89	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina State Bar v. Springs N.C.   March 10, 2021   376 N.C. 899	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina Bd. of Educ. v. North Carolina Learns, Inc. N.C.   August 19, 2014   762 S.E.2d 209	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
North Carolina v. Bullock N.C.   April 01, 2020   374 N.C. 265	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Northwestern North Carolina R. Co. v. Jenkins</b> N.C.   January 01, 1871   65 N.C. 173	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>O'Carroll v. Texasgulf, Inc.</b> N.C.   July 22, 1999   350 N.C. 834	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ochsner v. Elon University</b> N.C.   September 26, 2012   732 S.E.2d 160	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ochsner v. Elon University</b> N.C.   October 30, 2012   733 S.E.2d 160	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ochsner v. Elon University</b> N.C.   October 30, 2012   733 S.E.2d 157	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ochsner v. Elon University</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 246	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Okafor v. Okafor</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 796	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Olavarria v. Wake County Human Services</b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 394	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Orlando Residence, Ltd. v. Alliance Hospitality Management, LLC</b> N.C.   September 30, 2020   376 N.C. 532	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Parker v. Barefoot</b> N.C.   December 03, 1998   525 S.E.2d 455	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Parrish v. Bryant</b> N.C.   February 25, 1953   237 N.C. 256	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peal By Peal v. Smith</b> N.C.   June 02, 1995   340 N.C. 352	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Pegg v. Jones</b> N.C.   April 30, 2008   662 S.E.2d 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peltier v. Greyhound Lines</b> N.C.   March 02, 2006   630 S.E.2d 186	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peltier v. Greyhound Lines</b> N.C.   March 02, 2006   630 S.E.2d 186	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Performance Friction Corp. v. Lamba</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 98	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Performance Friction Corp. v. Lamba</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 98	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Perry v. Presbyterian Hosp.</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 188	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Person v. Montgomery</b> N.C.   February 23, 1897   120 N.C. 111	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peterson v. Polk-Sullivan, LLC</b> N.C.   February 03, 2011   365 N.C. 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Phelps v. Stabilus</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 428	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Piazza v. Kirkbride</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 297	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pigg v. Massagee</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   710 S.E.2d 36	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pigg v. Massagee</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 207	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Piraino Brothers, LLC v. Atlantic</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Financial Group, Inc.</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 357		
<b>Pitts v. American Sec. Ins.</b> N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 214	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pitts v. American Sec. Ins.</b> N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 214	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pitts v. American Sec. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 214	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pitts v. American Security Ins.</b> N.C.   November 14, 2002   356 N.C. 439	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pitts v. American Security Ins.</b> N.C.   November 14, 2002   356 N.C. 439	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Plott v. Bojangle's</b> N.C.   March 28, 2007   645 S.E.2d 76	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Plott v. Bojangle's</b> N.C.   May 17, 2007   647 S.E.2d 98	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ponton v. Wilmington &amp; W. R. Co.</b> N.C.   December 01, 1858   6 Jones (NC) 245	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pottle v. Link</b> N.C.   October 09, 2008   362 N.C. 509	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pottle v. Link</b> N.C.   October 09, 2008   668 S.E.2d 30	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pottle v. Link</b> N.C.   October 09, 2008   668 S.E.2d 30	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pottle v. Link</b> N.C.   June 11, 2008   663 S.E.2d 316	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Pottle v. Link</b> N.C.   June 11, 2008   362 N.C. 361	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pottle v. Link</b> N.C.   June 11, 2008   663 S.E.2d 317	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Powell v. City of Newton</b> N.C.   February 23, 2011   365 N.C. 90	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Powers v. Tatum</b> N.C.   August 27, 2009   363 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Powers v. Tatum</b> N.C.   August 27, 2009   363 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Presbyterian Hosp. v. N.C. Dept. of Health and Human Services</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 221	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Price v. City of Winston-Salem</b> N.C.   March 01, 2001   353 N.C. 380	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Price v. State, Office of State Auditor</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 902	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Price v. State, Office of State Auditor</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 902	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Price v. State, Office of State Auditor</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Price v. State Office of State Auditor</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 901	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Privette v. North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 314	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Proctor v. North Carolina Farm Bureau Mut. Ins. Co. N.C.   January 28, 1994   335 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Pugh v. Raleigh & G.R. Co. N.C.   June 01, 1867   Phil.Law 359	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company N.C.   October 01, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 209	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company N.C.   September 01, 2021   861 S.E.2d 563	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company N.C.   September 17, 2021   862 S.E.2d 201	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company N.C.   September 17, 2021   862 S.E.2d 200	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company N.C.   September 17, 2021   862 S.E.2d 202	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company N.C.   September 30, 2021   862 S.E.2d 359	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   September 30, 2021   862 S.E.2d 360	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   October 01, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   October 06, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   October 04, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   October 06, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   October 07, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   860 S.E.2d 909	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 309	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 307	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Radiator Specialty Company v. Arrowood Indemnity Company</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 310	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Raleigh Housing Authority v. Winston N.C.   May 07, 2020   841 S.E.2d 548	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Raleigh Housing Authority v. Winston N.C.   April 29, 2020   840 S.E.2d 783	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Raleigh Housing Authority v. Winston N.C.   July 21, 2020   844 S.E.2d 313	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Rankins v. Parker N.C.   June 27, 2007   648 S.E.2d 844	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Red Valve, Inc. v. Titan Valve, Inc. N.C.   March 12, 2020   838 S.E.2d 462	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Reese v. Barbee N.C.   December 02, 1999   351 N.C. 188	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Reimann v. Research Triangle Inst. N.C.   June 12, 2003   357 N.C. 252	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Reimann v. Research Triangle Institute N.C.   June 12, 2003   357 N.C. 252	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Renfro v. Richardson Sports N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Renfro v. Richardson Sports N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Renfro v. Richardson Sports Ltd. Partners N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Renfro v. Richardson Sports Ltd. Partners N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Rice v. Jones	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   October 08, 1998   349 N.C. 232		
<b>Richardson v. Bank of America</b> N.C.   March 06, 2008   659 S.E.2d 740	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Richardson v. BP Oil Co.</b> N.C.   May 08, 1997   346 N.C. 180	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Richardson v. Miller</b> N.C.   February 04, 1999   350 N.C. 98	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ridenhour v. IBM</b> N.C.   June 24, 1999   350 N.C. 595	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ridenhour v. International Business Machines Corp.</b> N.C.   June 24, 1999   350 N.C. 595	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Riggings Homeowners, Inc. v. Coastal Resources Com'n</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 803	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robbins v. Tweetsie Railroad, Inc.</b> N.C.   November 06, 1997   347 N.C. 402	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roberts ex rel. Perry v. Adventure Holdings, LLC</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 187	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roberts v. State</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 532	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roberts v. State</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   851 S.E.2d 369	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roberts v. State</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   851 S.E.2d 626	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Robertson v. Steris Corp.</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 241	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robinette v. Barriger</b> N.C.   December 04, 1997   347 N.C. 411	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robinette v. Barriger</b> N.C.   November 03, 1995   342 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robinson v. COA Judges</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   648 S.E.2d 845	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robinson v. COA Judges</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   648 S.E.2d 844	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robinson v. O'Connor</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   533 S.E.2d 469	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robinson v. Powell</b> N.C.   May 06, 1999   350 N.C. 380	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rodriguez-Carias v. Nelson's Auto Salvage &amp; Towing Service</b> N.C.   February 05, 2009   363 N.C. 130	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rouse v. Williams Realty Bldg. Co.</b> N.C.   November 08, 2001   556 S.E.2d 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roush v. Kennon</b> N.C.   June 11, 2008   664 S.E.2d 309	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roush v. Kennon</b> N.C.   June 11, 2008   664 S.E.2d 310	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Royal v. Armstrong</b> N.C.   April 06, 2000   351 N.C. 474	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Rumple v. DeLellis</b> N.C.   March 22, 2011   365 N.C. 187	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rumple v. DeLellis</b> N.C.   February 07, 2011   365 N.C. 85	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rumple v. DeLellis</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 85	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rumple v. DeLellis</b> N.C.   March 22, 2011   365 N.C. 187	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Saft America v. Batteries, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 26, 2008   666 S.E.2d 488	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Saft America v. Plainview Batteries, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 26, 2008   666 S.E.2d 488	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Sheriff of Onslow County</b> N.C.   March 30, 2020   839 S.E.2d 334	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Sheriff of Onslow County</b> N.C.   July 17, 2020   844 S.E.2d 8	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Sheriff of Onslow County</b> N.C.   August 26, 2020   846 S.E.2d 503	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Sheriff of Onslow County</b> N.C.   October 08, 2020   847 S.E.2d 897	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Sheriff of Onslow County</b> N.C.   November 12, 2020   849 S.E.2d 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Sheriff of Onslow County</b> N.C.   October 14, 2020   848 S.E.2d 485	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Sheriff of Onslow County</b> N.C.   November 19, 2019   373 N.C. 257	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Sheriff of Onslow County</b> N.C.   September 15, 2020   847 S.E.2d 41	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Sheriff of Onslow County</b> N.C.   November 13, 2017   370 N.C. 383	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. State ex rel. Cooper</b> N.C.   April 05, 2018   370 N.C. 689	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. State ex rel. Cooper</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 587	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. State ex rel. Cooper</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   838 S.E.2d 179	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Santos v. North Carolina Mutual Life Insurance Company</b> N.C.   May 09, 2018   371 N.C. 112	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sartori v. North Carolina Dept. of Corrections</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   644 S.E.2d 230	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Satte v. Campbell</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 271	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Savino v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Authority</b> N.C.   May 30, 2019   827 S.E.2d 527		
<b>Savino v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   July 16, 2019   829 S.E.2d 223	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Savino v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   August 06, 2019   829 S.E.2d 910	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Savino v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   August 16, 2019   830 S.E.2d 845	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Schenkel &amp; Shultz v. Fox &amp; Asso.</b> N.C.   March 15, 2007   645 S.E.2d 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Schenkel &amp; Shultz v. Fox &amp; Asso.</b> N.C.   February 20, 2007   643 S.E.2d 592	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Schenkel &amp; Shultz v. Fox &amp; Asso.</b> N.C.   January 10, 2007   641 S.E.2d 805	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Schimmeck v. City of Winston-Salem</b> N.C.   December 30, 1998   349 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Scott v. Wilmington &amp; R.R. Co.</b> N.C.   June 01, 1857   4 Jones (NC) 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sea Ranch Owners Ass'n, Inc. v. Sea Ranch II, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 357	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sea Ranch Owners Ass'n v. Sea Ranch</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   646 S.E.2d 117	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sea Ranch Owners Asso. v. Sea Ranch</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 357	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Sea Ranch Owners Asso. v. Sea Ranch</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 357	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Security Credit Corp., Inc. v. Barefoot</b> N.C.   March 13, 2014   367 N.C. 325	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>SED Holdings, LLC v. 3 Star Properties, LLC</b> N.C.   December 04, 2019   373 N.C. 253	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>SED Holdings, LLC v. 3 Star Properties, LLC</b> N.C.   December 04, 2019   373 N.C. 253	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Seguro-Suarez v. Key Risk Insurance Company</b> N.C.   July 12, 2019   372 N.C. 715	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shaw v. Goodyear Tire &amp; Rubber Co.</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 204	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shaw v. Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co.</b> N.C.   December 18, 2013   367 N.C. 269	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shipp v. United Stage Lines</b> N.C.   November 03, 1926   192 N.C. 475	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shirey v. Shirey</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 675	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Simuel v. Timmons-Goodson</b> N.C.   July 27, 2009   681 S.E.2d 341	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sluder v. Sluder</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 705	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Small v. Morrison</b> N.C.   June 08, 1923   185 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Smith v. City of Fayetteville</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 238	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. North Carolina Motor Speedway, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 310	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Principal Mut. Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 99	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Reid</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   851 S.E.2d 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Reid</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 537	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Richardson Sports</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 536	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Richardson Sports</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 536	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Richardson Sports Ltd. Partners</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 536	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Richardson Sports Ltd. Partners</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 536	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Smith</b> N.C.   October 06, 2011   365 N.C. 347	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Snyder v. Learning Services</b> N.C.   December 11, 2008   362 N.C. 683	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sound Rivers, Inc. v. N.C. Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Water Resources</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   April 14, 2021   856 S.E.2d 99		
<b>Sound Rivers, Inc. v. NC Department of Environmental Quality</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 215	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sound Rivers, Inc. v. NC Department of Environmental Quality</b> N.C.   June 30, 2021   858 S.E.2d 802	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southeastern Acoustical Ceilings, Inc. v. Elder Const. &amp; Associates, Inc.</b> N.C.   January 19, 2010   690 S.E.2d 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southeastern Acoustical Ceilings v. Elder Const.</b> N.C.   January 19, 2010   690 S.E.2d 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Speagle v. Seitz</b> N.C.   January 18, 2001   546 S.E.2d 609	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Speagle v. Seitz</b> N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Speagle v. Seitz</b> N.C.   March 01, 2001   353 N.C. 381	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Springs v. City of Charlotte</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 428	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Springs v. City of Charlotte</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 428	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Spruce Pine Indus. Park, Inc. v. Explosives Supply Co. Inc.</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 222	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Spruill v. Lake Phelps Volunteer Fire Dept., Inc.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 310		
<b>State Burke</b> N.C.   September 09, 2016   369 N.C. 69	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Barker v. Ellis</b> N.C.   August 16, 2001   354 N.C. 74	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Com'r of Ins. v. Dare County</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 87	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Com'r of Ins. v. Dare County</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 87	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Cooper v. Kinston Charter Academy</b> N.C.   September 23, 2020   847 S.E.2d 421	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Cooper v. Kinston Charter Academy</b> N.C.   September 23, 2020   847 S.E.2d 412	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Cooper v. Kinston Charter Academy</b> N.C.   September 23, 2020   847 S.E.2d 413	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. N.C. Utilities Com'n v. Atty. Gen. Cooper</b> N.C.   August 29, 2014   763 S.E.2d 144	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Attorney General</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 809	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Attorney General</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 810	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Attorney General</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 810	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Attorney General</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 809	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Attorney General</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 809	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Atty. Gen.</b> N.C.   August 28, 2014   763 S.E.2d 144	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Virginia Electric</b> N.C.   December 07, 2020   850 S.E.2d 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Virginia Electric</b> N.C.   December 07, 2020   850 S.E.2d 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. v. NC Rate Bureau</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   534 S.E.2d 211	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State Hollars</b> N.C.   August 21, 2019   373 N.C. 62	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Aekins</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 436	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Alegria-Sanchez</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 222	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Alexander</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 358		
<b>State v. Alexander</b> N.C.   January 27, 2021   852 S.E.2d 893	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Al-Hamood</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 702	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Allah</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 808	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Allah</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   766 S.E.2d 677	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Allah</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 808	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Amyx</b> N.C.   June 11, 2015   368 N.C. 263	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Anderson</b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 520	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Archie</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   803 S.E.2d 398	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Armistead</b> N.C.   September 23, 2020   375 N.C. 499	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Armstrong</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 600	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Artis</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   371 N.C. 458	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 573	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   March 17, 2017   796 S.E.2d 926	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   June 30, 2017   370 N.C. 67	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   766 S.E.2d 608	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   December 18, 2013   752 S.E.2d 147	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   June 07, 2013   367 N.C. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   January 23, 2014   368 N.C. 608	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   July 20, 2018   815 S.E.2d 682	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   July 20, 2018   371 N.C. 451	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   January 15, 2014   753 S.E.2d 658	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 787	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   January 07, 2016   368 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   January 07, 2016   368 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   January 07, 2016   368 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   July 20, 2018   815 S.E.2d 679	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   March 15, 2019   372 N.C. 99	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   July 20, 2018   815 S.E.2d 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   July 18, 2018   815 S.E.2d 387	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   July 18, 2018   815 S.E.2d 386	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   July 18, 2018   371 N.C. 450	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine, Golphin, and Walters</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   781 S.E.2d 292	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine, Golphin, and Walters</b> N.C.   February 03, 2014   753 S.E.2d 799	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Avila</b> N.C.   March 26, 2001   353 N.C. 382	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bailey</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bailey</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   371 N.C. 461	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Bailey</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bailey</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   817 S.E.2d 580	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baker</b> N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 310	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baker</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   376 N.C. 884	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baker</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   783 S.E.2d 740	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baker</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   783 S.E.2d 743	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baker</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   784 S.E.2d 165	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State V. Baker</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 170	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   840 S.E.2d 796	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 539	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 539	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   840 S.E.2d 796	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   840 S.E.2d 777	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   841 S.E.2d 539	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   May 06, 2020   841 S.E.2d 548	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   June 07, 2018   371 N.C. 339	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   June 28, 2016   369 N.C. 32	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   March 13, 2018   370 N.C. 692	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   December 21, 2018   372 N.C. 53	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   July 10, 2020   375 N.C. 276	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 10, 1997   345 N.C. 756	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 530	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   840 S.E.2d 796	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ball</b> N.C.   April 15, 2013   739 S.E.2d 856	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ball</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 582	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ball</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 582	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Ballard</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ballard</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ballard</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Banks</b> N.C.   February 22, 2013   366 N.C. 567	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barksdale</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   648 S.E.2d 846	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barnes</b> N.C.   April 12, 2012   724 S.E.2d 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barnett</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 263	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barnhill</b> N.C.   March 08, 2012   722 S.E.2d 789	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barnhill</b> N.C.   April 12, 2012   724 S.E.2d 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barnhill</b> N.C.   June 13, 2012   366 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 561	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   June 11, 2014   367 N.C. 503	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   June 11, 2014   367 N.C. 503	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   June 11, 2014   367 N.C. 503	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   June 11, 2014   367 N.C. 503	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barton</b> N.C.   November 06, 2003   357 N.C. 579	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bass</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 361	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bass</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   718 S.E.2d 405	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bates</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   361 N.C. 696	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Batten</b> N.C.   December 30, 1998   526 S.E.2d 469	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Batts</b> N.C.   May 03, 2017   369 N.C. 566	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Batts</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   717 S.E.2d 572	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baysden</b> N.C.   April 10, 1997   345 N.C. 756	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Beal</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   374 N.C. 425	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Beal</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 668	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Beale</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 311		
<b>State v. Bean</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 211	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bell</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 210	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bell</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   860 S.E.2d 914	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Benfield</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 736	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Benfield</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 515	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bennett</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bennett</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   376 N.C. 883	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bennett</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 207	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Berrier</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 568	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Berrier</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 568	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Best</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Best</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 801	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Best</b> N.C.   March 08, 2018   370 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Biber</b> N.C.   January 13, 2011   705 S.E.2d 386	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Black</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 208	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Black</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 208	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Black</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 208	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Black</b> N.C.   November 01, 2017   370 N.C. 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Black</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   365 N.C. 344	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blackmon</b> N.C.   December 30, 1998   349 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blackmon</b> N.C.   April 12, 2012   724 S.E.2d 528	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blackstock</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   370 N.C. 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blackstock</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   370 N.C. 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blackwell</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   370 N.C. 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blakeman</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 786	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Blakeman</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 786	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blakeman</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 786	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blancher</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 430	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blankenship</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   653 S.E.2d 4	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blankenship</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   653 S.E.2d 3	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blankenship</b> N.C.   November 04, 2010   364 N.C. 599	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blankenship</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   653 S.E.2d 3	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blanton</b> N.C.   October 07, 1999   351 N.C. 110	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bolen</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 174	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bonetsky</b> N.C.   May 13, 2016   785 S.E.2d 323	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bonetsky</b> N.C.   May 18, 2016   786 S.E.2d 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Borders</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 272	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bowden</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   371 N.C. 478	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Bowden</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   371 N.C. 478	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bowens</b> N.C.   March 01, 2001   353 N.C. 383	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bowes</b> N.C.   February 05, 2004   358 N.C. 156	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bowes</b> N.C.   December 16, 2004   359 N.C. 276	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bowes</b> N.C.   February 05, 2004   606 S.E.2d 691	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bowes</b> N.C.   February 05, 2004   358 N.C. 156	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bracamontes</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 431	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bradsher</b> N.C.   January 11, 2021   376 N.C. 666	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brewton</b> N.C.   October 05, 2006   636 S.E.2d 812	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brewton</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 297	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bridges</b> N.C.   June 09, 2021   377 N.C. 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brooks-Bey</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 606	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brown</b> N.C.   October 06, 2005   621 S.E.2d 882	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Brown</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 384	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brown</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 565	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bryant</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 255	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Buchanan</b> N.C.   August 12, 2020   375 N.C. 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bullock</b> N.C.   August 23, 2007   361 N.C. 570	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 37	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   February 19, 2019   372 N.C. 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   February 19, 2019   372 N.C. 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   February 19, 2019   372 N.C. 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 511	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 737	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   June 10, 2016   786 S.E.2d 926	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   October 20, 2016   793 S.E.2d 253	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   February 19, 2019   372 N.C. 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   February 19, 2019   372 N.C. 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   June 11, 2019   372 N.C. 357	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   June 03, 2020   374 N.C. 747	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Butler</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 314	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Butler</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   860 S.E.2d 914	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Butler</b> N.C.   May 20, 2019   372 N.C. 361	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Byers</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 739	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Byers</b> N.C.   January 15, 2019   372 N.C. 53	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Byers</b> N.C.   September 23, 2020   847 S.E.2d 882	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Byler</b> N.C.   January 24, 2008   362 N.C. 177	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Byrd</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Byrd</b> N.C.   March 16, 2020   374 N.C. 268	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Calhoun</b> N.C.   January 30, 2019   372 N.C. 53	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Calhoun</b> N.C.   April 05, 2018   370 N.C. 692	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Campbell</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 271	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Canty</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 578	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Canty</b> N.C.   January 07, 2013   735 S.E.2d 823	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Canty</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 578	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Capers</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 187	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caple</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   641 S.E.2d 10	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caple</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 170	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caple</b> N.C.   December 20, 2006   361 N.C. 163	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caple</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 170	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caple</b> N.C.   December 18, 2007   657 S.E.2d 372	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Caple</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   361 N.C. 697	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caple</b> N.C.   October 22, 2007   653 S.E.2d 884	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Carrouthers</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 361	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Carson</b> N.C.   June 09, 2017   370 N.C. 68	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Carson</b> N.C.   May 18, 2017   369 N.C. 756	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Carter</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   784 S.E.2d 165	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Carter</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 532	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caudill</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caudle</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 171	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caudle</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 171	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caudle</b> N.C.   August 23, 2007   361 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caudle</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 171	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caudle</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 151	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Cauley</b> N.C.   July 19, 2001   551 S.E.2d 107	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cauley</b> N.C.   March 24, 2011   706 S.E.2d 493	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chance</b> N.C.   May 06, 1999   350 N.C. 382	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chance</b> N.C.   May 06, 1999   350 N.C. 382	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chappell</b> N.C.   December 02, 1999   541 S.E.2d 718	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chappell</b> N.C.   December 02, 1999   541 S.E.2d 717	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chappell</b> N.C.   December 19, 2002   356 N.C. 617	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chappell</b> N.C.   February 05, 2004   593 S.E.2d 80	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chappell</b> N.C.   August 12, 2004   601 S.E.2d 865	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chappell</b> N.C.   December 02, 1999   541 S.E.2d 718	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Clark</b> N.C.   August 21, 2019   372 N.C. 697	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Clegg</b> N.C.   May 03, 2001   353 N.C. 453	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Clemons</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cole</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 605	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cole</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 605	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cole</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 605	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cole</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 197	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Conrad</b> N.C.   August 16, 2001   354 N.C. 71	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Corbett</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 714	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Corbett</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 714	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Corbett</b> N.C.   November 05, 2019   373 N.C. 176	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Corbett</b> N.C.   February 24, 2020   837 S.E.2d 721	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Corbett</b> N.C.   August 12, 2020   845 S.E.2d 794	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Corbett</b> N.C.   August 12, 2020   846 S.E.2d 276	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Corbett</b> N.C.   August 12, 2020   845 S.E.2d 792	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Corbett</b> N.C.   August 12, 2020   845 S.E.2d 793	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Corbett</b> N.C.   August 12, 2020   375 N.C. 276	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Core</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   599 S.E.2d 563	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cornelison</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 86	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Craig</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Craven</b> N.C.   January 15, 2013   735 S.E.2d 827	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cruz</b> N.C.   August 26, 2008   666 S.E.2d 764	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cruz</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 237	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cruz</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 237	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cunningham</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 586	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dammons</b> N.C.   November 06, 2003   357 N.C. 579	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Daniels</b> N.C.   December 06, 2007   362 N.C. 89	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Daniels</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 565	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Davis</b> N.C.   February 03, 2011   365 N.C. 81	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Davis</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 798	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Davis</b> N.C.   August 12, 2020   375 N.C. 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dawkins</b> N.C.   April 01, 2004   358 N.C. 237	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dawson</b> N.C.   May 03, 2001   353 N.C. 453	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Delair</b> N.C.   June 11, 2019   372 N.C. 352	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Delegge</b> N.C.   December 05, 2018   371 N.C. 790	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Demos</b> N.C.   October 07, 2010   702 S.E.2d 497	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Demos</b> N.C.   October 07, 2010   702 S.E.2d 497	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Demos</b> N.C.   October 07, 2010   702 S.E.2d 498	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Denning</b> N.C.   August 19, 2014   367 N.C. 491	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Derbyshire</b> N.C.   January 23, 2014   367 N.C. 289	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Derbyshire</b> N.C.   January 23, 2014   367 N.C. 289	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Desperados, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 361	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Desperados, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 28, 2007   643 S.E.2d 595	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Desperados, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 27, 2007   645 S.E.2d 381	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Desperados, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 28, 2007   645 S.E.2d 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Desperados, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 06, 2007   643 S.E.2d 405	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Diaz</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 223	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Diaz-Tomas</b> N.C.   March 02, 2021   853 S.E.2d 902	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Diaz-Tomas</b> N.C.   January 05, 2021   852 S.E.2d 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Diaz-Tomas</b> N.C.   January 29, 2021   852 S.E.2d 896	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dixon</b> N.C.   March 07, 2018   370 N.C. 692	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dixon</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   371 N.C. 448	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Doisey</b> N.C.   November 21, 2002   572 S.E.2d 792	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Doisey</b> N.C.   November 21, 2002   572 S.E.2d	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

791		
<b>State v. Doisey</b> N.C.   March 06, 2002   561 S.E.2d 262	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Doisey</b> N.C.   March 06, 2002   561 S.E.2d 263	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dominguez</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   784 S.E.2d 168	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dominguez</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   784 S.E.2d 168	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dominguez</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   784 S.E.2d 167	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dominguez</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   784 S.E.2d 168	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dove</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   642 S.E.2d 710	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dove</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 700	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dove</b> N.C.   January 30, 2019   372 N.C. 53	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dove</b> N.C.   September 22, 2016   369 N.C. 67	Motion	Yes
<b>State v. Dravis</b> N.C.   September 04, 2019   372 N.C. 728	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dravis</b> N.C.   September 04, 2019   839 S.E.2d 837	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Dravis</b> N.C.   September 04, 2019   372 N.C. 721	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Duvall</b> N.C.   April 05, 2018   370 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Duvall</b> N.C.   April 05, 2018   370 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Duvall</b> N.C.   April 05, 2018   370 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Edwards</b> N.C.   April 13, 2016   784 S.E.2d 476	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Edwards</b> N.C.   April 13, 2016   784 S.E.2d 475	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Edwards</b> N.C.   April 13, 2016   784 S.E.2d 475	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ellerbe</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 893	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ellis</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   533 S.E.2d 472	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Evans</b> N.C.   June 13, 2012   748 S.E.2d 314	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Evans</b> N.C.   June 13, 2012   748 S.E.2d 314	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Evans</b> N.C.   June 13, 2012   748 S.E.2d 522	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fancher</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   860 S.E.2d 913	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Farrow</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Farrow</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 579	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Farrow</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 299	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Farrow</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 299	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Farrow</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   371 N.C. 484	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Farrow</b> N.C.   July 02, 2018   371 N.C. 459	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Feimster</b> N.C.   February 04, 1999   350 N.C. 102	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fellner</b> N.C.   November 01, 2017   370 N.C. 281	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fennell</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 244	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fennell</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 244	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ferguson</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 386	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fisher</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 223	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fisher</b> N.C.   January 18, 2001   353 N.C. 386	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Flores</b> N.C.   March 05, 1998   500 S.E.2d 92	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Flores-Chavez</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   732 S.E.2d 145	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Floyd</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 258	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ford</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   646 S.E.2d 368	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ford</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 267	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Foxworth</b> N.C.   June 09, 2016   787 S.E.2d 27	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fraley</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   833 S.E.2d 627	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fraley</b> N.C.   September 09, 2019   373 N.C. 66	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fraley</b> N.C.   September 09, 2019   373 N.C. 66	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fraley</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 180	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fraley</b> N.C.   March 14, 2017   369 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. France</b> N.C.   August 06, 2020   375 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Freeman</b> N.C.   April 29, 2020   374 N.C. 435	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b><u>State v. Frink</u></b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   358 N.C. 547	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Fullard</u></b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   781 S.E.2d 799	Motion	No
<b><u>State v. Galaviz-Torres</u></b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 379	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Galaviz-Torres</u></b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 380	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Gant</u></b> N.C.   October 04, 2012   366 N.C. 398	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Gardner</u></b> N.C.   August 15, 2002   568 S.E.2d 851	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Gardner</u></b> N.C.   August 15, 2002   568 S.E.2d 851	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Gattis</u></b> N.C.   October 04, 2012   366 N.C. 399	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Gibbs</u></b> N.C.   April 10, 2008   362 N.C. 366	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Gillis</u></b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 784	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Gillispie</u></b> N.C.   June 26, 2019   372 N.C. 704	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Gilmore</u></b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 578	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b><u>State v. Gist</u></b> N.C.   April 01, 2004   358 N.C. 238	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Gist</b> N.C.   April 01, 2004   358 N.C. 238	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Godley</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 387	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 587	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   June 30, 2017   370 N.C. 81	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   March 17, 2017   796 S.E.2d 927	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 587	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   July 18, 2018   815 S.E.2d 388	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   July 18, 2018   815 S.E.2d 385	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   July 18, 2018   371 N.C. 461	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   July 20, 2018   815 S.E.2d 686	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   July 20, 2018   815 S.E.2d 688	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Golphin</b> N.C.   July 20, 2018   815 S.E.2d 683	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gonzales</b> N.C.   March 19, 2009   675 S.E.2d 664	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Goodson</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Goodson</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gould</b> N.C.   July 24, 2019   372 N.C. 699	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gould</b> N.C.   December 20, 2019   373 N.C. 580	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gould</b> N.C.   September 23, 2019   373 N.C. 55	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gould</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 171	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Govan</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Graham</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grainger</b> N.C.   January 18, 2013   366 N.C. 422	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grainger</b> N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 589	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Griffin</b> N.C.   June 11, 2014   367 N.C. 514	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Griffin</b> N.C.   June 11, 2014   367 N.C. 514	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Griffin</b> N.C.   June 11, 2014   367 N.C. 514	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Griffin</b> N.C.   September 04, 2019   372 N.C. 727	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Griffin</b> N.C.   September 04, 2019   372 N.C. 723	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Griffin</b> N.C.   March 06, 2020   374 N.C. 265	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grosholz</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grosholz</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grosholz</b> N.C.   December 18, 2013   367 N.C. 272	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grosholz</b> N.C.   December 18, 2013   367 N.C. 272	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grosholz</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grosholz</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grosholz</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grosholz</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gullette</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 176	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Haizlip</b> N.C.   September 19, 2016   369 N.C. 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Haizlip</b> N.C.   September 29, 2016   369 N.C. 193	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Haizlip</b> N.C.   September 19, 2016   369 N.C. 78	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Haizlip</b> N.C.   April 13, 2017   369 N.C. 569	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Haizlip</b> N.C.   May 30, 2017   369 N.C. 758	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Haizlip</b> N.C.   May 30, 2017   369 N.C. 758	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hall</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hammett</b> N.C.   August 23, 2007   361 N.C. 572	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hammonds</b> N.C.   June 07, 2001   353 N.C. 529	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hargett</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 669	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Harrington</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 691	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Harris</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   370 N.C. 70	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Harris</b> N.C.   December 06, 2007   362 N.C. 89	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Harris</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 297	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Harshaw</b> N.C.   December 08, 2016   369 N.C. 192	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hazelwood</b> N.C.   February 24, 2020   373 N.C. 596	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Henslee</b> N.C.   November 01, 2017   370 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 32	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 32	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 213	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Herring</b> N.C.   June 09, 2021   377 N.C. 560	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hill</b> N.C.   November 03, 2005   360 N.C. 71	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hill</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hill</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hill</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hill</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Hill</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hill</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hinton</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hinton</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 536	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hinton</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hinton</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hinton</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hobbs</b> N.C.   February 08, 2021   853 S.E.2d 173	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoff</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 211	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hollars</b> N.C.   September 19, 2019   373 N.C. 62	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hollars</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 176	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Holman</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 418	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 364	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 364	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 364	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   653 S.E.2d 149	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   January 26, 2017   369 N.C. 483	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   June 13, 2012   366 N.C. 228	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   July 09, 2012   366 N.C. 248	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 248	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 231	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   653 S.E.2d 149	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   653 S.E.2d 150	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   June 13, 2012   366 N.C. 228	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 231	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   June 05, 2020   375 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   July 07, 2020   375 N.C. 286	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoover</b> N.C.   October 07, 2016   369 N.C. 195	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hope</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 438	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoppes</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   371 N.C. 455	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Horton</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 604	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Horton</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 604	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hough</b> N.C.   March 01, 2001   353 N.C. 389	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hough</b> N.C.   October 04, 2012   732 S.E.2d 354	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hough</b> N.C.   October 04, 2012   366 N.C. 386	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Howard</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 587	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Howie</b> N.C.   October 24, 2018   371 N.C. 574	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Hoxit</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   772 S.E.2d 712	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoxit</b> N.C.   January 20, 2015   767 S.E.2d 329	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hoxit</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 261	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hubbard</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 433	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hunter</b> N.C.   March 08, 2012   724 S.E.2d 506	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hunter</b> N.C.   September 05, 2012   366 N.C. 373	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Huntley</b> N.C.   June 30, 2005   359 N.C. 639	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hurd</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 34	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hurt</b> N.C.   October 04, 2012   366 N.C. 399	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hurt</b> N.C.   October 04, 2012   366 N.C. 399	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hurt</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 807	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Huss</b> N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 603	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hyde</b> N.C.   November 03, 2005   360 N.C. 72	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Hyde</b> N.C.   September 22, 2016   369 N.C. 67	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ingram</b> N.C.   June 11, 2015   773 S.E.2d 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ingram</b> N.C.   June 11, 2015   368 N.C. 260	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jackson</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   370 N.C. 68	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 175	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   May 06, 2004   358 N.C. 379	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 175	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   731 S.E.2d 831	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 193	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   February 05, 2009   673 S.E.2d 870	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jacobs and McMillian</b> N.C.   November 24, 2008   362 N.C. 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jandreau</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 240	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 364	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 364	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   December 08, 2016   369 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   January 28, 2010   363 N.C. 808	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   March 27, 2019   372 N.C. 106	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   March 27, 2019   372 N.C. 106	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   April 10, 2008   661 S.E.2d 887	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 263	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   February 05, 2009   673 S.E.2d 871	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   April 30, 2008   662 S.E.2d 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   October 07, 2010   702 S.E.2d 501	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 232	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   March 18, 2021   377 N.C. 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   January 20, 2021   376 N.C. 669	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   September 14, 2021   862 S.E.2d 196	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 576	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   February 05, 2009   673 S.E.2d 870	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   June 09, 2021   377 N.C. 565	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   August 06, 2021   860 S.E.2d 656	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnston</b> N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 313	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnston</b> N.C.   April 08, 1999   350 N.C. 313	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jones</b> N.C.   January 26, 2006   628 S.E.2d 775	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jones</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 86	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jones</b> N.C.   January 30, 2019   372 N.C. 62	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jones</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 537	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jordan</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 574	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jordan</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 536	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Joyce</b> N.C.   May 13, 2020   374 N.C. 748	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Joyce</b> N.C.   May 05, 2020   374 N.C. 748	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Joyner</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 84	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Joyner</b> N.C.   January 25, 2011   365 N.C. 71	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Joyner</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 84	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Keane</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Killette</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 673	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Killette</b> N.C.   April 09, 2021   855 S.E.2d 793	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Killette</b> N.C.   May 10, 2021   857 S.E.2d 138	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kimble</b> N.C.   December 01, 2005   360 N.C. 178	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. King</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 197	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. King</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 425	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. King</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 425		
<b>State v. King</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 425	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. King</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 425	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kinston Charter Academy</b> N.C.   October 16, 2020   848 S.E.2d 488	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kinston Charter Academy</b> N.C.   January 26, 2021   852 S.E.2d 890	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kinston Charter Academy</b> N.C.   January 26, 2021   376 N.C. 666	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kinston Charter Academy</b> N.C.   January 29, 2021   852 S.E.2d 895	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kirby</b> N.C.   August 12, 2004   602 S.E.2d 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kirby</b> N.C.   August 12, 2004   602 S.E.2d 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kirk</b> N.C.   March 08, 2012   723 S.E.2d 540	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kirk</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 232	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kirk</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 232	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kirk</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 232	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Kirk</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 232	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kirk</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 85	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kluttz</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 594	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kluttz</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   838 S.E.2d 186	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kyprianides</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kyprianides</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kyprianides</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lane</b> N.C.   December 03, 1998   349 N.C. 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lane</b> N.C.   December 16, 2015   780 S.E.2d 834	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lane</b> N.C.   January 15, 2016   781 S.E.2d 27	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lane</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 607	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Laney</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 426	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Laney</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 426	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Laney</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 426	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lassiter</b> N.C.   August 16, 2001   354 N.C. 72	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Latham</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 292	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Leazer</b> N.C.   October 04, 2001   354 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lee</b> N.C.   March 07, 1996   342 N.C. 897	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. LeGrande</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 215	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. LeGrande</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   371 N.C. 458	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Legrande</b> N.C.   February 07, 2019   372 N.C. 104	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lennon</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 263	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lewis</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   709 S.E.2d 597	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lewis</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   709 S.E.2d 926	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lewis</b> N.C.   July 28, 2011   712 S.E.2d 144	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lewis</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   June 15, 2011   710 S.E.2d 4		
<b>State v. Lockhart</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lockhart</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   838 S.E.2d 185	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lockhart</b> N.C.   June 11, 2019   372 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Locklear</b> N.C.   February 05, 2004   358 N.C. 157	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Loftis</b> N.C.   September 25, 2019   373 N.C. 56	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Long</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 175	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Long</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 175	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Long</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 155	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Long</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 223	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Long</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 223	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Love</b> N.C.   November 01, 2017   370 N.C. 279	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Love</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Lowery</b> N.C.   June 08, 2017   369 N.C. 758	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lowry</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   731 S.E.2d 833	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lowry</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   731 S.E.2d 833	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lowry</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 223	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Luckey</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 590	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lynch</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 194	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mabe</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   717 S.E.2d 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mabe</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   732 S.E.2d 150	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mabe</b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 381	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mack</b> N.C.   May 06, 2004   358 N.C. 379	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mack</b> N.C.   September 24, 2015   368 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mack</b> N.C.   September 24, 2015   368 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mack</b> N.C.   September 24, 2015   368 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Mackey</b> N.C.   January 05, 2011   365 N.C. 81	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mackey</b> N.C.   January 05, 2011   365 N.C. 81	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mackey</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 193	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mackey</b> N.C.   January 05, 2011   365 N.C. 81	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mackey</b> N.C.   January 25, 2011   365 N.C. 81	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mackey</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   707 S.E.2d 246	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. MacMoran</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 784	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Manning</b> N.C.   October 17, 2017   370 N.C. 275	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Manning</b> N.C.   June 11, 2019   372 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Marshburn</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   599 S.E.2d 912	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Martin</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 227	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Matthews</b> N.C.   January 23, 2014   367 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. May</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 275	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. McAdams</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McAllister</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 430	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McClendon</b> N.C.   December 30, 1998   349 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McCord</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 392	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McCord</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 392	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McCord</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 34	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McKenzie</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 792	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McKinney</b> N.C.   March 17, 2006   630 S.E.2d 668	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McKoy</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   731 S.E.2d 835	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McKoy</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   731 S.E.2d 832	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McKoy</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 405	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McKoy</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 405	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McKoy</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 405	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. McKoy</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   731 S.E.2d 832	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McKoy</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   731 S.E.2d 832	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McLymore</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   854 S.E.2d 589	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McLymore</b> N.C.   April 06, 2021   855 S.E.2d 790	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McLymore</b> N.C.   May 26, 2021   857 S.E.2d 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McPeters</b> N.C.   March 15, 2021   376 N.C. 902	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McPeters</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   854 S.E.2d 789	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McPhail</b> N.C.   January 22, 2015   367 N.C. 811	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McPhail</b> N.C.   June 08, 2017   369 N.C. 758	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McPherson</b> N.C.   February 21, 2008   659 S.E.2d 6	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McPherson</b> N.C.   February 21, 2008   659 S.E.2d 5	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Medley</b> N.C.   April 05, 2001   353 N.C. 393	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Meyer</b> N.C.   August 21, 2003   357 N.C. 467	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<u>State v. Meyer</u> N.C.   March 27, 2003   579 S.E.2d 880	Motion	No
<u>State v. Meyer</u> N.C.   July 15, 2003   584 S.E.2d 80	Motion	Yes
<u>State v. Meyer</u> N.C.   March 27, 2003   579 S.E.2d 880	Motion	No
<u>State v. Meyer</u> N.C.   June 12, 2003   583 S.E.2d 43	Motion	Yes
<u>State v. Miller</u> N.C.   January 23, 2014   367 N.C. 289	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>State v. Miller</u> N.C.   August 26, 2013   367 N.C. 257	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>State v. Miller</u> N.C.   March 12, 2014   367 N.C. 500	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>State v. Miller</u> N.C.   March 18, 2014   755 S.E.2d 639	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>State v. Miller</u> N.C.   January 23, 2014   367 N.C. 289	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>State v. Millsaps</u> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 434	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>State v. Millsaps</u> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 242	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>State v. Millsaps</u> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 242	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>State v. Millsaps</u> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 434	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   358 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 242	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   December 08, 2016   369 N.C. 196	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Millsaps</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Minyard</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   374 N.C. 261	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mitchell</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 578	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Moll</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 699	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Moore</b> N.C.   October 05, 2000   352 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Moore</b> N.C.   October 05, 2000   352 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Moore</b> N.C.   December 02, 1999   351 N.C. 190	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Moore</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 668	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Moreno</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Morrison</b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 394	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Morrison</b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 394	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mosby</b> N.C.   February 04, 1999   531 S.E.2d 829	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mosley</b> N.C.   September 25, 2019   373 N.C. 58	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Muhammad</b> N.C.   July 20, 2018   815 S.E.2d 677	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Muhammad</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   371 N.C. 453	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Munoz</b> N.C.   May 03, 2001   353 N.C. 454	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Murphy</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 176	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Murphy</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 176	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Murphy</b> N.C.   December 20, 2006   361 N.C. 164	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Murray</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nabors</b> N.C.   May 02, 2011   707 S.E.2d 635	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nabors</b> N.C.   August 22, 2011   365 N.C. 346	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nabors</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 192	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nettles</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 690	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   June 13, 2012   726 S.E.2d 853	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   October 06, 2011   717 S.E.2d 747	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   October 06, 2011   365 N.C. 347	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   October 06, 2011   718 S.E.2d 153	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   731 S.E.2d 433	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   October 06, 2011   732 S.E.2d 154	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 567	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 401	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 401	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 400	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   October 06, 2011   732 S.E.2d 153	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   October 06, 2011   732 S.E.2d 153	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   June 13, 2012   366 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 567	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 567	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 401	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nickerson</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 401	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nieves</b> N.C.   February 26, 2020   373 N.C. 594	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nkiam</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nkiam</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nkiam</b> N.C.   November 23, 2015   368 N.C. 606	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nkiam</b> N.C.   March 29, 2016   783 S.E.2d 744	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Norfolk Junior Best</b> N.C.   February 28, 2020   374 N.C. 267	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Norfolk Junior Best</b> N.C.   February 28, 2020   838 S.E.2d 200	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Nunez</b> N.C.   March 03, 2021   853 S.E.2d 906	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Oakes</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 197	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Oakes</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 197	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Oakley</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 578	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Oakley</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 578	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Oates</b> N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 585	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ocampo</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 676	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Osnarque</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 670	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Overocker</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 802	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Overocker</b> N.C.   October 21, 2014   764 S.E.2d 467	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Overocker</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 802	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Owens</b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   764 S.E.2d 465	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pabon</b> N.C.   January 05, 2021   852 S.E.2d 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Pabon</b> N.C.   March 09, 2021   854 S.E.2d 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pabon</b> N.C.   July 12, 2021   859 S.E.2d 221	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Packingham</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 433	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Packingham</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 433	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Paige</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   370 N.C. 71	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Paige</b> N.C.   September 23, 2020   375 N.C. 492	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Paige</b> N.C.   June 03, 2020   374 N.C. 748	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Paige</b> N.C.   December 17, 2020   376 N.C. 669	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Parker</b> N.C.   March 27, 2019   372 N.C. 106	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Parker</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Parker</b> N.C.   June 03, 2020   374 N.C. 751	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pastuer</b> N.C.   September 06, 2011   365 N.C. 352	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pastuer</b> N.C.   January 18, 2011   705 S.E.2d 386	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Pate</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 267	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Patterson</b> N.C.   June 08, 2017   369 N.C. 760	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Patterson</b> N.C.   June 11, 2019   372 N.C. 361	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Patterson</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 719	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Patton</b> N.C.   March 07, 2013   366 N.C. 565	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pearce</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 274	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pearce</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 274	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pendergraft</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 238	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Peoples</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 273	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Perkins</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 189	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Perkins</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 189	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Perkins</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 189	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Perry</b> N.C.   November 06, 1979   298 N.C. 502	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Perry</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 170	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Perry</b> N.C.   July 24, 2019   372 N.C. 710	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Perry</b> N.C.   November 05, 2020   376 N.C. 540	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Philip Morris USA, Inc.</b> N.C.   January 20, 2005   359 N.C. 277	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pierce</b> N.C.   January 23, 2014   367 N.C. 287	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pinch</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 543	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pittman</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 424	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pittman</b> N.C.   October 01, 2015   776 S.E.2d 861	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pittman</b> N.C.   August 27, 2013   367 N.C. 212	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pizano-Trejo</b> N.C.   January 15, 2013   735 S.E.2d 828	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pless</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 174	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pope</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 251	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pope</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 251	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Pope</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   366 N.C. 251	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Postell</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pouncy</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   358 N.C. 548	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pratt</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   643 S.E.2d 17	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Prince</b> N.C.   January 26, 2021   852 S.E.2d 629	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Prudente-Anorve</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 672	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Raborn</b> N.C.   October 24, 2018   371 N.C. 572	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Randall</b> N.C.   August 23, 2012   748 S.E.2d 528	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Randall</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 407	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Randall</b> N.C.   July 26, 2012   366 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Randolph</b> N.C.   March 06, 2008   362 N.C. 242	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rankin</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 680	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rattis</b> N.C.   October 06, 2005   360 N.C. 70	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Rattis</b> N.C.   October 06, 2005   360 N.C. 70	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rattis</b> N.C.   October 06, 2005   360 N.C. 70	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rattis</b> N.C.   October 06, 2005   360 N.C. 70	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rawlings</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 803	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reed</b> N.C.   August 18, 2016   369 N.C. 41	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reed</b> N.C.   May 03, 2017   369 N.C. 568	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reese</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 259	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reese</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 259	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reese</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 259	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reich</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   860 S.E.2d 913	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reich</b> N.C.   October 31, 2019   373 N.C. 258	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reich</b> N.C.   October 31, 2019   373 N.C. 258	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reich</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   851 S.E.2d 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Reid</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   718 S.E.2d 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reid</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   717 S.E.2d 562	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reid</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   718 S.E.2d 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reid</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   733 S.E.2d 84	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reid</b> N.C.   May 03, 2017   369 N.C. 566	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reid</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 209	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reynolds</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   643 S.E.2d 19	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rhodes</b> N.C.   June 13, 2012   726 S.E.2d 178	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rhodes</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 196	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rich</b> N.C.   October 08, 1998   349 N.C. 237	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ridgeway</b> N.C.   June 09, 2016   787 S.E.2d 27	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ridgeway</b> N.C.   June 09, 2016   789 S.E.2d 441	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ridgeway</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 532		
<b>State v. Ridley</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 435	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ridley</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 435	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rios</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 688	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Roberts</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 258	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Roberts</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 258	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Roberts</b> N.C.   June 08, 2017   369 N.C. 752	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Roberts</b> N.C.   June 08, 2017   369 N.C. 752	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Roberts</b> N.C.   June 08, 2017   369 N.C. 752	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   October 04, 2001   354 N.C. 226	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   January 23, 2007   641 S.E.2d 808	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   January 23, 2007   641 S.E.2d 808	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 717	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 434	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   June 25, 2019   372 N.C. 715	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   September 08, 2021   861 S.E.2d 752	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   January 21, 2021   852 S.E.2d 351	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   May 03, 2021   857 S.E.2d 131	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   February 24, 2021   853 S.E.2d 897	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   February 17, 2021   853 S.E.2d 738	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   March 31, 2021   855 S.E.2d 505	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   January 07, 2016   368 N.C. 688	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   January 07, 2016   368 N.C. 688	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   January 07, 2016   368 N.C. 688	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ross</b> N.C.   January 23, 2014   367 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ross</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 249	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No



N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 249		
<b>State v. Rouse</b> N.C.   April 05, 2018   370 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Royster</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Royster</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Satterwhite</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 549	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Satterwhite</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   851 S.E.2d 638	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Schalow</b> N.C.   January 27, 2020   373 N.C. 578	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Schalow</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 341	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Schalow</b> N.C.   May 29, 2020   842 S.E.2d 90	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Schalow</b> N.C.   June 29, 2020   843 S.E.2d 452	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Schalow</b> N.C.   April 01, 2020   839 S.E.2d 340	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Seam</b> N.C.   December 30, 2016   369 N.C. 480	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Seam</b> N.C.   October 17, 2019   833 S.E.2d 338	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Seam</b> N.C.   August 14, 2019   372 N.C. 698	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sellars</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 899	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sellars</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 896	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sellars</b> N.C.   March 05, 2018   370 N.C. 692	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sharma</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 679	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sharma</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   855 S.E.2d 272	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Shelton</b> N.C.   May 09, 2019   372 N.C. 292	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Shropshire</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 269	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Shropshire</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 269	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Shropshire</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 269	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Shue</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 436	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Smith</b> N.C.   May 06, 2004   597 S.E.2d 774	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Smith</b> N.C.   June 24, 2004   358 N.C. 549	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Smith</b> N.C.   August 21, 2019   372 N.C. 718	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Smith</b> N.C.   September 25, 2019   373 N.C. 56	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Smith</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 524	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Smith</b> N.C.   July 17, 2007   650 S.E.2d 439	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Smith</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 173	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Snow</b> N.C.   May 06, 2004   358 N.C. 380	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Speller</b> N.C.   June 11, 2008   362 N.C. 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Speller</b> N.C.   December 08, 2011   365 N.C. 366	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Speller</b> N.C.   December 08, 2011   365 N.C. 366	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Speller</b> N.C.   December 08, 2011   365 N.C. 366	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sprinkle</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 178	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sprinkle</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 178	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sprinkle</b> N.C.   December 19, 2006   361 N.C. 159	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Sprouse</b> N.C.   March 08, 2012   722 S.E.2d 787	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. St. John</b> N.C.   January 24, 2008   657 S.E.2d 890	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. St. John</b> N.C.   February 05, 2009   674 S.E.2d 417	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. St. John</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   373 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stafford</b> N.C.   November 08, 2007   655 S.E.2d 399	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stanley</b> N.C.   June 08, 2017   369 N.C. 759	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stanley</b> N.C.   November 17, 2016   369 N.C. 196	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stanley</b> N.C.   June 08, 2017   369 N.C. 759	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stanley</b> N.C.   June 08, 2017   369 N.C. 759	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Steen</b> N.C.   June 11, 2019   372 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stephens</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stephens</b> N.C.   August 02, 2011   365 N.C. 344	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stephens</b> N.C.   December 18, 2013   367 N.C. 272	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Stevenson</b> N.C.   April 10, 2014   367 N.C. 499	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stevenson</b> N.C.   April 10, 2014   367 N.C. 499	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stevenson</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 605	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stewart</b> N.C.   March 02, 2000   351 N.C. 368	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stewart</b> N.C.   July 19, 2001   552 S.E.2d 167	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stewart</b> N.C.   September 23, 2020   375 N.C. 494	Motion	No
<b>State v. Stewart</b> N.C.   August 12, 2020   375 N.C. 282	Motion	No
<b>State v. Stitt</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 367	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stroud</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   371 N.C. 453	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stubbs</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 259	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sturdivant</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 226	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sturdivant</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 226	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sullivan</b> N.C.   August 19, 2014   367 N.C. 518	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Sullivan</b> N.C.   December 15, 2015   368 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Surratt</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 245	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Taylor</b> N.C.   April 24, 2019   826 S.E.2d 451	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Teague</b> N.C.   October 24, 2018   371 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tench</b> N.C.   June 07, 2001   353 N.C. 532	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thacker</b> N.C.   October 11, 2007   653 S.E.2d 158	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thai</b> N.C.   February 23, 2007   643 S.E.2d 593	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thomas</b> N.C.   December 18, 2013   367 N.C. 280	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thomas</b> N.C.   December 18, 2013   367 N.C. 280	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thomas</b> N.C.   May 01, 2019   372 N.C. 300	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thomas</b> N.C.   March 27, 2019   372 N.C. 106	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tirado</b> N.C.   January 03, 2003   576 S.E.2d 109	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Townsend</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   March 08, 2012   723 S.E.2d 539		
<b>State v. Townsend</b> N.C.   March 08, 2012   722 S.E.2d 603	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Townsend</b> N.C.   December 08, 2011   365 N.C. 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Trent</b> N.C.   August 11, 2017   370 N.C. 78	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Trent</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 576	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tunstall</b> N.C.   August 24, 2000   545 S.E.2d 220	Motion	Yes
<b>State v. Turner</b> N.C.   March 10, 2021   376 N.C. 901	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Turner</b> N.C.   August 23, 2007   651 S.E.2d 561	Motion	No
<b>State v. Tyler</b> N.C.   June 13, 2019   372 N.C. 697	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tyler</b> N.C.   October 28, 2020   376 N.C. 528	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tyler</b> N.C.   November 10, 2020   376 N.C. 528	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tyler</b> N.C.   July 26, 2021   859 S.E.2d 926	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Vasquez</b> N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 433	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Vasquez</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   January 24, 2013   366 N.C. 433		
<b>State v. Vickers</b> N.C.   September 25, 2019   373 N.C. 59	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walker</b> N.C.   March 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walker</b> N.C.   February 03, 2011   365 N.C. 74	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walker</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 271	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walker</b> N.C.   April 10, 2008   662 S.E.2d 393	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walker</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 271	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wallace</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 436	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walston</b> N.C.   January 23, 2014   766 S.E.2d 608	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walters</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walters</b> N.C.   September 19, 2014   763 S.E.2d 151	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walters</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 188	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walters</b> N.C.   November 05, 2015   368 N.C. 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Washington</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 105	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Washington</b> N.C.   March 01, 2001   353 N.C. 396	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Washington</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 105	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Washington</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   644 S.E.2d 562	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Watkins</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 436	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Watlington</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 197	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Watson</b> N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 437	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Watson</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Watson</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 671	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Watson</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Watson</b> N.C.   March 16, 2017   369 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Watts</b> N.C.   November 08, 2007   361 N.C. 702	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Weathers</b> N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 596	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Wells</b> N.C.   January 24, 2008   362 N.C. 179	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Westbrook</b> N.C.   September 04, 2019   372 N.C. 727	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Westbrook</b> N.C.   September 04, 2019   372 N.C. 725	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Whisenant</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 738	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Whisenant</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   782 S.E.2d 738	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Whisenant</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   783 S.E.2d 740	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. White</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 105	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. White</b> N.C.   September 04, 2019   372 N.C. 728	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. White</b> N.C.   September 04, 2019   372 N.C. 726	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Whitley</b> N.C.   December 12, 2012   366 N.C. 411	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   November 21, 2002   356 N.C. 444	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   December 04, 2003   357 N.C. 661	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 573	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   April 10, 2008   662 S.E.2d 393	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 222	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   September 28, 2017   370 N.C. 222	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   October 09, 2014   763 S.E.2d 389	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   August 17, 2017   370 N.C. 70	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 241	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williamson</b> N.C.   May 03, 2007   646 S.E.2d 771	Motion	No
<b>State v. Willis</b> N.C.   January 17, 2020   373 N.C. 578	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Willis</b> N.C.   September 20, 2018   371 N.C. 475	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Willoughby</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 251	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wilson</b> N.C.   December 08, 2016   369 N.C. 182	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Windless</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 226	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Woods</b> N.C.   May 06, 1999   350 N.C. 384	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Woods</b> N.C.   August 19, 2021   861 S.E.2d 337	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Woods</b> N.C.   August 10, 2021   861 S.E.2d 311	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Woods</b> N.C.   October 07, 2021   --- S.E.2d ----	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wooten</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 85	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Worney</b> N.C.   February 04, 1999   350 N.C. 106	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Worney</b> N.C.   February 04, 1999   350 N.C. 106	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wray</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wray</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wray</b> N.C.   March 06, 2014   367 N.C. 327	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wray</b> N.C.   January 28, 2016   368 N.C. 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wray</b> N.C.   March 01, 2018   370 N.C. 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wray</b> N.C.   May 03, 2017   369 N.C. 570	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wray</b> N.C.   March 17, 2016   783 S.E.2d 744	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Wright</b> N.C.   April 16, 2013   366 N.C. 579	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wright</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 528	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Xiong</b> N.C.   August 14, 2018   371 N.C. 454	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Yelverton</b> N.C.   December 15, 2020   376 N.C. 537	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Young</b> N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 369	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Young</b> N.C.   September 17, 2014   763 S.E.2d 150	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Younger</b> N.C.   November 16, 2006   360 N.C. 654	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Youngs</b> N.C.   March 01, 2001   547 S.E.2d 430	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Steele v. Mecklenburg County Senior Resident Judge</b> N.C.   March 03, 2021   376 N.C. 896	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stegenga v. Burney</b> N.C.   January 26, 2006   360 N.C. 296	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stein v. Asheville City Bd. of Educ.</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 546	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   January 10, 2003   576 S.E.2d 332	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   January 10, 2003   576 S.E.2d 331		
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   February 27, 2003   356 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   February 27, 2003   356 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   March 27, 2003   579 S.E.2d 576	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   February 26, 2003   577 S.E.2d 107	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   February 05, 2004   593 S.E.2d 589	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   January 30, 2004   594 S.E.2d 25	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   January 30, 2004   358 N.C. 152	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   February 05, 2004   593 S.E.2d 589	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   February 05, 2004   593 S.E.2d 588	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   January 30, 2004   594 S.E.2d 26	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 544	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 544	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   January 30, 2004   358 N.C. 149	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   August 21, 2003   357 N.C. 470	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Gary Bartlett</b> N.C.   January 10, 2003   576 S.E.2d 332	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stevens v. Guzman</b> N.C.   October 04, 2001   554 S.E.2d 832	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stevens v. Guzman</b> N.C.   March 06, 2001   353 N.C. 397	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stevenson v. N.C. Dept. of Correction</b> N.C.   August 25, 2011   717 S.E.2d 559	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stewart v. Shipley</b> N.C.   June 11, 2019   372 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stokes v. Crumpton</b> N.C.   September 22, 2016   793 S.E.2d 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stokes v. Crumpton</b> N.C.   September 22, 2016   793 S.E.2d 220	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stout v. City of Durham</b> N.C.   October 10, 1996   344 N.C. 637	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stuart v. Cecil</b> N.C.   April 10, 1997   345 N.C. 760	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Suarez by and through Nordan v. American Ramp Company</b> N.C.   December 04, 2019   373 N.C. 257	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Surgical Care Affiliates, LLC v. N.C. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Div. of Health Service Regulation, Certificate of Need Section N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 242	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Swift v. Richardson Sports N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 545	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Swift v. Richardson Sports N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 545	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Swift v. Richardson Sports N.C.   June 29, 2006   360 N.C. 545	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Swisher v. Board of Adjustment of City of Greensboro N.C.   February 27, 2003   356 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Sykes v. Moss Trucking Co., Inc. N.C.   December 10, 2009   363 N.C. 748	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Tar Heel Home Health, Inc. v. North Carolina Dept. of Human Resources N.C.   October 08, 1998   349 N.C. 241	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Tate v. Christy N.C.   March 03, 1995   339 N.C. 731	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Taylor v. Nationsbank Corp. N.C.   June 05, 1997   346 N.C. 288	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Taylor v. North Carolina Farm Bureau Mut. Ins. Co., Inc. N.C.   May 03, 2007   361 N.C. 369	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Teague v. DOT N.C.   March 08, 2012   722 S.E.2d 602	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Teague v. DOT</b> N.C.   March 08, 2012   722 S.E.2d 602	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. DOT</b> N.C.   March 08, 2012   724 S.E.2d 908	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. Forbes</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 288	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. Forbes</b> N.C.   November 07, 2013   367 N.C. 288	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. NC Dept. of Transp.</b> N.C.   April 12, 2012   724 S.E.2d 912	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. NC Dept. of Transp.</b> N.C.   May 06, 2009   677 S.E.2d 842	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. NCDOT</b> N.C.   May 06, 2009   677 S.E.2d 842	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. NCDOT</b> N.C.   July 22, 2008   665 S.E.2d 90	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. NCDOT</b> N.C.   May 06, 2009   677 S.E.2d 843	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. NCDOT</b> N.C.   May 06, 2009   677 S.E.2d 842	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. NCDOT</b> N.C.   June 09, 2008   662 S.E.2d 900	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. NCDOT</b> N.C.   June 11, 2008   664 S.E.2d 560	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Teague v. NCDOT</b> N.C.   June 11, 2008   664 S.E.2d 560	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<u>Teague v. NCDOT</u> N.C.   May 06, 2009   677 S.E.2d 843	Motion	Yes
<u>Templeton Properties LP v. Town of Boone</u> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 791	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Templeton Properties LP v. Town of Boone</u> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 791	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Terry v. Director of Dept. of Corrections</u> N.C.   May 24, 2007   647 S.E.2d 100	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>The Disciplinary Hearing Com'n v. Frazier</u> N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>The Disciplinary Hearing Com'n v. Frazier</u> N.C.   January 31, 2002   355 N.C. 224	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Thomas v. State</u> N.C.   March 08, 2012   723 S.E.2d 770	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Thomas v. State</u> N.C.   March 08, 2012   723 S.E.2d 770	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Thorn v. Scherrer</u> N.C.   February 04, 1999   350 N.C. 107	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Timour v. Pitt County Memorial Hosp., Inc.</u> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 107	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Tise v. Yates Const. Co.</u> N.C.   December 30, 1998   349 N.C. 534	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Topping v. Meyers</u> N.C.   March 10, 2021   854 S.E.2d 800	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<u>Torain v. State</u> N.C.   December 18, 2001   559 S.E.2d	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

553		
<b>Town of Highlands v. Edwards</b> N.C.   August 16, 2001   354 N.C. 74	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Highlands v. Hendricks</b> N.C.   October 06, 2004   359 N.C. 75	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Matthews v. Wright</b> N.C.   November 09, 2011   365 N.C. 362	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Midland v. Morris</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 198	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Midland v. Morris</b> N.C.   April 07, 2011   365 N.C. 198	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Nags Head v. Richardson</b> N.C.   June 11, 2019   372 N.C. 359	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Nags Head v. Richardson</b> N.C.   June 11, 2019   372 N.C. 359	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Townsend v. Simmons</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   367 N.C. 797	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Treadway v. Diez</b> N.C.   March 10, 2011   365 N.C. 85	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Treadway v. Diez</b> N.C.   October 06, 2011   365 N.C. 349	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Treadway v. Diez</b> N.C.   March 11, 2011   365 N.C. 86	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Trillium Ridge Condominium Ass'n, Inc. v. Trillium Links &amp; Village, LLC</b> N.C.   December 18, 2014   766 S.E.2d 619	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Trillium Ridge Condominium Ass'n, Inc. v. Trillium, Links & Village, LLC N.C.   December 18, 2014   766 S.E.2d 647	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Trillium Ridge Condominium Ass'n, Inc. v. Trillium, Links & Village, LLC N.C.   December 18, 2014   766 S.E.2d 836	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Trillium Ridge Condominium Ass'n, Inc. v. Trillium, Links & Village, LLC N.C.   December 18, 2014   766 S.E.2d 646	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Trivette v. North Carolina Baptisit Hosp. N.C.   December 03, 1998   349 N.C. 379	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Tubiolo v. Abundant Life Church, Inc. N.C.   June 27, 2007   361 N.C. 437	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Turner v. Cape Fear Nav. Co. N.C.   December 01, 1832   2 Dev.Eq. 236	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Turner v. Ellis N.C.   March 08, 2007   361 N.C. 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Tyndall v. Ford Motor Co. N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 585	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Tyndall v. Ford Motor Co. N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 585	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Tyndall v. Ford Motor Co. N.C.   April 11, 2013   366 N.C. 585	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Tyndall v. Ford Motor Co. N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 245	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Tyndall v. Ford Motor Co.</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 245	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tyndall v. Ford Motor Co.</b> N.C.   April 22, 2013   740 S.E.2d 479	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Union Carbide Corp. v. Offerman</b> N.C.   September 10, 1999   540 S.E.2d 31	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Union County v. Town of Marshville</b> N.C.   June 07, 2018   371 N.C. 344	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Union County v. Town of Marshville</b> N.C.   November 15, 2016   369 N.C. 199	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Urquhart v. University Health Systems of East Carolina, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 06, 2006   631 S.E.2d 519	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Van Leuven v. Akers Motor Lines, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 28, 1962   256 N.C. 610	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vann v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   November 30, 1921   182 N.C. 567	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vick v. Davis</b> N.C.   July 02, 1986   317 N.C. 328	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Village of Raintree Homeowners, Inc. v. Raintree Country Club, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 03, 1999   350 N.C. 107	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank, Nat. Ass'n v. Clean River Corp.</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 227	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wake Cares, Inc. v. BOE</b> N.C.   May 22, 2008   362 N.C. 373	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Wake Cares, Inc. v. BOE</b> N.C.   August 26, 2008   362 N.C. 481	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wake Cares, Inc. v. Wake County Bd. of Educ.</b> N.C.   August 26, 2008   362 N.C. 481	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wake Cares, Inc. v. Wake County School Bd.</b> N.C.   November 08, 2007   655 S.E.2d 403	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wakemed v. North Carolina Dept. of Health and Human Services, Div. of Health Service Regulation, Certificate of Need Section</b> N.C.   June 12, 2013   366 N.C. 602	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Walden v. Morgan</b> N.C.   January 25, 2007   361 N.C. 227	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wallace v. Maxwell</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   376 N.C. 672	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wally v. City of Kannapolis</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 279	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wally v. City of Kannapolis</b> N.C.   August 20, 2015   368 N.C. 279	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Washington v. Mitchell</b> N.C.   October 08, 1998   349 N.C. 241	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Watts v. Hemlock Homes of Highlands, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 01, 2001   353 N.C. 398	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Weaver v. Sheppa</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   January 24, 2008   362 N.C. 180		
<b>Webb v. Nash Hospitals, Inc.</b> N.C.   November 04, 1999   351 N.C. 122	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Webb v. Nash Hospitals, Inc.</b> N.C.   November 04, 1999   541 S.E.2d 471	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wells v. Wells</b> N.C.   June 24, 1999   350 N.C. 599	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wetherington v N.C. Dept. of Crime Control &amp; Public Safety</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 237	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wetherington v N.C. Dept. of Crime Control and Public Safety</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 237	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wetherington v. N.C. Dept. of Crime Control &amp; Public Safety</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 237	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wetherington v. N.C. Dept. of Crime Control &amp; Public Safety</b> N.C.   March 06, 2015   768 S.E.2d 859	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wetherington v. N.C. Dept. of Crime Control &amp; Public Safety</b> N.C.   March 06, 2015   768 S.E.2d 858	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wetherington v. N.C. Dept. of Crime Control and Public Safety</b> N.C.   March 05, 2015   368 N.C. 237	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wetherington v. North Carolina Dept. of Public Safety</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 248	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Wetherington v. North Carolina Dept. of Public Safety</b> N.C.   April 01, 2015   770 S.E.2d 97	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wheless v. Maria Parham Medical Center, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   771 S.E.2d 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wheless v. Maria Parham Medical Center, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 247	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wicker v. Wicker</b> N.C.   February 28, 2020   374 N.C. 270	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. American Eagle Airlines, Inc.</b> N.C.   June 15, 2011   365 N.C. 195	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Enterprise Holdings, Inc.</b> N.C.   September 22, 2020   847 S.E.2d 406	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Enterprise Holdings, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 28, 2020   846 S.E.2d 505	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Enterprise Holdings, Inc.</b> N.C.   September 22, 2020   847 S.E.2d 406	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Enterprise Holdings, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 03, 2021   853 S.E.2d 155	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Haigler</b> N.C.   August 20, 2004   359 N.C. 75	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Haigler</b> N.C.   August 20, 2004   359 N.C. 75	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Law Companies Group, Inc.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   October 07, 2010   364 N.C. 442		
<b>Williams v. Maryfield, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 14, 2021   377 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   April 06, 2006   360 N.C. 492	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Town of Kernersville</b> N.C.   October 08, 1998   349 N.C. 241	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Vonderau</b> N.C.   March 14, 2007   645 S.E.2d 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Willoughby v. Johnston Memorial Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   November 01, 2017   370 N.C. 284	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wilmoth v. Hemric</b> N.C.   April 09, 2015   368 N.C. 247	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wilson v. Donayre</b> N.C.   February 04, 1999   350 N.C. 108	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wimes v. North Carolina Bd. of Nursing</b> N.C.   June 10, 2015   368 N.C. 262	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Winston Affordable Housing, L.L.C. v. Roberts</b> N.C.   July 08, 2019   372 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Winston Affordable Housing, L.L.C. v. Roberts</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   833 S.E.2d 621	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Winston Affordable Housing, L.L.C. v. Roberts</b> N.C.   October 30, 2019   833 S.E.2d 623	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Winston Affordable Housing, L.L.C. v. Roberts</b> N.C.   December 12, 2019   835 S.E.2d 461	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Winston Affordable Housing, L.L.C. v. Roberts</b> N.C.   December 12, 2019   835 S.E.2d 461	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Winston Affordable Housing, L.L.C. v. Roberts</b> N.C.   December 20, 2019   373 N.C. 582	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Woolard v. Robertson</b> N.C.   February 13, 2013   367 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Woolard v. Robertson</b> N.C.   February 12, 2013   367 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Woolard v. Robertson</b> N.C.   October 03, 2013   367 N.C. 235	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Woolard v. Weyerhaeuser</b> N.C.   February 01, 2001   353 N.C. 398	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wooten v. Newcon Transp., Inc.</b> N.C.   November 08, 2007   361 N.C. 704	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Worley v. Moore</b> N.C.   October 31, 2016   369 N.C. 190	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Worley v. Moore</b> N.C.   August 24, 2017   370 N.C. 223	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Worley v. Moore</b> N.C.   December 07, 2017   370 N.C. 379	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Worley v. Moore</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   September 15, 2016   792 S.E.2d 803		
<b>Worley v. Worley</b> N.C.   November 05, 1993   335 N.C. 166	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wynn v. United Health Services</b> N.C.   January 26, 2012   720 S.E.2d 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wynn v. United Health Services</b> N.C.   September 02, 2011   365 N.C. 354	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wynn v. United Health Services/Two Rivers Health-Trent Campus</b> N.C.   January 26, 2012   720 S.E.2d 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Young v. Gum</b> N.C.   April 10, 2008   362 N.C. 374	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Young v. Gum</b> N.C.   May 13, 2008   362 N.C. 374	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Zloop, Inc. v. Parker Poe Adams &amp; Bernstein LLP</b> N.C.   July 05, 2019   372 N.C. 700	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<u><b>Orders Where One or More Justice Did Not Participate for Unknown</b></u>		
<u><b>Reasons</b></u>		
<u><b>Case</b></u>	<u><b>On Motion or <i>Sua Sponte</i>?</b></u>	<u><b>Did Justice Recuse from Decision Not to Participate?</b></u>
<b>A-S-P Associates v. City of Raleigh</b> N.C.   October 03, 1979   298 N.C. 207	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Adams Kleemeier Hagan Hannah &amp; Fouts, PLLC v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   December 05, 2003   357 N.C. 651	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Adams v. Beaty Service Co.</b> N.C.   January 30, 1953   237 N.C. 136	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Adams v. North Carolina Dept. of Natural and Economic Resources</b> N.C.   November 28, 1978   295 N.C. 683	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Akzona, Inc. v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   October 01, 1985   314 N.C. 488	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Albritton v. Albritton</b> N.C.   May 20, 1936   210 N.C. 111	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Alexander v. Galloway</b> N.C.   March 03, 1954   239 N.C. 554	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Alford v. Catalytica Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 28, 2003   356 N.C. 654	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Alford v. Washington</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 132	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Allen v. Allemania Fire Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   May 25, 1938   213 N.C. 586	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Allen v. American Cotton Mills</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 704	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Allen v. Town of Bessemer City</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 915	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Allison v. Sharp</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 477	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Allred v. Trexler Lumber Co.</b> N.C.   November 16, 1927   194 N.C. 547	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Alston v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 114	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Air Filter Co. v. Robb</b> N.C.   June 16, 1966   267 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Clipper Corp. v. Howerton</b> N.C.   June 05, 1984   311 N.C. 151	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Equitable Assur. Co. of N. Y. v. Gold</b> N.C.   April 30, 1958   248 N.C. 288	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Equitable Assur. Co. of N. Y. v. Gold</b> N.C.   January 28, 1959   249 N.C. 461	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Mfrs. Mut. Ins. Co. v. Ingram</b> N.C.   October 07, 1980   301 N.C. 138	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Tours, Inc. v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   January 07, 1986   315 N.C. 341	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Trust Co. v. Catawba Sales &amp; Processing Co.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   June 30, 1955   242 N.C. 370		
<b>American Trust Co. v. Johnson</b> N.C.   December 10, 1952   236 N.C. 594	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>American Trust Co. v. Williamson</b> N.C.   January 30, 1948   228 N.C. 458	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ammons v. Layton</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 122	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Amward Homes, Inc. v. Town of Cary</b> N.C.   November 10, 2011   365 N.C. 305	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Anderson v. Bridgers</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 456	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Anderson v. Edwards</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 510	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Anderson v. Talman Office Supplies</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 142	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Andrews v. Andrews</b> N.C.   April 18, 1956   243 N.C. 779	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Andrews v. Bruton</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 93	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Apel v. Queen City Coach Co.</b> N.C.   April 13, 1966   267 N.C. 25	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Appeal of Harris</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 20	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Appeal of K-Mart Corp.</b> N.C.   April 07, 1987   319 N.C. 378	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Appeal of North Carolina Forestry Foundation, Inc.</b> N.C.   January 04, 1979   296 N.C. 330	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Applewood Properties, LLC v. New South Properties, LLC</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 518	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Application of Department of Archives and History</b> N.C.   June 07, 1957   246 N.C. 392	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Arcady Farms Mill. Co. v. Wallace</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 686	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Armstrong v. Ledges Homeowners Ass'n, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 18, 2006   360 N.C. 547	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Arp v. Parkdale Mills, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 28, 2003   356 N.C. 657	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Atkins v. Daniel</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Atlantic Joint Stock Land Bank of Raleigh v. Williams</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 104	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Attorney General v. Gorson</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 320	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ausley v. Bishop</b> N.C.   November 22, 2002   356 N.C. 422	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Austin v. Continental General Tire</b> N.C.   November 09, 2001   354 N.C. 344	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Aylor v. Barnes</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 223		
<b>B-W Acceptance Corp. v. Spencer</b> N.C.   August 26, 1966   268 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Babbs v. Eury</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 679	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Badame v. Lampke</b> N.C.   October 19, 1955   242 N.C. 755	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Badillo v. Cunningham</b> N.C.   December 15, 2006   361 N.C. 112	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bailey v. Ferguson</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 264	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bailey v. State</b> N.C.   April 07, 2000   351 N.C. 440	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bailey v. State Highway &amp; Public Works Commission</b> N.C.   October 12, 1938   214 N.C. 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bailey v. Westmoreland</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 843	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baker v. Fruehauf Trailer Co.</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 724	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baldwin v. Hinton</b> N.C.   November 23, 1955   243 N.C. 113	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bank of Spruce Pine v. McKinney</b> N.C.   March 18, 1936   209 N.C. 668	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Banks v. Joyner</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 261	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Bannister &amp; Sons, Inc. v. Williams</b> N.C.   April 08, 1964   261 N.C. 586	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Banyan GW, LLC v. Wayne Preparatory Academy Charter School, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 03, 2020   374 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Barber v. Wooten</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 107	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Barbour v. State Hospital</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 515	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Barham v. Barham</b> N.C.   February 06, 1998   347 N.C. 570	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Barham v. Food World, Inc.</b> N.C.   June 03, 1980   300 N.C. 329	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Barham v. Hawk</b> N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Basnight v. Basnight</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 645	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bateman v. Bateman</b> N.C.   March 21, 1951   233 N.C. 357	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Batson v. City Laundry Co.</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 223	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Battle v. Lacy</b> N.C.   May 05, 1909   150 N.C. 573	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bayer v. Nello L. Teer Co.</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 509	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Baysden v. State</b> N.C.   January 25, 2013   366 N.C. 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Beam v. Gilkey</b> N.C.   October 31, 1945   225 N.C. 520	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Beam v. Rutledge</b> N.C.   June 08, 1940   217 N.C. 670	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Beaman v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   October 21, 1953   238 N.C. 418	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Beatenhead v. Lincoln County</b> N.C.   December 03, 2004   359 N.C. 177	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Beaver v. Scheidt</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 671	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Belk Bros. Co. of Charlotte v. Maxwell</b> N.C.   February 01, 1939   215 N.C. 10	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bell Bakeries, Inc. v. Jefferson Standard Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   February 01, 1957   245 N.C. 408	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bell v. Lacey</b> N.C.   September 17, 1958   248 N.C. 703	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bell v. Thurston</b> N.C.   October 12, 1938   214 N.C. 231	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ben Johnson Homes, Inc. v. Watkins</b> N.C.   December 18, 2001   354 N.C. 563	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bennett v. Attorney General</b> N.C.   January 11, 1957   245 N.C. 312	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Benthall v. Washington Hog Market, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 10, 1962   257 N.C. 748	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Bernick v. Jurden</b> N.C.   July 13, 1982   306 N.C. 435	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Best &amp; Co. v. Maxwell</b> N.C.   June 16, 1939   216 N.C. 114	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bethea v. Town of Kenly</b> N.C.   April 29, 1964   261 N.C. 730	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Biggs v. Lassiter</b> N.C.   January 23, 1942   220 N.C. 761	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Billings Transfer Corp. v. Davidson County</b> N.C.   December 10, 1969   276 N.C. 19	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bio-Medical Applications of North Carolina, Inc. v. North Carolina Dept. of Health and Human Services, Div. of Facility Services</b> N.C.   March 09, 2007   361 N.C. 229	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bizzell v. Bizzell</b> N.C.   April 15, 1953   237 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bizzell v. Great Am. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   April 30, 1958   248 N.C. 294	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blackwell v. Coca-Cola Bottling Co.</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 751	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blaine v. Lyle</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 529	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blalock v. City of Durham</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 208	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blalock v. Hart</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 475	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Blanchard v. Ward</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 142	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blankenship v. Blankenship</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 162	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blankenship v. Blankenship</b> N.C.   April 11, 1962   256 N.C. 638	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blankenship v. English</b> N.C.   September 30, 1942   222 N.C. 91	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Blount v. Basnight</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 268	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Board of Ed. of Haywood County v. Town of Waynesville</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Board of Health of Nash County v. Board of Com'rs of Nash County</b> N.C.   October 08, 1941   220 N.C. 140	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bogue v. Arnold</b> N.C.   February 29, 1956   243 N.C. 622	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bohannon v. Virginia Trust Co.</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 163	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bolin v. Bolin</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 642	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boney v. Central Mut. Ins. Co. of Chicago</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 470	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Booker v. Duke Medical Center</b> N.C.   July 12, 1979   297 N.C. 458	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Booth v. Utica Mut. Ins. Co.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   April 05, 1983   308 N.C. 187		
<b>Boozer v. Equitable Life Assur. Soc. of U.S.</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 848	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bowen v. Gardner</b> N.C.   June 18, 1969   275 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bowles v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 840	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bowman v. Fidelity Trust &amp; Development Co.</b> N.C.   April 05, 1922   183 N.C. 249	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyce v. City of Gastonia</b> N.C.   February 26, 1947   227 N.C. 139	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyd v. Williams</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 30	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Boyles v. Prudential Ins. Co. of America</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 556	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bradshaw v. State Bd. of Ed.</b> N.C.   June 26, 1956   244 N.C. 393	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brady v. Brady</b> N.C.   March 20, 1968   273 N.C. 299	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brady v. Nehi Beverage Co.</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 32	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Branch Banking &amp; Trust Co. v. Bank of Washington</b> N.C.   July 07, 1961   255 N.C. 205	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Branch Banking &amp; Trust Co. v. Gill</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   January 31, 1975   286 N.C. 342		
<b>Brandis v. McMullan</b> N.C.   November 03, 1948   229 N.C. 411	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brandis v. Trustees of Davidson College</b> N.C.   April 09, 1947   227 N.C. 329	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brantley v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   September 21, 1938   214 N.C. 817	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Breneman Co. v. Cunningham</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brevorka v. Wolfe Const., Inc.</b> N.C.   November 07, 2003   357 N.C. 566	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bridges v. Parrish</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 539	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bridges v. Shenandoah Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 282	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Briggs v. American &amp; Efird Mills, Inc.</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 642	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bright Belt Warehouse Ass'n v. Tobacco Planters Warehouse</b> N.C.   November 23, 1949   231 N.C. 142	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brissie v. Craig</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 701	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brooks v. Carolina Rim &amp; Wheel Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 518	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brown v. Candler</b> N.C.   December 10, 1952   236 N.C. 576	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Brown v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Bd. of Ed.</b> N.C.   July 06, 1966   267 N.C. 740	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brown v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Bd. of Ed.</b> N.C.   March 22, 1967   269 N.C. 667	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brown v. Doby</b> N.C.   November 07, 1956   244 N.C. 746	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brown v. Fidelity &amp; Cas. Co. of N. Y.</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 666	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brown v. Harding</b> N.C.   November 17, 1915   170 N.C. 253	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brown v. Walnut Cove Volunteer Fire Dept.</b> N.C.   June 03, 1986   317 N.C. 147	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Brown v. Williams</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 648	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bruce By and Through McDonald v. Memorial Mission Hosp., Inc.</b> N.C.   November 09, 1989   325 N.C. 541	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bryan Builders Supply v. Midyette</b> N.C.   August 23, 1968   274 N.C. 264	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bryan v. City of Sanford</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 30	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bryan v. Old Colony Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   April 13, 1938   213 N.C. 391	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bryan v. Street</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 284	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bryant v. Occidental Life Ins. Co. of N.C.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   December 14, 1960   253 N.C. 565		
<b>Bullock v. Expressmen's Mut. Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   October 10, 1951   234 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bulluck v. Long</b> N.C.   March 28, 1962   256 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bumgardner v. Allison Fence Co.</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 698	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bundy v. Ayscue</b> N.C.   December 10, 1969   276 N.C. 81	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bundy v. Sutton</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bunn v. Holliday</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 351	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Bunting v. Cobb</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 132	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Burcl v. North Carolina Baptist Hosp., Inc.</b> N.C.   July 13, 1982   306 N.C. 214	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Burke Transit Co. v. Queen City Coach Co.</b> N.C.   April 14, 1948   228 N.C. 768	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Burkhead v. Farlow</b> N.C.   March 02, 1966   266 N.C. 595	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Burlington Industries, Inc. v. State Highway Commission</b> N.C.   October 21, 1964   262 N.C. 620	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Burnett v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   September 24, 1913   163 N.C. 186	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Burroughs v. Umstead</b> N.C.   April 13, 1927   193 N.C. 842	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Burwell v. Chapman</b> N.C.   April 17, 1912   159 N.C. 209	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Byers v. Brawley</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 151	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Caddell v. Caddell</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 686	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Calahan v. Roberts</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 768	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Calcutt v. McGeachy</b> N.C.   February 02, 1938   213 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Callaham v. Arenson</b> N.C.   March 17, 1954   239 N.C. 619	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cameron v. Cameron</b> N.C.   February 01, 1952   235 N.C. 82	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Campbell By and Through McMillan v. Pitt County Memorial Hosp., Inc.</b> N.C.   December 02, 1987   321 N.C. 260	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Campbell v. Campbell</b> N.C.   September 26, 1951   234 N.C. 188	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Campbell v. Jordan</b> N.C.   August 23, 1968   274 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Campbell v. Miller</b> N.C.   June 14, 1968   274 N.C. 143	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cannon v. City of Wilmington</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 711	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Cantrell v. Woodhill Enterprises, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 17, 1968   273 N.C. 490	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cape Fear River Watch v. North Carolina Environmental Management Com'n</b> N.C.   June 11, 2015   368 N.C. 92	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Capps v. NW Sign Industries of North Carolina, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 07, 2006   360 N.C. 391	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Capune v. Robbins</b> N.C.   May 01, 1968   273 N.C. 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carolina &amp; N. W. Ry. Co. v. Piedmont Wagon &amp; Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   January 07, 1949   229 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carolina Central Gas Co. v. Hyder</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 639	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carolina Mortg. Co. v. Massie</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 146	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carolina Power &amp; Light Co. v. Bowman</b> N.C.   December 10, 1947   228 N.C. 319	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carolina Power &amp; Light Co. v. Merrimack Mut. Fire Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   December 02, 1953   238 N.C. 679	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carolina-Virginia Coastal Highway v. Coastal Turnpike Authority</b> N.C.   January 30, 1953   237 N.C. 52	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carpenter v. Boyles</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Carpenter v. Carpenter</b> N.C.   February 02, 1938   213 N.C. 36	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carroll v. Davis</b> N.C.   September 24, 1958   248 N.C. 740	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carson v. Imperial "400" National, Inc.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1966   267 N.C. 229	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carter v. Anderson</b> N.C.   October 09, 1935   208 N.C. 529	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carter v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 545	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carter v. Continental Ins. Co. of New York</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 578	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Carter-Hubbard Publishing Company, Inc. v. WRMC Hosp. Operating Corp.</b> N.C.   March 09, 2007   361 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cartwood Const. Co., Inc. v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co., N.A.</b> N.C.   July 07, 1987   320 N.C. 164	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Caudell v. Blair</b> N.C.   April 12, 1961   254 N.C. 438	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Caughron v. Walker</b> N.C.   November 23, 1955   243 N.C. 153	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Central Carolina Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Bass</b> N.C.   August 27, 1965   265 N.C. 218	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chamberlain v. Home Sec. Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 622	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chambers v. Transit Management</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   November 17, 2006   360 N.C. 609		
<b>Chandler ex rel. Harris v. Atlantic Scrap &amp; Processing</b> N.C.   November 08, 2013   367 N.C. 160	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Charles Vernon Floyd, Jr. and Sons, Inc. v. Cape Fear Farm Credit, ACA</b> N.C.   February 05, 1999   350 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Charlotte Lumber &amp; Mfg. Co. v. City of Charlotte</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 189	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chateau X, Inc. v. State ex rel. Andrews</b> N.C.   March 04, 1981   302 N.C. 321	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cheek v. City of Charlotte</b> N.C.   March 20, 1968   273 N.C. 293	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cherney v. North Carolina Zoological Park</b> N.C.   May 05, 2005   359 N.C. 419	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cherney v. North Carolina Zoological Park</b> N.C.   March 07, 2008   362 N.C. 223	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cherry v. Walker</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 725	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cherry v. Woolard</b> N.C.   October 17, 1956   244 N.C. 603	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cheshire v. Drewry</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 450	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chesson v. Combs</b> N.C.   February 01, 1952   235 N.C. 123	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Childers v. Parker's, Inc.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   August 23, 1968   274 N.C. 256		
<b>Childress v. Abeles</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 123	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Childress v. Abeles</b> N.C.   October 13, 1954   240 N.C. 667	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Chisum v. Campagna</b> N.C.   March 12, 2021   376 N.C. 680	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Christie v. Hartley Const., Inc.</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 534	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Citizens Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Watkins</b> N.C.   March 22, 1939   215 N.C. 292	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Citizens Bank of Marshall v. Gahagan</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 511	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Citizens' Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Knox</b> N.C.   April 08, 1925   189 N.C. 835	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Citizens' Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Knox</b> N.C.   April 09, 1924   187 N.C. 565	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Charlotte v. University Financial Properties, LLC</b> N.C.   February 28, 2020   373 N.C. 325	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Durham v. Bates</b> N.C.   March 20, 1968   273 N.C. 336	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Greensboro v. Smith</b> N.C.   January 14, 1955   241 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Kinston v. Suddreth</b> N.C.   March 02, 1966   266 N.C. 618	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>City of Raleigh v. Norfolk S. Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   July 11, 1969   275 N.C. 454	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Washington v. Ellsworth</b> N.C.   September 21, 1960   253 N.C. 25	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Winston-Salem v. Cooper</b> N.C.   February 18, 1986   315 N.C. 702	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>City of Winston-Salem v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   September 17, 1958   248 N.C. 637	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Clark Mfg. Co. v. Western Union Tel. Co.</b> N.C.   March 09, 1910   152 N.C. 157	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Clark v. Clark</b> N.C.   October 07, 1980   301 N.C. 123	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Clegg v. Canady</b> N.C.   April 17, 1940   217 N.C. 433	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Clement v. Whisnant</b> N.C.   April 10, 1935   208 N.C. 167	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cline v. Cline</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cline v. Cline</b> N.C.   June 12, 1979   297 N.C. 336	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cloninger v. Akers Motor Lines, Inc.</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 82	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Coats v. B. &amp; R. Wilson, Inc.</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 76	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cocke v. Hood ex rel. Central Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 14	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Cockrell v. City of Raleigh</b> N.C.   August 03, 1982   306 N.C. 479	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Coffey v. Greer</b> N.C.   March 30, 1955   241 N.C. 744	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Coker v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.</b> N.C.   April 07, 2006   360 N.C. 398	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cole v. Asheville Funeral Home</b> N.C.   October 31, 1934   207 N.C. 271	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Collingwood v. Winston Salem Southbound Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 724	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Collins v. Simms</b> N.C.   May 02, 1962   257 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Commercial Nat. Bank v. Seaboard Air Line Ry.</b> N.C.   April 24, 1918   175 N.C. 415	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Committee to Elect Dan Forest v. Employees Political Action Committee</b> N.C.   February 05, 2021   376 N.C. 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>CommScope Credit Union v. Butler &amp; Burke, LLP</b> N.C.   September 23, 2016   369 N.C. 48	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Competitor Liaison Bureau of Nascar, Inc. v. Midkiff</b> N.C.   June 07, 1957   246 N.C. 409	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Conley v. Emerald Isle Realty, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 09, 1999   350 N.C. 293	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Connor v. Hayworth</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 721	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Consolidated Realty Corporation v. Houston</b> N.C.   May 25, 1938   213 N.C. 628	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Convent of Sisters of St. Joseph of Chestnut Hill v. City of Winston-Salem</b> N.C.   January 13, 1956   243 N.C. 316	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cooke v. Outland</b> N.C.   November 24, 1965   265 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cooperative Warehouse v. Lumberton Tobacco Bd. of Trade</b> N.C.   April 20, 1955   242 N.C. 123	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Coppedge v. Coppedge</b> N.C.   September 26, 1951   234 N.C. 173	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Corbett v. Corbett</b> N.C.   February 25, 1959   249 N.C. 585	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Corl v. Corl</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 7	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Corporation Commission v. Cannon Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   February 21, 1923   185 N.C. 17	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Corporation Commission v. Proximity Mills</b> N.C.   February 21, 1923   185 N.C. 36	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Costner v. A.A. Ramsey &amp; Sons, Inc.</b> N.C.   January 06, 1987   318 N.C. 687	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Costner v. Lutheran Children's Home of</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>the South</b> N.C.   October 29, 1952   236 N.C. 361		
<b>Couch v. Private Diagnostic Clinic</b> N.C.   November 05, 1999   351 N.C. 92	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Council v. Greensboro Joint Stock Land Bank</b> N.C.   April 13, 1938   213 N.C. 329	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>County of Carteret v. Long</b> N.C.   November 06, 1998   349 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>County of Durham by and through Durham DSS v. Burnette</b> N.C.   March 29, 2019   372 N.C. 64	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cowart v. Honeycutt</b> N.C.   May 09, 1962   257 N.C. 136	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Craig v. Craig</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 729	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crawford v. Commercial Union Midwest Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   December 20, 2002   356 N.C. 609	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crawford v. Crawford</b> N.C.   January 04, 1939   214 N.C. 614	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crazie Overstock Promotions, LLC v. State</b> N.C.   June 11, 2021   377 N.C. 391	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Croom v. Town of Burgaw</b> N.C.   March 06, 1963   259 N.C. 60	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crowell v. Chapman</b> N.C.   August 03, 1982   306 N.C. 540	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Crowell v. Eastern Air Lines</b> N.C.   April 07, 1954   240 N.C. 20	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Crutchley v. Crutchley</b> N.C.   August 03, 1982   306 N.C. 518	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Culbertson v. Rogers</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 622	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cummings v. Dosam, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 28	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Currituck Associates-Residential Partnership v. Hollowell</b> N.C.   December 16, 2005   360 N.C. 160	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Curtis v. White Cadillac-Olds, Inc.</b> N.C.   September 17, 1958   248 N.C. 717	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cuthbertson v. Burton</b> N.C.   December 16, 1959   251 N.C. 457	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Cuthrell v. Milwaukee Mechanics Ins. Co. of Milwaukee, Wis.</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 137	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>D. C. Standard Homes Co. v. N. C. Standard Homes Co.</b> N.C.   July 24, 1967   271 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dallaire v. Bank of America, N.A.</b> N.C.   June 12, 2014   367 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dancy v. Abbott Laboratories</b> N.C.   May 04, 2001   353 N.C. 446	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Daniel v. New Amsterdam Cas. Co.</b> N.C.   March 04, 1942   221 N.C. 75	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Davidson v. Western Union Telegraph Co.</b> N.C.   February 27, 1935   207 N.C. 790	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis Co. v. Burnsville Hosiery Mills</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 718	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Anderson Industries, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 02, 1966   266 N.C. 610	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. City of Charlotte</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 670	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. City of Mebane</b> N.C.   February 04, 2000   351 N.C. 329	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Davis</b> N.C.   June 30, 2006   360 N.C. 518	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Davis</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 537	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Davis</b> N.C.   May 22, 1957   246 N.C. 307	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Griffin</b> N.C.   June 04, 1958   248 N.C. 539	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Hargett</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 157	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Pittman</b> N.C.   December 15, 1937   212 N.C. 680	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Singleton</b> N.C.   March 28, 1962   256 N.C. 596	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Davis v. Southeastern Finance Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Day v. Asheville Tobacco Bd. of Trade</b> N.C.   April 20, 1955   242 N.C. 136	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dayton Rubber Co. v. Shaw</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 170	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>De Bruhl v. State Highway &amp; Public Works Commission</b> N.C.   December 12, 1956   245 N.C. 139	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>DeBruhl v. State Highway and Public Works Commission</b> N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 616	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Deese v. Carolina Power &amp; Light Co.</b> N.C.   November 28, 1951   234 N.C. 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dellinger v. Bollinger</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 696	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dellinger v. Clark</b> N.C.   November 07, 1951   234 N.C. 419	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dennis v. Dixon</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 199	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Denson v. Davis</b> N.C.   April 11, 1962   256 N.C. 658	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dent v. English Mica Co.</b> N.C.   October 13, 1937   212 N.C. 241	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Diaz v. Division of Social Services and Div. of Medical Assistance, North Carolina Dept. of Health and Human Services</b> N.C.   April 07, 2006   360 N.C. 384	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dickson v. Rucho</b> N.C.   January 25, 2013   366 N.C. 332	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Dickson v. Rucho</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 542	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dilday v. Beaufort County Bd. of Ed.</b> N.C.   May 22, 1968   273 N.C. 679	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dillingham v. Blue Ridge Motors</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 171	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Disciplinary Hearing Com'n v. Frazier</b> N.C.   December 18, 2001   354 N.C. 555	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dixie Container Corp. v. Dale</b> N.C.   May 01, 1968   273 N.C. 624	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dixie Fire &amp; Cas. Co. v. Esso Standard Oil Co.</b> N.C.   July 23, 1965   265 N.C. 121	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dixie Mercerizing Co. v. Hood ex rel. Merchants' Bank of Durham</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 135	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dixon v. McDowell Ins. Agency</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 609	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dixon v. Wiley</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 117	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Doggett Lumber Co. v. Perry</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dolan v. Dolan</b> N.C.   May 10, 2002   355 N.C. 484	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dorsey v. Dorsey</b> N.C.   August 03, 1982   306 N.C. 545	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Douglass v. Brooks</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 178	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Drumwright v. North Carolina Theatres</b> N.C.   December 10, 1947   228 N.C. 325	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Duncan v. Duncan</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 544	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dunn v. Dunn</b> N.C.   May 11, 1955   242 N.C. 234	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dunn v. State</b> N.C.   December 07, 2007   362 N.C. 80	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Durner v. Hood</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 856	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Dysart v. Cummings</b> N.C.   October 12, 2007   361 N.C. 580	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Early v. Eley</b> N.C.   March 28, 1956   243 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eason v. Gould, Inc.</b> N.C.   January 08, 1985   312 N.C. 618	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>East Carolina Lumber Co. v. Pamlico County</b> N.C.   September 23, 1959   250 N.C. 686	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>East Carolina Lumber Co. v. Pamlico County</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 728	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>East Carolina Lumber Co. v. Pamlico County</b> N.C.   September 23, 1959   250 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>East Carolina Lumber Co. v. West</b> N.C.   February 26, 1958   247 N.C. 699	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>East Carolina Lumber Co. v. Whitford</b> N.C.   September 23, 1959   250 N.C. 687	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>East Carolina Lumber Co. v. Whitford</b> N.C.   September 23, 1959   250 N.C. 686	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>East Carolina Lumber Co., Inc. v. Whitford</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 730	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>East Side Builders v. Brown</b> N.C.   November 21, 1951   234 N.C. 517	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eastern Carolina Regional Housing Authority v. Lofton</b> N.C.   August 19, 2016   369 N.C. 8	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eastern Conference of Original Free Will Baptists of N. C. v. Piner</b> N.C.   April 13, 1966   267 N.C. 74	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eddings v. Southern Orthopedic and Musculoskeletal Associates, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 04, 2002   356 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Edgewood Knoll Apartments v. Braswell</b> N.C.   March 17, 1954   239 N.C. 560	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Edwards Lumber &amp; Land Co. v. Smith</b> N.C.   April 21, 1926   191 N.C. 619	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Edwards v. Butler</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 205	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Edwards v. Edwards</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Edwards v. Perry</b> N.C.   April 29, 1936   210 N.C. 24	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Elledge v. Hawkins</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 757	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eller v. Board of Educ. of Buncombe County</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 584	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ellington v. Bradford</b> N.C.   April 20, 1955   242 N.C. 159	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ellis v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   March 30, 1955   241 N.C. 747	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Emerson v. Munford</b> N.C.   May 11, 1955   242 N.C. 241	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Employment Sec. Commission of N. C. v. News Pub. Co.</b> N.C.   December 10, 1947   228 N.C. 332	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Equipment Finance Corp. v. Scheidt</b> N.C.   January 14, 1959   249 N.C. 334	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Equitable Life Assur. Soc. of U.S. v. Lazarus</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 63	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Estate of Fennell ex rel. Fennell v. Stephenson</b> N.C.   November 09, 2001   354 N.C. 327	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Estate of Savino v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority</b> N.C.   September 25, 2020   375 N.C. 288	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Estridge v. Housecalls Healthcare Group,</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Inc.</b> N.C.   December 03, 1999   351 N.C. 183		
<b>ET &amp; WNC Transp. Co. v. Currie</b> N.C.   June 30, 1958   248 N.C. 560	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Etheridge v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 657	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Etheridge v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 326	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Eubanks v. Eubanks</b> N.C.   March 06, 1968   273 N.C. 189	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Evans v. Imperial Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 539	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Faires v. State Bd. of Elections</b> N.C.   May 06, 2016   368 N.C. 825	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fearrington v. Fearrington</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond v. Neuse Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 489	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Feibus &amp; Co., Inc. (N. C.) v. Godley Const. Co., Inc.</b> N.C.   November 04, 1980   301 N.C. 294	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Felton v. Felton</b> N.C.   March 02, 1938   213 N.C. 194	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ferguson v. City of Asheville</b> N.C.   May 25, 1938   213 N.C. 569	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ferguson v. Ferguson</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   March 20, 1935   208 N.C. 67		
<b>First Nat. Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Hood ex rel. Central Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   November 21, 1934   207 N.C. 862	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>First Union Nat. Bank of N. C. v. Bryant</b> N.C.   May 02, 1962   257 N.C. 42	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>First-Citizens Bank &amp; Trust Co. of Dunn v. Raynor</b> N.C.   January 13, 1956   243 N.C. 417	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fisher v. Flue-Cured Tobacco Cooperative Stabilization Corporation</b> N.C.   December 21, 2016   369 N.C. 202	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fisher v. Rogers</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 610	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fisher v. Taylor Motor Co.</b> N.C.   February 25, 1959   249 N.C. 617	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Flack By and Through Garriss v. Garriss</b> N.C.   January 11, 1983   307 N.C. 458	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fleishel v. Jessup</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 605	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fleming v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   December 10, 1952   236 N.C. 568	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Forbes Homes, Inc. v. Trimpi</b> N.C.   February 27, 1985   313 N.C. 168	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Forbis v. Neal</b> N.C.   August 24, 2007   361 N.C. 519	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ford v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 108		
<b>Forehand v. Edenton Farmers' Co.</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 827	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Formyduval v. Britt</b> N.C.   January 26, 2007   361 N.C. 215	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fowler v. Nationwide Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 555	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fox v. Asheville Army Store</b> N.C.   March 08, 1939   215 N.C. 187	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fox v. Board of Com'rs of Durham County</b> N.C.   September 26, 1956   244 N.C. 497	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Frady v. Carolina Mountain Power Corporation</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 803	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Franklin v. Faulkner</b> N.C.   September 17, 1958   248 N.C. 656	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Freeland v. Orange County</b> N.C.   April 10, 1968   273 N.C. 452	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Freeman v. City of Charlotte</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 113	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>French v. State Highway Commission</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 108	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Friday v. Adams</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 540	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fritts v. Gerukos</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 116	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Frost v. Salter Path Fire &amp; Rescue</b> N.C.   January 26, 2007   361 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fry v. Pomona Mills</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 768	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Frye Regional Medical Center, Inc. v. Hunt</b> N.C.   February 05, 1999   350 N.C. 39	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fulcher v. Smith</b> N.C.   February 25, 1959   249 N.C. 645	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Fuller v. Lockhart</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 61	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Furr v. Fonville Morisey Realty, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 08, 1999   351 N.C. 41	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>G I Surplus Store, Inc. v. Hunter</b> N.C.   May 23, 1962   257 N.C. 206	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gaffney v. Lumbermen's Mut. Cas. Co.</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 515	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gaines v. Long Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   October 31, 1951   234 N.C. 340	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gaines v. Long Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   October 31, 1951   234 N.C. 331	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Galloway v. Thrash</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 165	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gardner v. Price</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 592	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Garrett v. Burris</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 551	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Garrison v. Southern R. Co.</b> N.C.   May 18, 1932   202 N.C. 851	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Garrou Knitting Mills v. Gill</b> N.C.   April 14, 1948   228 N.C. 764	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gaskins v. Lancaster</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 301	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gasque v. City of Asheville</b> N.C.   February 27, 1935   207 N.C. 821	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gaston County Dyeing Mach. Co. v. Northfield Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   February 04, 2000   351 N.C. 293	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gaulden v. Pilot Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   June 07, 1957   246 N.C. 378	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>General Tire &amp; Rubber Co. v. Distributors, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 561	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>General Tire &amp; Rubber Co. v. Distributors, Inc.</b> N.C.   December 16, 1959   251 N.C. 406	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gennett v. Lyerly</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 201	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gentile v. Wilson</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 704	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gibson v. Central Mfrs. Mut. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 712	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gilkey v. Blanton</b> N.C.   February 04, 1949   229 N.C. 792	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Gillikin v. Gillikin</b> N.C.   September 17, 1958   248 N.C. 710	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gillikin v. Mason</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Glendale Mfg. Co. v. Bonano</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 590	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Glendale Mfg. Co. v. Bonano</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 587	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Godwin v. Erwin Cotton Mills Co.</b> N.C.   April 01, 1908   147 N.C. 227	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Godwin v. Nixon</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 632	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goeckel v. Stokely</b> N.C.   December 10, 1952   236 N.C. 604	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goggins v. Balatsias</b> N.C.   March 03, 2000   351 N.C. 343	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goldstein v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goldston v. State</b> N.C.   December 15, 2006   361 N.C. 26	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Goldston v. State</b> N.C.   October 08, 2010   364 N.C. 416	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gomer v. Askew</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 547	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gonzalez v. Worrell</b> N.C.   April 12, 2013   366 N.C. 501	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Good Hope Health System, L.L.C. v. North Carolina Dept. of Health and Human Services, Div. of Facility Services N.C.   November 17, 2006   360 N.C. 635	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Good Hope Hosp., Inc. v. North Carolina Dept. of Health and Human Services N.C.   November 17, 2006   360 N.C. 641	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Goodyear v. Goodyear N.C.   June 15, 1962   257 N.C. 374	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gorham v. Pacific Mut. Life Ins. Co. N.C.   December 14, 1938   214 N.C. 526	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Grady v. Grady N.C.   March 18, 1936   209 N.C. 749	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Grant v. Brown N.C.   September 22, 1937   212 N.C. 39	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gray v. Duke Power Co. N.C.   February 03, 1950   231 N.C. 423	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gray v. North Carolina Ins. Underwriting Ass'n N.C.   June 16, 2000   352 N.C. 61	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gray v. State Capital Life Ins. Co. N.C.   March 22, 1961   254 N.C. 286	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Gray v. Worthington N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 582	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Green ex rel. Crudup v. Kearney N.C.   October 04, 2013   367 N.C. 113	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Green v. Briggs N.C.   April 11, 1956   243 N.C. 745	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Green v. Briley</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 196	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Green v. Patriotic Order Sons of America</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 78	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Greene v. City of Winston-Salem</b> N.C.   April 14, 1975   287 N.C. 66	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Greene v. Nichols</b> N.C.   June 14, 1968   274 N.C. 18	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Greenhill v. Crabtree</b> N.C.   December 02, 1980   301 N.C. 520	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Greenway v. Riverside Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 599	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Greer v. Whittington</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 630	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gregory v. Pearson</b> N.C.   March 07, 2014   367 N.C. 315	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffin v. Absolute Fire Control, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 12, 2021   376 N.C. 727	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffin v. Ball</b> N.C.   June 12, 2014   367 N.C. 385	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffin v. Pancoast</b> N.C.   May 02, 1962   257 N.C. 52	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Griffith v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   January 27, 1926   191 N.C. 84	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Grimes v. Virginia Elec. &amp; Power Co.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   February 27, 1957   245 N.C. 583		
<b>Groome v. City of Statesville</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 815	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Grossman v. Johnson</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Groves v. Travelers Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   October 05, 2001   354 N.C. 206	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gulf Oil Corp. v. Clayton</b> N.C.   April 13, 1966   267 N.C. 15	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Gunter v. Dayco Corp.</b> N.C.   August 12, 1986   317 N.C. 670	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Guy Frye &amp; Sons, Inc. v. Francis</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 107	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hall v. Coble Dairies</b> N.C.   October 10, 1951   234 N.C. 206	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hall v. De Weld Mica Corp.</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 182	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hall v. Shippers Exp.</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 747	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hall v. Sinclair Refining Co.</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 707	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hall v. Toreros II, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 20, 2009   363 N.C. 114	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hallock v. American Cas. Co.</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 195	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Hamby v. Profile Products, L.L.C.</b> N.C.   November 09, 2007   361 N.C. 630	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamilton v. Hamilton</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 715	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamilton v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   June 29, 1932   203 N.C. 136	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hamlet H.M.A., LLC v. Hernandez</b> N.C.   December 06, 2019   373 N.C. 189	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hanesbrands Inc. v. Fowler</b> N.C.   December 21, 2016   369 N.C. 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hanna v. Howard</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 161	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hardaway Contracting Co. v. Western Carolina Power Co.</b> N.C.   May 23, 1928   195 N.C. 649	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hardison v. Gregory</b> N.C.   June 30, 1955   242 N.C. 324	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hardison v. Martin</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 607	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hardware Mutual Ins. Co. of the Carolinas v. Gold</b> N.C.   April 30, 1958   248 N.C. 293	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hare v. Weil</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 484	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harrell v. Goerch</b> N.C.   March 18, 1936   209 N.C. 741	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harrell v. Powell</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 636		
<b>Harrell v. Scheidt</b> N.C.   April 11, 1956   243 N.C. 735	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harris Exp., Inc. v. Jones</b> N.C.   November 26, 1952   236 N.C. 542	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harris v. Canady</b> N.C.   December 10, 1952   236 N.C. 613	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harris v. Matthews</b> N.C.   May 04, 2007   361 N.C. 265	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harris v. Miller</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 746	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harshaw v. Harshaw</b> N.C.   October 08, 1941   220 N.C. 145	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hartman v. Hartman</b> N.C.   April 07, 1987   319 N.C. 396	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Harward v. General Motors Corp.</b> N.C.   February 01, 1952   235 N.C. 88	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hawes v. Atlantic Refining Co.</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 652	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hawes v. Atlantic Refining Co.</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 643	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hayes v. City of Wilmington</b> N.C.   February 29, 1956   243 N.C. 548	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hayes v. Hartford Acc. &amp; Indem. Co.</b> N.C.   June 14, 1968   274 N.C. 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Haywood v. Rigsbee</b> N.C.   January 28, 1935   207 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Haywood v. Rigsbee</b> N.C.   January 28, 1935   207 N.C. 684	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Haywood v. Wright</b> N.C.   April 27, 1910   152 N.C. 421	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Headen v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 860	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hearne v. Sherman</b> N.C.   July 23, 1999   350 N.C. 612	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Heath v. Kresky Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 215	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Heatherly v. State</b> N.C.   March 20, 2009   363 N.C. 115	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hedgepeth v. Lumbermen's Mutual Casualty Co.</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 45	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hedrick v. Graham</b> N.C.   January 11, 1957   245 N.C. 249	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Henderson v. Henderson</b> N.C.   January 11, 1983   307 N.C. 401	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Henderson v. Security Mortg. &amp; Finance Co.</b> N.C.   March 20, 1968   273 N.C. 253	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hendricks v. Leslie Fay, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 59	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hendrix v. B &amp; L Motors, Inc.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 644		
<b>Hennis Freight Lines, Inc. v. Burlington Mills Corp.</b> N.C.   May 01, 1957   246 N.C. 143	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Henry v. A. C. Lawrence Leather Co.</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 126	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Henry v. Edmisten</b> N.C.   February 18, 1986   315 N.C. 474	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hensley v. Harris</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 599	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hensley v. Hood</b> N.C.   March 20, 1935   208 N.C. 18	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Herndon v. Massey</b> N.C.   May 22, 1940   217 N.C. 610	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hice v. Hi-Mil, Inc.</b> N.C.   January 06, 1981   301 N.C. 647	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hicks v. Niven</b> N.C.   April 29, 1936   210 N.C. 44	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Higgins v. Beaty</b> N.C.   June 30, 1955   242 N.C. 479	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>High Point Bank and Trust Co. v. Highmark Properties, LLC</b> N.C.   September 25, 2015   368 N.C. 301	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hildebrand v. McDowell Furniture Co.</b> N.C.   October 13, 1937   212 N.C. 100	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Board of Com'rs of Greene County</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 4	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Hill v. Erwin Mills, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 437	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Parker</b> N.C.   September 17, 1958   248 N.C. 662	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hill v. Resort Development Co.</b> N.C.   October 14, 1959   251 N.C. 52	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hine v. Blumenthal</b> N.C.   March 03, 1954   239 N.C. 537	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hinnant v. Tide Water Power Co.</b> N.C.   February 27, 1924   187 N.C. 288	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hinson v. Dawson</b> N.C.   March 30, 1955   241 N.C. 714	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hinton v. Whitehurst</b> N.C.   September 21, 1938   214 N.C. 99	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hochheiser v. North Carolina Dept. of Transp.</b> N.C.   November 05, 1987   321 N.C. 117	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hodges v. Carter</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 517	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hodges v. Hodges</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 536	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hoffman v. Ryder Truck Lines, Inc.</b> N.C.   August 03, 1982   306 N.C. 502	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hoft v. Coastwise Shipping &amp; Lighterage Co. of Delaware</b> N.C.   May 31, 1939   215 N.C. 690	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Hoisington v. ZT-Winston-Salem Associates</b> N.C.   March 03, 2000   351 N.C. 342	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Holder v. Home Mortgage Co.</b> N.C.   September 21, 1938   214 N.C. 128	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Holderfield v. Pou</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 844	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Holladay v. General Motors Corp.</b> N.C.   May 23, 1945   225 N.C. 230	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hollman v. City of Raleigh, Public Utilities Dept.</b> N.C.   March 20, 1968   273 N.C. 240	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Holly Ridge Associates, LLC v. North Carolina Dept. of Environment and Natural Resources</b> N.C.   August 24, 2007   361 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Holly v. Holly</b> N.C.   February 01, 1886   94 N.C. 96	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Holsomback v. Holsomback</b> N.C.   May 22, 1968   273 N.C. 728	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Honeycutt v. Citizens Nat. Bank in Gastonia</b> N.C.   October 19, 1955   242 N.C. 734	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hood ex rel Morris Plan Bank v. Hewitt</b> N.C.   April 08, 1936   209 N.C. 810	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hood ex rel. Bank of Pender v. Paddison</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 631	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hood ex rel. Bank of Summerfield v.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Simpson</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 748		
<b>Hood ex rel. Citizens Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Stewart</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 424	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hood ex rel. Morris Plan Bank v. Hewitt</b> N.C.   April 08, 1936   209 N.C. 816	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hood ex rel. North Carolina Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Pittman</b> N.C.   March 18, 1936   209 N.C. 740	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hood ex rel. United Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Tilley</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 842	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hood v. Elder Motor Co.</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 303	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hood v. Macclesfield Co.</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 280	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hood v. Macclesfield Co.</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 277	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Horton v. Humble Oil &amp; Refining Co.</b> N.C.   November 22, 1961   255 N.C. 675	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Housing Authority of City of Wilson v. Wooten</b> N.C.   June 15, 1962   257 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Howard v. Queen City Coach Co.</b> N.C.   October 13, 1937   212 N.C. 201	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Howell v. Howell</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 672	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Howell v. Travelers Indem. Co.</b> N.C.   February 25, 1953   237 N.C. 227	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Howerton v. Arai Helmet, Ltd.</b> N.C.   June 25, 2004   358 N.C. 440	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Howland v. Stitzer</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 611	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hudson v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 650	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Huffman v. Occidental Life Ins. Co. of Raleigh</b> N.C.   April 28, 1965   264 N.C. 335	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hunter v. Jefferson Standard Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 593	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hyde v. Chesney Glen Homeowners Ass'n, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 06, 2000   352 N.C. 665	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Hyder v. Asheville Storage Battery Co.</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 553	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>IMT, Inc. v. City of Lumberton</b> N.C.   March 08, 2013   366 N.C. 456	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re A.K.</b> N.C.   May 05, 2006   360 N.C. 449	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Abernathy</b> N.C.   April 10, 1963   259 N.C. 190	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Ahoskie Creek</b> N.C.   June 15, 1962   257 N.C. 337	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re Allred</b> N.C.   October 08, 1999   351 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Appeal of Greens of Pine Glen Ltd.</b> N.C.   February 28, 2003   356 N.C. 642	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re AS.L.G.</b> N.C.   May 05, 2006   360 N.C. 476	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Barker</b> N.C.   November 04, 1936   210 N.C. 617	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Bass</b> N.C.   March 08, 2013   366 N.C. 464	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Battery King Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   September 22, 1954   240 N.C. 586	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Belk</b> N.C.   April 15, 2010   364 N.C. 114	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Branch</b> N.C.   January 23, 2015   367 N.C. 733	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Brittain</b> N.C.   June 22, 1938   214 N.C. 95	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re C.B.</b> N.C.   May 04, 2007   361 N.C. 345	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re C.L.C.</b> N.C.   May 05, 2006   360 N.C. 475	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Citizens Bank of Mt. Olive</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Corbett</b> N.C.   February 01, 2002   355 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re Davis' Estate</b> N.C.   October 14, 1970   277 N.C. 134	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Dewey</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 714	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Dixon</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 608	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Durham County Superior Court</b> N.C.   November 06, 1990   399 S.E.2d 119	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re E.X.J.</b> N.C.   February 06, 2009   363 N.C. 9	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Edmundson</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 92	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Edwards' Estate</b> N.C.   September 26, 1951   234 N.C. 202	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Estate of Lunsford</b> N.C.   April 07, 2005   359 N.C. 382	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Fitzgerald</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 732	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Gamble</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 149	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Housing Authority of City of Salisbury, Project NC-16-2</b> N.C.   April 30, 1952   235 N.C. 463	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Inquiry Concerning a Judge, No. 17-143</b> N.C.   May 10, 2019   372 N.C. 123	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re Isley's Will</b> N.C.   December 16, 1964   263 N.C. 239	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re J.J.</b> N.C.   January 25, 2008   362 N.C. 172	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re J.T.W.</b> N.C.   May 04, 2007   361 N.C. 341	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Kemp's Will</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 680	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Murphy</b> N.C.   December 18, 2020   376 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re North Carolina Fire Ins. Rating Bureau</b> N.C.   January 28, 1959   249 N.C. 466	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Ocean Isle Palms LLC</b> N.C.   January 25, 2013   366 N.C. 351	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Owens</b> N.C.   July 23, 1999   350 N.C. 656	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Pendergrass' Will</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 737	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Poisson</b> N.C.   November 02, 1927   194 N.C. 430	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Quick</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 627	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Roberts' Will</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 708	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Simpson</b> N.C.   June 12, 1964   262 N.C. 206	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>In re Smith</b> N.C.   January 06, 1981   301 N.C. 621	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re T.M.</b> N.C.   November 09, 2007   361 N.C. 683	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re T.S., III</b> N.C.   March 09, 2007   361 N.C. 231	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Thomas' Estate</b> N.C.   April 18, 1956   243 N.C. 783	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   June 30, 1936   210 N.C. 385	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Wallin</b> N.C.   February 01, 2002   355 N.C. 206	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Will of Johnston</b> N.C.   November 07, 2003   357 N.C. 569	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>In re Winborne's Will</b> N.C.   March 01, 1950   231 N.C. 463	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ingle v. Cassady</b> N.C.   October 09, 1935   208 N.C. 497	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ingle v. State Bd. of Elections</b> N.C.   June 05, 1946   226 N.C. 454	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Integon Indem. Corp. v. Universal Underwriters Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   November 03, 1995   342 N.C. 166	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>International Minerals &amp; Metals Corp. v. Weinstein</b> N.C.   November 26, 1952   236 N.C. 558	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>International Service Ins. Co. v. Iowa Nat. Mut. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   January 30, 1970   276 N.C. 243	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ipock v. North Carolina Joint Stock Land Bank of Durham</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 791	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Irby v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   June 07, 1957   246 N.C. 384	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ireland v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 852	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Irving v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Bd. of Educ.</b> N.C.   January 29, 2016   368 N.C. 609	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jackson v. Mountain Sanitarium &amp; Asheville Agriculture School</b> N.C.   October 10, 1951   234 N.C. 222	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jackson v. Standard Oil Co. of N.J.</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 766	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   February 04, 2005   359 N.C. 260	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. Piedmont Pub. Co.</b> N.C.   November 01, 1967   271 N.C. 712	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. Pretlow</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 102	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>James v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 05, 2001   354 N.C. 210	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jarman v. Offutt</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 468	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Jefferson Standard Life Ins. Co. v. Boogher</b> N.C.   October 17, 1945   225 N.C. 493	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jefferson Standard Life Ins. Co. v. Boogher</b> N.C.   November 01, 1944   224 N.C. 563	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jefferson Standard Life Ins. Co. v. Guilford County</b> N.C.   June 05, 1946   226 N.C. 441	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jefferson Standard Life Ins. Co. v. Guilford County</b> N.C.   June 06, 1945   225 N.C. 293	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jeffreys v. Jeffreys</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jenkins v. Duckworth &amp; Shelton, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 19, 1955   242 N.C. 758	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jenkins v. North Carolina Dept. of Motor Vehicles</b> N.C.   October 10, 1956   244 N.C. 560	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jenkins v. Rose's 5, 10 and 25 cent Stores</b> N.C.   May 25, 1938   213 N.C. 606	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jenrette Transport Co. v. Atlantic Fire Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   November 26, 1952   236 N.C. 534	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>John Conner Const., Inc. v. Grandfather Holding Co., LLC</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 547	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johns v. Day</b> N.C.   October 10, 1962   257 N.C. 751	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Johnson Cotton Co. v. Hobgood</b> N.C.   December 14, 1955   243 N.C. 227	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. Barefoot</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 796	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. Bass</b> N.C.   April 18, 1962   256 N.C. 716	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. City of Winston-Salem</b> N.C.   March 24, 1954   239 N.C. 697	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. First Union Corp.</b> N.C.   March 03, 2000   351 N.C. 339	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. Lucas</b> N.C.   October 07, 2005   360 N.C. 53	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. New Amsterdam Cas. Co.</b> N.C.   June 07, 1951   234 N.C. 25	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. Pilot Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   February 28, 1940   217 N.C. 139	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. Scarborough</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnson v. Scheidt</b> N.C.   June 07, 1957   246 N.C. 452	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Johnston v. State</b> N.C.   November 08, 2013   367 N.C. 164	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jones v. Callahan</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 566	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jones v. Carolina Power &amp; Light Co.</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 862	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Jones v. Douglas Aircraft Co.</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 832	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jones v. Fowler</b> N.C.   April 20, 1955   242 N.C. 162	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jones v. Lake Hickory R.V. Resort, Inc.</b> N.C.   December 17, 2004   359 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jones v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 916	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Jones v. Whimper</b> N.C.   January 25, 2013   366 N.C. 367	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Joyner v. Joyner</b> N.C.   March 28, 1962   256 N.C. 588	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Joyner v. McDowell County Bd. of Ed.</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 164	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>JVC Enterprises, LLC v. City of Concord</b> N.C.   March 12, 2021   376 N.C. 782	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kania v. Chatham</b> N.C.   May 17, 1979   297 N.C. 290	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Karpf v. Adams</b> N.C.   January 30, 1953   237 N.C. 106	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Keaton v. Blue Bird Taxi Co. of Asheville</b> N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 589	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Keith v. Wilder</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 672	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kekelis v. Whitin Mach. Works</b> N.C.   April 10, 1968   273 N.C. 439	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Kelly v. Kelly</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 734	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kesler Const. Co. v. Dixson Holding Corp.</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Keyes v. Johnson</b> N.C.   April 12, 2013   366 N.C. 502	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Keyzer v. Amerlink, Ltd.</b> N.C.   April 07, 2006   360 N.C. 397	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kimsey v. Reaves</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 721	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>King v. Bryant</b> N.C.   January 27, 2017   369 N.C. 451	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kinnard v. Mecklenburg Fair, Ltd.</b> N.C.   December 02, 1980   301 N.C. 522	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kinross-Wright v. Kinross-Wright</b> N.C.   March 19, 1958   248 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kinsey v. Town of Kenly</b> N.C.   January 15, 1965   263 N.C. 376	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kizer v. Bowman</b> N.C.   March 28, 1962   256 N.C. 565	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Kyle &amp; Associates, Inc. v. Mahan</b> N.C.   December 03, 2004   359 N.C. 176	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>L &amp; M Gas Co. v. Leggett</b> N.C.   May 01, 1968   273 N.C. 547	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ladd v. Estate of Kellenberger</b> N.C.   October 01, 1985   314 N.C. 477	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Lamar v. John Hancock Mut. Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   February 25, 1959   249 N.C. 643	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lamb v. Staples</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 166	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lambert v. Caronna</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 616	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lambros v. Zrakas</b> N.C.   October 17, 1951   234 N.C. 287	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lamm v. Crumpler</b> N.C.   April 07, 1954   240 N.C. 35	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lammonds v. Aleo Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   April 11, 1956   243 N.C. 749	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lancaster v. Maple Street Homeowners Ass'n, Inc.</b> N.C.   November 07, 2003   357 N.C. 571	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lane v. Griswold</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 18	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lane v. Griswold</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Langley v. Taylor</b> N.C.   November 21, 1956   245 N.C. 59	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Latta v. McCorkle</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 508	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Latta v. Trustees of General Assembly of Presbyterian Church in U. S.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 462	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lattimore v. Fisher's Food Shoppe, Inc.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   May 07, 1985   313 N.C. 467		
<b>Lauziere v. Stanley Martin Communities, LLC</b> N.C.   March 12, 2021   376 N.C. 789	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lea Co. v. North Carolina Bd. of Transp.</b> N.C.   July 07, 1983   308 N.C. 603	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lea v. Atlantic Fire Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   March 31, 1915   168 N.C. 478	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>LeFevers v. City of Lenoir</b> N.C.   April 13, 1966   267 N.C. 79	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Leggett v. Cramerton Mills</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 708	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Leonard v. Benfield</b> N.C.   November 23, 1955   243 N.C. 169	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Leonard v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp.</b> N.C.   February 18, 1986   316 N.C. 84	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Level 3 Communications, LLC v. Couch</b> N.C.   November 09, 2007   361 N.C. 684	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Leviness v. Murchison</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 919	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lewis v. Barnhill</b> N.C.   June 16, 1966   267 N.C. 457	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lewis v. Beachview Exxon Service</b> N.C.   May 05, 2006   360 N.C. 469	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lewis v. Beaufort County</b> N.C.   February 25, 1959   249 N.C. 628	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Lewis v. Frye</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 852	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>LexisNexis Risk Data Management Inc. v. North Carolina Administrative Office of Courts</b> N.C.   August 21, 2015   368 N.C. 180	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Libertarian Party of North Carolina v. State</b> N.C.   March 11, 2011   365 N.C. 41	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lillian Knitting Mills Co. v. Earle</b> N.C.   January 30, 1953   237 N.C. 97	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Linder v. Horne</b> N.C.   January 30, 1953   237 N.C. 129	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lindley v. Yeatman</b> N.C.   April 20, 1955   242 N.C. 145	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Little v. Bost</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 762	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Little v. Harrison</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 360	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Little v. North Carolina State Board of Elections</b> N.C.   June 06, 1975   287 N.C. 466	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Little v. Stevens</b> N.C.   May 25, 1966   267 N.C. 328	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Little v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 726	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Long v. City of Charlotte</b> N.C.   July 13, 1982   306 N.C. 187	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Long v. Commissioners of Brunswick County</b> N.C.   March 30, 1921   181 N.C. 146	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Long v. Honeycutt</b> N.C.   August 26, 1966   268 N.C. 33	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Long v. Long</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 706	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Loredo v. CSX Transp.</b> N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 354	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lovin v. Town of Hamlet</b> N.C.   January 13, 1956   243 N.C. 399	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lumbermen's Mut. Cas. Co. v. U.S. Fidelity &amp; Guaranty Co.</b> N.C.   December 16, 1936   211 N.C. 13	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lunsford v. Mills</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 618	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Lyda v. Town of Marion</b> N.C.   January 15, 1954   239 N.C. 265	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>MacClure v. Accident &amp; Cas. Ins. Co. of Winterthur, Switzerland</b> N.C.   September 28, 1949   230 N.C. 661	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Magnolia Mfg. of North Carolina, Inc. v. Erie Ins. Exchange</b> N.C.   January 26, 2007   361 N.C. 213	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mansfield v. Wade</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 790	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Martin v. Bonclarken Assembly</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   February 05, 1979   296 N.C. 540		
<b>Martin v. Capel</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 733	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mason v. Gillikin</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 527	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mason v. North Carolina State Highway Commission</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 36	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mason v. Texas Co.</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 805	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Masood v. Erwin Oil Co.</b> N.C.   October 12, 2007   361 N.C. 579	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Masters v. Dunstan</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 520	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matheny v. Stonecutter Mills Corp.</b> N.C.   February 25, 1959   249 N.C. 575	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of Andrews' Will</b> N.C.   January 08, 1980   299 N.C. 52	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of D.W.P.</b> N.C.   February 28, 2020   373 N.C. 327	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of E.D.</b> N.C.   May 10, 2019   372 N.C. 111	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of E.J.B.</b> N.C.   August 14, 2020   375 N.C. 95	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of J.M.</b> N.C.   April 23, 2021   377 N.C. 298	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Matter of Kirkman's Estate</b> N.C.   January 27, 1981   302 N.C. 164	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of Vinson</b> N.C.   December 04, 1979   298 N.C. 640	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Matter of Will of Jarvis</b> N.C.   July 02, 1993   334 N.C. 140	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mauney v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 499	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Maxwell v. Hinsdale</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 37	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Maxwell v. Waddell</b> N.C.   December 15, 1937   212 N.C. 572	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Maybank v. S. S. Kresge Co.</b> N.C.   January 27, 1981   302 N.C. 129	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McCabe v. Maryland Cas. Co.</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McCarn v. Gastonia 3-Centa Bottling Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 543	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McCaskill v. Department of State Treasurer, Retirement Systems Div.</b> N.C.   March 11, 2011   365 N.C. 69	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McCormick v. Jackson</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 359	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McDevitt v. Chandler</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 677	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McDonald v. Zimmerman</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 746	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>McDougald v. Tide Water Power Co.</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 764	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McDowell v. Town of Kure Beach</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 818	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McEwan v. Brown</b> N.C.   October 23, 1918   176 N.C. 249	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McGowan v. Beach</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McGraw v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 873	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McGraw v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McGurk v. Moore</b> N.C.   October 10, 1951   234 N.C. 248	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McHorney v. Wooten</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 110	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McIver v. Smith</b> N.C.   March 03, 2000   351 N.C. 344	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLamb v. Weaver</b> N.C.   September 19, 1956   244 N.C. 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaney v. Anchor Motor Freight, Inc., of Del.</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 714	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McLaughlin v. Bailey</b> N.C.   January 29, 2016   368 N.C. 618	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>McLean v. Roadway Exp., Inc.</b> N.C.   November 03, 1982   307 N.C. 99	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>McRorie v. Creswell</b> N.C.   May 01, 1968   273 N.C. 615	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Meacham v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   May 25, 1938   213 N.C. 609	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Medical Mut. Ins. Co. of North Carolina v. Mauldin</b> N.C.   April 06, 2001   353 N.C. 352	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mehaffey v. Burger King</b> N.C.   November 08, 2013   367 N.C. 120	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Merrell v. Jenkins</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 636	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Merrimon v. Postal Telegraph-Cable Co.</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Distributing Corp. v. Maxwell</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. City of Charlotte</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 497	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Miller v. Kite</b> N.C.   May 07, 1985   313 N.C. 474	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Miller v. Lucas</b> N.C.   April 13, 1966   267 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Miller v. Miller</b> N.C.   March 20, 1968   273 N.C. 228	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Miller v. State</b> N.C.   January 30, 1953   237 N.C. 29	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Millikan v. Simmons</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 195	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mills v. Bonin</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 498	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mills v. Carolina Cemetery Park Corp.</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 20	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mills v. Thomas</b> N.C.   April 06, 2001   353 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Millsaps v. Estes</b> N.C.   March 21, 1905   137 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Minor v. Minor</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 526	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Misenheimer v. Burris</b> N.C.   November 17, 2006   360 N.C. 620	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mitchell v. Mitchell</b> N.C.   May 03, 1967   270 N.C. 253	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Mitchell v. North Carolina Indus. Development Financing Authority</b> N.C.   March 06, 1968   273 N.C. 137	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moffitt v. Equitable Life Assur. Soc. of U.S.</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 859	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moody v. Town of Carrboro</b> N.C.   November 04, 1980   301 N.C. 318	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moore v. Crumpton</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   October 05, 1982   306 N.C. 618		
<b>Moore v. Lambeth</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 23	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moore v. Lewis</b> N.C.   April 08, 1959   250 N.C. 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moore v. Summers Drug Co.</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 711	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moore v. Superior Stone Co.</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 647	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moore v. W O O W, Inc.</b> N.C.   September 21, 1960   253 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moore v. Whitley</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 150	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morgan v. Speight</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 603	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morgan v. Town of Norwood</b> N.C.   May 19, 1937   211 N.C. 600	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morris Communications Corp. v. City of Bessemer City Zoning Bd. of Adjustment</b> N.C.   June 16, 2011   365 N.C. 152	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morris v. Seashore Transp. Co.</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 807	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morris v. U.S. Airways, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 09, 1999   350 N.C. 304	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Morrow v. Burlington Hotel Corp.</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 848	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Moss v. Alexander</b> N.C.   November 02, 1960   253 N.C. 262	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Moyle v. McLawhorn</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 812	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Munden v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 504	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Munger v. State</b> N.C.   February 03, 2011   365 N.C. 3	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Murchison Nat. Bank v. Dunn Oil Mills Co.</b> N.C.   December 13, 1911   157 N.C. 302	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Murdock v. Deal</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 754	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Murphy Family Farms v. North Carolina Dept. of Environment and Natural Resources</b> N.C.   December 17, 2004   359 N.C. 180	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Murray v. Associated Insurers, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 06, 1995   341 N.C. 712	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Murray v. Murray</b> N.C.   January 04, 1979   296 N.C. 405	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Muse v. Morrison</b> N.C.   September 26, 1951   234 N.C. 195	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Muse v. Muse</b> N.C.   September 26, 1951   234 N.C. 205	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Nantahala Power &amp; Light Co. v. Clay County</b> N.C.   June 15, 1938   213 N.C. 698	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

National Council Junior Order United American Mechanics v. Tate N.C.   November 03, 1937   212 N.C. 305	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Nationwide Mut. Fire Ins. Co. v. Bourlon N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 356	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Nelson v. Town of Highlands N.C.   April 02, 2004   358 N.C. 210	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
New Hanover County v. Holmes N.C.   November 26, 1952   236 N.C. 565	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
New York Life Ins. Co. v. Lambeth N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 294	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
New York Life Ins. Co. v. Lassiter N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 156	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Newkirk v. Porter N.C.   January 30, 1953   237 N.C. 115	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
News and Observer Pub. Co., Inc. v. Coble N.C.   December 04, 1998   349 N.C. 350	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Newton v. Whitaker N.C.   May 05, 1987   319 N.C. 455	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Niblock v. Blue Bird Taxi Co. N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 737	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Nichols v. Wachovia Bank & Trust Co. N.C.   November 23, 1949   231 N.C. 158	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Noble v. Pittman N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Nobles v. Roberson</b> N.C.   November 03, 1937   212 N.C. 334	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Norburn v. Mackie</b> N.C.   May 20, 1964   262 N.C. 16	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Norman v. Williams</b> N.C.   March 30, 1955   241 N.C. 732	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Bd. of Pharmacy v. Rules Review Com'n</b> N.C.   November 17, 2006   360 N.C. 638	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Corporation Commission v. Citizens' Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   April 06, 1927   193 N.C. 513	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Dept. of Transp. v. Haywood County</b> N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 349	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Farm Bureau Mut. Ins. Co., Inc. v. Armwood</b> N.C.   October 12, 2007   361 N.C. 576	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Masonic Foundation v. Hood</b> N.C.   April 29, 1936   210 N.C. 67	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Mortg. Corporation v. Morgan</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 743	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina Nat. Bank v. Hammond</b> N.C.   December 04, 1979   298 N.C. 703	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina School Boards Ass'n v. Moore</b> N.C.   July 01, 2005   359 N.C. 474	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>North Carolina State Bar v. Talford</b> N.C.   February 28, 2003   356 N.C. 626	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina State Board of Education v. State</b> N.C.   June 08, 2018   371 N.C. 170	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>North Carolina State Highway and Public Works Commission v. Brann</b> N.C.   April 11, 1956   243 N.C. 758	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Northcutt v. People's Bonded Warehouse Co.</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 842	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Northwestern Bank v. North Carolina Nat. Bank</b> N.C.   October 14, 1970   277 N.C. 148	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Northwestern Bank v. Roseman</b> N.C.   April 07, 1987   319 N.C. 394	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Norton v. Town of Hamlet</b> N.C.   January 13, 1956   243 N.C. 404	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Norwood v. Carter</b> N.C.   April 20, 1955   242 N.C. 152	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>O'Grady v. First Union Nat. Bank</b> N.C.   December 29, 1978   296 N.C. 212	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ochsner v. Elon University</b> N.C.   March 08, 2013   366 N.C. 472	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Odom v. Little Rock &amp; I-85 Corp.</b> N.C.   January 08, 1980   299 N.C. 86	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Odum v. National Oil Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 478	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Olan Mills, Inc. of Tenn. v. Cannon Aircraft Executive Terminal, Inc. N.C.   May 01, 1968   273 N.C. 519	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Oliver v. Hood N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 291	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Oliver v. Williams N.C.   March 02, 1966   266 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Ollis v. Ollis N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 709	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Opsahl v. Pinehurst Inc. N.C.   March 04, 1987   319 N.C. 222	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Orlando Residence, Ltd. v. Alliance Hospitality Management, LLC N.C.   August 14, 2020   375 N.C. 140	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Osborne v. Gilreath N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 685	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Osburn v. Danek Medical, Inc. N.C.   June 16, 2000   352 N.C. 143	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Over-Look Cemetery, Inc. v. Rockingham County N.C.   April 10, 1968   273 N.C. 467	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Overton v. Mayor and City Com'rs of City of Hendersonville N.C.   November 09, 1960   253 N.C. 306	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Owen v. Claude De Bruhl Agency N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 597	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Owens v. Reserve Loan Life Ins. Co.	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 864		
<b>Oxford Orphanage v. Kittrell</b> N.C.   October 13, 1943   223 N.C. 427	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pachas by Pachas v. North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services</b> N.C.   February 01, 2019   372 N.C. 12	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Page Trust Co. v. Lewis</b> N.C.   January 27, 1931   200 N.C. 286	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Page v. Boyles</b> N.C.   April 06, 2001   353 N.C. 361	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Palmer v. Hood ex rel. Page Trust Co.</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 804	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pamlico County v. Davis</b> N.C.   March 04, 1959   249 N.C. 648	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pardue v. Charlotte Motor Speedway, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 20, 1968   273 N.C. 314	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Paris v. Carolina Builders Corp.</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 35	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Parker v. Anson County</b> N.C.   January 30, 1953   237 N.C. 78	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Parker v. Barefoot</b> N.C.   October 08, 1999   351 N.C. 40	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Parker v. Flythe</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 548	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Parker v. Hensel</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 211	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Parker v. Hood ex rel. Central Bank & Trust Co. of Asheville N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 494	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Parker v. Roberson N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 612	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Parrish v. Brantley N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 541	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Parrish v. Piedmont Pub. Co. N.C.   November 01, 1967   271 N.C. 711	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Parsons v. Gunter N.C.   March 18, 1966   266 N.C. 731	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Parsons v. Leak N.C.   January 25, 1933   204 N.C. 86	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Parsons v. Leak N.C.   January 25, 1933   204 N.C. 92	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Pascal v. Burke Transit Co. N.C.   November 10, 1948   229 N.C. 435	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Patrick v. Pilot Life Ins. Co. N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 614	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Patterson v. Durham Hosiery Mills N.C.   February 01, 1939   214 N.C. 806	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Paul v. Dixon N.C.   February 25, 1959   249 N.C. 621	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Paul v. Piner N.C.   July 24, 1967   271 N.C. 123	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Pavone v. Merion</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 594	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peace v. Employment Sec. Com'n of North Carolina</b> N.C.   December 04, 1998   349 N.C. 315	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pearce v. Montague</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 42	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pearce v. Privette</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 501	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peed v. Burleson's, Inc.</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 628	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peed v. Burleson's, Inc.</b> N.C.   September 19, 1956   244 N.C. 437	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peek v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pegg v. Jones</b> N.C.   June 12, 2008   362 N.C. 343	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pender County v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   August 24, 2007   361 N.C. 491	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peoples Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Fidelity &amp; Cas. Co. of N. Y.</b> N.C.   March 01, 1950   231 N.C. 510	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peoples Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Tar River Lumber Co.</b> N.C.   March 18, 1942   221 N.C. 89	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peoples v. Cone Mills Corp.</b> N.C.   May 06, 1986   316 N.C. 426	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Perkins v. American Mut. Fire Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   June 14, 1968   274 N.C. 134	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Perry v. Davis</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 526	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Perry v. Hibriten Furniture Co.</b> N.C.   November 28, 1978   296 N.C. 88	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Perry v. Pulley</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 701	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pete Wall Plumbing Co. v. Harris</b> N.C.   March 23, 1966   266 N.C. 675	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Peterson v. Tidewater Power Co.</b> N.C.   March 29, 1922   183 N.C. 243	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Petition of Newsom Oil Co.</b> N.C.   March 27, 1968   273 N.C. 383	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Petrus Machinery, Inc. v. Radiator Specialty Co.</b> N.C.   May 02, 1962   257 N.C. 85	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Phillips v. Auto Finance Co. of N. C.</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 220	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Phillips v. Phillips</b> N.C.   January 25, 2008   362 N.C. 171	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Phillips v. Phillips</b> N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 617	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Phillips v. Phillips</b> N.C.   March 16, 1979   296 N.C. 590	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Piazza v. Kirkbride</b> N.C.   May 10, 2019   372 N.C. 137	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

Pike v. Wachovia Bank & Trust Co. N.C.   June 14, 1968   274 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Piro v. McKeever N.C.   December 21, 2016   369 N.C. 291	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Pittman v. Downing N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Pittman v. Pittman N.C.   September 24, 1958   248 N.C. 738	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Pitts v. American Sec. Ins. Co. N.C.   October 04, 2002   356 N.C. 292	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Plemons v. Cutshall N.C.   November 21, 1951   234 N.C. 506	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Plott v. Bojangle's Restaurants, Inc. N.C.   October 12, 2007   361 N.C. 577	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Poindexter v. First Nat. Bank of Winston-Salem N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 191	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Polk v. North Carolina Farm Bureau Mut. Ins. Co. N.C.   May 02, 1991   328 N.C. 730	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Poole v. Scott N.C.   January 30, 1948   228 N.C. 464	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Pope v. Patterson N.C.   January 13, 1956   243 N.C. 425	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
Porter v. Citizens Bank of Warrenton, Inc. N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 573	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Potter v. Frosty Morn Meats</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 67	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Potts v. Howser</b> N.C.   June 16, 1966   267 N.C. 484	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Powers v. Lady's Funeral Home</b> N.C.   October 05, 1982   306 N.C. 728	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Presley v. C. M. Allen &amp; Co.</b> N.C.   September 26, 1951   234 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Price v. City of Winston-Salem</b> N.C.   October 05, 2001   354 N.C. 211	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pridgen v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   June 15, 1932   203 N.C. 62	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pridgen v. S. H. Kress &amp; Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 541	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pridgen v. Tyson</b> N.C.   September 26, 1951   234 N.C. 199	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Privett v. Jones</b> N.C.   December 16, 1959   251 N.C. 386	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Prudential Ins. Co. of America v. Hunt</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 724	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pruitt v. Great Am. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   March 30, 1955   241 N.C. 725	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Puett v. Bahnsen</b> N.C.   April 12, 1950   231 N.C. 711	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Pulley v. Pulley</b> N.C.   March 28, 1962   256 N.C. 600	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Pusey v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   March 23, 1921   181 N.C. 137	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ramsbottom v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   April 04, 1905   138 N.C. 38	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rand v. Home Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 760	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rankin v. Helms</b> N.C.   October 10, 1956   244 N.C. 532	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ratcliff v. Rodman</b> N.C.   October 31, 1962   258 N.C. 60	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ray v. Hospital Care Ass'n</b> N.C.   November 26, 1952   236 N.C. 562	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rayfield v. Rayfield</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 691	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Redevelopment Commission of High Point v. Denny Roll &amp; Panel Co.</b> N.C.   March 27, 1968   273 N.C. 368	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Reese v. Barbee</b> N.C.   February 05, 1999   350 N.C. 60	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Reid v. Bristol</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 699	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rent-A-Car Co., Inc. v. Lynch</b> N.C.   November 06, 1979   298 N.C. 559	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Responsible Citizens in Opposition to Flood Plain Ordinance v. City of Asheville</b> N.C.   May 03, 1983   308 N.C. 255	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Revis v. Orr</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 158		
<b>Rhodes v. Raxter</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 206	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rhyne v. Town of Mount Holly</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 521	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rich v. Norfolk Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 175	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Richardson v. Bank of America, N.A.</b> N.C.   March 07, 2008   362 N.C. 227	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Richmond Mortgage &amp; Loan Corporation v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   April 29, 1936   210 N.C. 29	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rider v. Lenoir County</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 620	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Riggings Homeowners, Inc. v. Coastal Resources Com'n of State</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 643	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ripellino v. North Carolina School Boards Ass'n</b> N.C.   January 26, 2007   361 N.C. 214	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rivers v. State Capital Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   February 01, 1957   245 N.C. 461	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robbins v. C. W. Myers Trading Post, Inc.</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 663	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roberson v. Boone</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 598	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roberson v. Pruden</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 632		
<b>Roberts v. Durham County Hospital Corp.</b> N.C.   January 11, 1983   307 N.C. 465	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roberts v. Northwestern Bank</b> N.C.   August 25, 1967   271 N.C. 292	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roberts v. Pilot Freight Carriers, Inc.</b> N.C.   May 01, 1968   273 N.C. 600	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robins v. Town of Hillsborough</b> N.C.   January 26, 2007   361 N.C. 193	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robinson v. Byrd</b> N.C.   December 20, 2002   356 N.C. 608	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Robinson v. City of Charlotte</b> N.C.   July 13, 1982   306 N.C. 213	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rochelle v. Dunn</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 853	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rodriguez-Carias v. Nelson's Auto Salvage &amp; Towing Service</b> N.C.   June 18, 2009   363 N.C. 365	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roebuck v. City of New Bern</b> N.C.   October 08, 1958   249 N.C. 41	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roller v. Allen</b> N.C.   February 27, 1957   245 N.C. 516	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rollins v. Gibson</b> N.C.   June 13, 1977   293 N.C. 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Roman v. Southland Transp. Co.</b> N.C.   June 25, 1999   350 N.C. 549	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Roth v. Luck</b> N.C.   November 23, 1955   243 N.C. 175	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rowe v. Rowe-Coward Co.</b> N.C.   September 18, 1935   208 N.C. 484	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Royal v. McClure</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 186	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Royall v. Carr Lumber Co.</b> N.C.   September 24, 1958   248 N.C. 735	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Royster v. Hancock</b> N.C.   February 01, 1952   235 N.C. 110	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rulane Gas Co. v. Montgomery Ward &amp; Co.</b> N.C.   December 14, 1949   231 N.C. 270	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Rutherford Plantation, LLC v. Challenge Golf Group of the Carolinas, LLC</b> N.C.   January 24, 2014   367 N.C. 197	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Safeguard Ins. Co. v. Wilmington Cold Storage Co.</b> N.C.   July 06, 1966   267 N.C. 679	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Saft America Inc. v. Plainview Batteries, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 06, 2009   363 N.C. 5	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sale v. State Highway and Public Works Commission</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 612	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Samost v. Duke University</b> N.C.   December 20, 2013   367 N.C. 185	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sandhill Amusements, Inc. v. Miller</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   June 11, 2015   368 N.C. 91		
<b>Sara Lee Corp. v. Carter</b> N.C.   October 08, 1999   351 N.C. 27	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Satterfield v. Manning</b> N.C.   January 30, 1948   228 N.C. 471	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Satterfield v. Manning</b> N.C.   January 30, 1948   228 N.C. 467	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Saunders v. ADP TotalSource Fi Xi, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 01, 2019   372 N.C. 29	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sawyer v. Cowell</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 681	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sawyer v. Southern Ry. Co.</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 164	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sawyers v. Farm Bureau Ins. of N.C., Inc.</b> N.C.   December 16, 2005   360 N.C. 158	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sayland v. Sayland</b> N.C.   May 25, 1966   267 N.C. 378	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Scarboro v. Pilot Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   June 30, 1955   242 N.C. 444	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Schenkel &amp; Shultz, Inc. v. Hermon F. Fox &amp; Associates, P.C.</b> N.C.   April 11, 2008   362 N.C. 269	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Schloss v. Schloss</b> N.C.   March 20, 1968   273 N.C. 266	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Schoenith v. Town &amp; Country Realty Co.</b> N.C.   October 10, 1956   244 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Scott v. Burlington Mills Corp.</b> N.C.   November 28, 1956   245 N.C. 100	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Scott v. Scott</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 733	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Scott v. Shackelford</b> N.C.   March 30, 1955   241 N.C. 738	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Seaboard Air Line R. Co. v. George H. Crafts &amp; Co.</b> N.C.   April 09, 1924   187 N.C. 561	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sedberry v. Parsons</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 707	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Seders v. Powell</b> N.C.   November 06, 1979   298 N.C. 453	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Setzer v. Pyramid Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   October 31, 1962   258 N.C. 66	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shackelford v. City of Wilmington</b> N.C.   October 09, 1998   349 N.C. 222	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sharpe v. Pugh</b> N.C.   November 26, 1974   286 N.C. 209	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sharpe v. Pugh</b> N.C.   June 20, 1967   270 N.C. 598	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sheffield v. Consolidated Foods Corp.</b> N.C.   April 07, 1981   302 N.C. 403	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shelton v. White</b> N.C.   September 24, 1913   163 N.C. 90	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shepard v. Rheem Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 746	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Shepard v. Rheem Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 751	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shepherd v. Shepherd</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 71	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sheppard v. Kennedy</b> N.C.   August 26, 1955   242 N.C. 529	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shinault v. Creed</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Shingleton v. North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission</b> N.C.   March 26, 1958   248 N.C. 89	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sibley v. Townsend</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 648	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sigmund Sternberger Foundation, Inc. v. Tannenbaum</b> N.C.   May 22, 1968   273 N.C. 658	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Skinner v. Evans</b> N.C.   April 18, 1956   243 N.C. 760	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Slade v. Stadler</b> N.C.   February 28, 2003   356 N.C. 659	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smart Finance Co. v. Dick</b> N.C.   April 11, 1962   256 N.C. 669	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Beasley</b> N.C.   December 04, 1979   298 N.C. 798	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Bruton</b> N.C.   November 30, 1904   137 N.C. 79	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Smith v. City of Monroe</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 41	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Henderson</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 544	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Dare County Com'rs.</b> N.C.   September 17, 1913   163 N.C. 97	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Life Ins. Co. of Va.</b> N.C.   November 01, 1961   255 N.C. 569	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. New York Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 504	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Rochester Am. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   September 17, 1958   248 N.C. 718	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smith v. Town of Carolina Beach</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 834	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Smyth v. McKissick</b> N.C.   March 17, 1943   222 N.C. 644	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sorrells v. Decker</b> N.C.   October 13, 1937   212 N.C. 251	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southeastern Baptist Theological Seminary, Inc. v. Wake County</b> N.C.   May 21, 1958   248 N.C. 420	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southeastern Baptist Theological Seminary, Inc. v. Wake County</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 775	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Souther v. New River Area Mental Health Developmental Disabilities And Substance Abuse Program</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   October 05, 2001   354 N.C. 209		
<b>Southern Bell Tel. &amp; Tel. Co. v. Clayton</b> N.C.   March 23, 1966   266 N.C. 687	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southern Butane Gas Corp. v. Bullard</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 730	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southern Distributing Co. v. Carraway</b> N.C.   April 08, 1925   189 N.C. 420	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southern Mills v. Armstrong</b> N.C.   October 20, 1943   223 N.C. 495	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southern Mills v. Summit Yarn Co.</b> N.C.   October 20, 1943   223 N.C. 479	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southern Nat. Bank v. O'Brien</b> N.C.   April 10, 1918   175 N.C. 338	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Southern Ry. Co. v. Akers Motor Lines</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 676	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sowell v. Travelers' Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   November 21, 1934   207 N.C. 372	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Speagle v. Seitz</b> N.C.   December 18, 2001   354 N.C. 525	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Spears v. Randolph</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 659	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sprinkle v. Sprinkle</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 713	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Spruill v. Lake Phelps Volunteer Fire Dept., Inc.</b> N.C.   February 04, 2000   351 N.C. 318	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>St. Clair v. Rakestraw</b> N.C.   February 27, 1985   313 N.C. 171	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>St. George v. Hanson</b> N.C.   January 15, 1954   239 N.C. 259	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stallings v. Occidental Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   April 20, 1949   230 N.C. 304	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stallings v. Occidental Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   November 24, 1948   229 N.C. 529	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stanback v. Haywood</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stanback v. Stanback</b> N.C.   October 05, 1976   290 N.C. 778	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stanback v. Stanback</b> N.C.   May 17, 1979   297 N.C. 181	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stanley v. Foster</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 201	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stansel v. McIntyre</b> N.C.   January 30, 1953   237 N.C. 148	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Bruton v. Flying "W" Enterprises, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 10, 1968   273 N.C. 399	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Com'r of Ins. v. North Carolina Rate Bureau</b> N.C.   June 25, 1999   350 N.C. 539	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Com'r of Ins. v. North Carolina Rate Bureau</b> N.C.   July 15, 1980   300 N.C. 485	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State ex rel. Com'r of Ins. v. North Carolina Rate Bureau</b> N.C.   July 15, 1980   300 N.C. 474	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Com'r of Ins. v. North Carolina Rate Bureau</b> N.C.   July 15, 1980   300 N.C. 460	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Com'r of Ins. v. North Carolina Rate Bureau</b> N.C.   July 15, 1980   300 N.C. 381	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Freeman v. Ponder</b> N.C.   October 31, 1951   234 N.C. 294	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Garland v. Gatewood</b> N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 606	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. North Carolina Milk Commission v. Dagenhardt</b> N.C.   January 31, 1964   261 N.C. 281	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. North Carolina Utilities Commission v. Westco Tel. Co.</b> N.C.   February 04, 1966   266 N.C. 450	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Carolina Power &amp; Light Co.</b> N.C.   July 01, 2005   359 N.C. 516	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Cooper</b> N.C.   January 23, 2015   367 N.C. 741	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Cooper</b> N.C.   April 12, 2013   366 N.C. 484	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. Eddleman</b> N.C.   July 28, 1987   320 N.C. 344	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State ex rel. Utilities Com'n v. The Public Staff-North Carolina Utilities Com'n</b> N.C.   September 07, 1983   309 N.C. 195	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Carolina Coach Co.</b> N.C.   December 10, 1952   236 N.C. 583	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Carolina Coach Co.</b> N.C.   March 29, 1967   269 N.C. 717	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Carolina Power &amp; Light Co.</b> N.C.   June 12, 1959   250 N.C. 421	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Carolina Tel. &amp; Tel. Co.</b> N.C.   November 02, 1955   243 N.C. 46	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Carolina Tel. &amp; Tel. Co.</b> N.C.   March 17, 1954   239 N.C. 675	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Carolinas Committee for Indus. Power Rates &amp; Area Development, Inc.</b> N.C.   July 10, 1962   257 N.C. 560	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. City of Greensboro</b> N.C.   June 06, 1956   244 N.C. 247	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Fox</b> N.C.   November 26, 1952   236 N.C. 553	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v. Ray</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 692	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State ex rel. Utilities Commission v.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Woodstock Elec. Membership Corp.</b> N.C.   January 06, 1970   276 N.C. 108		
<b>State ex rel. Wilson v. Pearson</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State Highway and Public Works Commission v. Cobb</b> N.C.   May 03, 1939   215 N.C. 556	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Allen</b> N.C.   July 23, 1999   350 N.C. 655	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Allen</b> N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 297	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Allison</b> N.C.   September 04, 1979   298 N.C. 135	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Alridge</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 850	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Anderson</b> N.C.   April 09, 1999   350 N.C. 152	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Anderson</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 771	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ardrey</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 721	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Armstrong</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 727	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Atwood</b> N.C.   April 29, 1959   250 N.C. 141	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   December 18, 2015   368 N.C. 594	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   August 19, 2005   359 N.C. 709	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Augustine</b> N.C.   September 25, 2020   375 N.C. 376	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Austin</b> N.C.   April 21, 1903   132 N.C. 1037	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Avery</b> N.C.   December 10, 1985   315 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Badgett</b> N.C.   May 04, 2007   361 N.C. 234	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baker</b> N.C.   October 13, 1937   212 N.C. 233	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baker</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 524	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   October 05, 2001   354 N.C. 208	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   November 07, 1997   347 N.C. 348	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baldwin</b> N.C.   May 25, 1938   213 N.C. 648	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ballard</b> N.C.   November 06, 1998   349 N.C. 286	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Banks</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 652	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barefoot</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 650		
<b>State v. Barfield</b> N.C.   November 06, 1979   298 N.C. 306	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barham</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 80	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Barnett</b> N.C.   March 18, 2016   368 N.C. 710	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bauburger</b> N.C.   December 15, 2006   361 N.C. 105	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Baucom</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 61	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Beaty</b> N.C.   August 03, 1982   306 N.C. 491	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Beeson</b> N.C.   May 10, 1977   292 N.C. 602	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Best</b> N.C.   December 18, 2020   376 N.C. 340	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Betts</b> N.C.   June 11, 2021   377 N.C. 519	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Biber</b> N.C.   June 16, 2011   365 N.C. 162	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Billups</b> N.C.   January 06, 1981   301 N.C. 607	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Birckhead</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 494	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Blagg</b> N.C.   June 11, 2021   377 N.C. 482	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Blow</b> N.C.   September 25, 2015   368 N.C. 348	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Booher</b> N.C.   May 04, 1982   305 N.C. 554	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bostic</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 639	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bowden</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 680	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bowes</b> N.C.   October 07, 2005   360 N.C. 55	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bowie</b> N.C.   May 05, 1995   340 N.C. 199	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Branch</b> N.C.   April 07, 2005   359 N.C. 406	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Breedon</b> N.C.   August 03, 1982   306 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brent</b> N.C.   June 27, 2013   367 N.C. 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brewer</b> N.C.   February 01, 1963   258 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bright</b> N.C.   March 04, 1999   350 N.C. 82	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brock</b> N.C.   October 31, 1951   234 N.C. 390	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Brock</b> N.C.   October 31, 1951   234 N.C. 391	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brockwell</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 209	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brown</b> N.C.   April 09, 1999   350 N.C. 193	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Brown</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 747	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Buchanan</b> N.C.   April 06, 2001   353 N.C. 332	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burke</b> N.C.   June 05, 2020   374 N.C. 617	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Burnette</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 164	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Bursell</b> N.C.   May 10, 2019   372 N.C. 196	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Byers</b> N.C.   September 25, 2020   375 N.C. 386	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Byers</b> N.C.   September 24, 1958   248 N.C. 744	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Call</b> N.C.   December 31, 1998   349 N.C. 382	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Camby</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 50	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Campbell</b> N.C.   August 19, 2005   359 N.C. 644	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Cannon</b> N.C.   March 06, 1968   273 N.C. 215	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cannon</b> N.C.   September 19, 1956   244 N.C. 399	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caple</b> N.C.   March 07, 2008   362 N.C. 232	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Caulder</b> N.C.   December 16, 1959   251 N.C. 444	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chapman</b> N.C.   April 07, 2005   359 N.C. 328	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cheek</b> N.C.   November 05, 1999   351 N.C. 48	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Chestnutt</b> N.C.   January 14, 1955   241 N.C. 401	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Church</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 230	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Clark</b> N.C.   September 26, 1951   234 N.C. 192	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Clemons</b> N.C.   October 12, 2007   361 N.C. 582	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Coats</b> N.C.   October 07, 1980   301 N.C. 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cobb</b> N.C.   June 28, 2007   361 N.C. 414	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cole</b> N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 576	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Cook</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 700	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Corey</b> N.C.   December 06, 2019   373 N.C. 225	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cottle</b> N.C.   October 16, 1951   234 N.C. 746	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Cox</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 57	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Craven</b> N.C.   June 27, 2013   367 N.C. 51	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Crews</b> N.C.   March 16, 1979   296 N.C. 607	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Crisp</b> N.C.   September 19, 1956   244 N.C. 407	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Crump</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 52	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dammons</b> N.C.   October 11, 1977   293 N.C. 263	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Darroch</b> N.C.   March 03, 1982   305 N.C. 196	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Davis</b> N.C.   November 04, 1980   301 N.C. 394	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Davis</b> N.C.   April 11, 1956   243 N.C. 754	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Dawes</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 535	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Desperados, Inc.</b> N.C.   November 09, 2007   361 N.C. 682	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Duke</b> N.C.   December 16, 2005   360 N.C. 110	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Early</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 717	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Eason</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 59	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Edwards</b> N.C.   December 10, 1985   315 N.C. 304	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Elam</b> N.C.   January 27, 1981   302 N.C. 157	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ellis</b> N.C.   January 26, 2007   361 N.C. 200	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ellis</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 702	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ellison</b> N.C.   March 08, 2013   366 N.C. 439	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Epps</b> N.C.   April 10, 2015   368 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Epps</b> N.C.   June 15, 1938   213 N.C. 709	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ethridge</b> N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 359	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Evans</b> N.C.   February 25, 1959   249 N.C. 647	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Evans</b> N.C.   October 03, 1979   298 N.C. 263	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Everett</b> N.C.   January 26, 2007   361 N.C. 217	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Everette</b> N.C.   November 09, 2007   361 N.C. 646	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Faircloth</b> N.C.   June 12, 1979   297 N.C. 388	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Faulkner</b> N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 609	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ferguson</b> N.C.   April 18, 1956   243 N.C. 766	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ferrell</b> N.C.   May 06, 1980   300 N.C. 157	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fleming</b> N.C.   April 09, 1999   350 N.C. 109	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fletcher</b> N.C.   December 02, 1980   301 N.C. 515	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Floyd</b> N.C.   December 21, 2016   369 N.C. 329	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ford</b> N.C.   October 01, 1985   314 N.C. 498	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fortney</b> N.C.   August 15, 1980   301 N.C. 31	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Foster</b> N.C.   December 03, 2004   359 N.C. 179	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Fowler</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 549	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Franklin</b> N.C.   December 20, 2013   367 N.C. 183	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Franklin</b> N.C.   September 17, 1958   248 N.C. 695	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Franks</b> N.C.   June 12, 1964   262 N.C. 94	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Frogge</b> N.C.   February 04, 2005   359 N.C. 228	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Fuqua</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 168	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gallagher</b> N.C.   February 27, 1985   313 N.C. 132	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gaskill</b> N.C.   April 11, 1962   256 N.C. 652	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gay</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 125	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Gentry</b> N.C.   March 24, 1948   228 N.C. 643	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Glidden Co.</b> N.C.   March 24, 1948   228 N.C. 664	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Goines</b> N.C.   April 17, 1968   273 N.C. 509	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Goldman</b> N.C.   July 06, 1984   311 N.C. 338	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Dolphin</b> N.C.   September 25, 2020   375 N.C. 432	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grady</b> N.C.   August 16, 2019   372 N.C. 509	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grainger</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 696	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grayson</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 453	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Green</b> N.C.   March 30, 1966   266 N.C. 785	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Grice</b> N.C.   January 23, 2015   367 N.C. 753	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hamilton</b> N.C.   October 08, 1999   351 N.C. 14	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hamilton</b> N.C.   October 03, 1979   298 N.C. 238	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hammonds</b> N.C.   November 09, 2001   354 N.C. 353	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hardy</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 83	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Harold</b> N.C.   January 30, 1985   312 N.C. 787	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Harris</b> N.C.   June 28, 2007   361 N.C. 400	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Harris</b> N.C.   October 05, 1982   306 N.C. 724	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Harrison</b> N.C.   April 07, 2006   360 N.C. 394	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Harvey</b> N.C.   June 14, 2019   372 N.C. 304	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Harvey</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 111	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hayes</b> N.C.   October 01, 1985   314 N.C. 460	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Haywood</b> N.C.   November 28, 1978   295 N.C. 709	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Heavener</b> N.C.   November 06, 1979   298 N.C. 541	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hedrick</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 727	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hembree</b> N.C.   April 10, 2015   368 N.C. 2	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Henderson</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   208 N.C. 856	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Henderson</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 830	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Herbin</b> N.C.   November 06, 1979   298 N.C. 441	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hernandez-Madrid</b> N.C.   June 28, 2007   361 N.C. 421	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hess</b> N.C.   April 11, 2008   362 N.C. 283	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Hester</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 99	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hill</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 53	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hill</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 704	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hines</b> N.C.   January 31, 1975   286 N.C. 377	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hinson</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 187	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hinton</b> N.C.   January 26, 2007   361 N.C. 207	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Holloman</b> N.C.   June 09, 2017   369 N.C. 615	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hopkins</b> N.C.   March 16, 1979   296 N.C. 673	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Horne</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 115	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hough</b> N.C.   June 27, 2013   367 N.C. 79	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hunt</b> N.C.   June 04, 1985   313 N.C. 593	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hunt</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 700	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hunt</b> N.C.   November 24, 1965   265 N.C. 714	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Hunt</b> N.C.   April 20, 1979   297 N.C. 131	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hurt</b> N.C.   June 27, 2013   367 N.C. 80	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hurt</b> N.C.   May 04, 2007   361 N.C. 325	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Huss</b> N.C.   November 08, 2013   367 N.C. 162	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hutchins</b> N.C.   July 08, 1981   303 N.C. 321	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hyde</b> N.C.   June 16, 2000   352 N.C. 37	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Hyman</b> N.C.   January 08, 1985   312 N.C. 601	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ipock</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 119	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Isom</b> N.C.   November 23, 1955   243 N.C. 164	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jackson</b> N.C.   June 08, 2001   353 N.C. 495	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jackson</b> N.C.   April 03, 1998   348 N.C. 52	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jackson</b> N.C.   March 24, 1948   228 N.C. 656	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jackson</b> N.C.   January 27, 1981   302 N.C. 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   August 24, 2007   361 N.C. 565	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jacobs</b> N.C.   August 28, 2009   363 N.C. 576	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jamieson</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 731	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jenkins</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 112	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jennings</b> N.C.   January 06, 1970   276 N.C. 157	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   August 13, 2021   2021-NCSC-85	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   October 11, 1972   282 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Johnson</b> N.C.   August 12, 1986   317 N.C. 417	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jones</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 49	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jones</b> N.C.   June 03, 1980   300 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jones</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 563	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jones</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 812	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Jones</b> N.C.   November 28, 1978   296 N.C. 75	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Joyner</b> N.C.   January 30, 1985   312 N.C. 779	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kelly</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 660	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Khan</b> N.C.   March 08, 2013   366 N.C. 448	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kirby</b> N.C.   January 06, 1970   276 N.C. 123	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kirkman</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 719	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kluttz</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 726	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Kochuk</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 549	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lance</b> N.C.   September 19, 1956   244 N.C. 455	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Landin</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 20	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lane</b> N.C.   March 11, 2011   365 N.C. 7	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lasiter</b> N.C.   May 04, 2007   361 N.C. 299	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lawrence</b> N.C.   April 07, 2006   360 N.C. 393	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lawrence</b> N.C.   April 07, 2006   360 N.C. 368	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Lawson</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 59	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. LeDuc</b> N.C.   June 02, 1982   306 N.C. 62	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lewis</b> N.C.   August 24, 2007   361 N.C. 541	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lewis</b> N.C.   April 13, 2012   365 N.C. 488	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lofton</b> N.C.   May 10, 2019   372 N.C. 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Long</b> N.C.   February 04, 2011   365 N.C. 5	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lucas</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 53	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lucas</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 84	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Luster</b> N.C.   October 05, 1982   306 N.C. 566	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Lynch</b> N.C.   December 02, 1980   301 N.C. 479	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Maines</b> N.C.   January 06, 1981   301 N.C. 669	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Majors</b> N.C.   July 03, 1985   314 N.C. 111	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Malette</b> N.C.   February 05, 1999   350 N.C. 52	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Maples</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 732	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Marsh</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 101	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mathis</b> N.C.   December 31, 1998   349 N.C. 503	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. May</b> N.C.   June 11, 2015   368 N.C. 112	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McBane</b> N.C.   December 10, 1969   276 N.C. 60	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McCall</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 135	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McDaniel</b> N.C.   August 16, 2019   372 N.C. 594	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McDougall</b> N.C.   April 05, 1983   308 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McDowell</b> N.C.   November 04, 1980   301 N.C. 279	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McFadden</b> N.C.   May 10, 1977   292 N.C. 609	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McGuire</b> N.C.   April 20, 1979   297 N.C. 69	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McKinney</b> N.C.   December 15, 2006   361 N.C. 53	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. McNeill</b> N.C.   December 31, 1998   349 N.C. 634	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. McNeill</b> N.C.   February 24, 1937   211 N.C. 286	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Miller</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 702	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mills</b> N.C.   May 10, 2019   372 N.C. 225	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mills</b> N.C.   September 19, 1956   244 N.C. 487	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mills</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 604	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mills</b> N.C.   January 28, 1983   307 N.C. 504	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mitchell</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Moore</b> N.C.   October 06, 2000   352 N.C. 667	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Moore</b> N.C.   April 19, 1933   204 N.C. 545	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Morgan</b> N.C.   December 03, 2004   359 N.C. 131	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Morganherring</b> N.C.   August 20, 1999   350 N.C. 701	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Mumma</b> N.C.   May 10, 2019   372 N.C. 226	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Murry</b> N.C.   October 14, 1970   277 N.C. 197	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Myers</b> N.C.   June 04, 1954   240 N.C. 462	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Myrick</b> N.C.   June 02, 1982   306 N.C. 110	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nabors</b> N.C.   December 09, 2011   365 N.C. 306	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nichols</b> N.C.   March 09, 1955   241 N.C. 615	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Nkiam</b> N.C.   September 23, 2016   369 N.C. 61	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Norman</b> N.C.   December 10, 1969   276 N.C. 75	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Norris</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Norris</b> N.C.   June 30, 2006   360 N.C. 507	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ortiz-Zape</b> N.C.   June 27, 2013   367 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Outlaw</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 220	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Oxner</b> N.C.   March 16, 1979   297 N.C. 44	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Packingham</b> N.C.   November 06, 2015   368 N.C. 380	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Parker</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 32	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Parker</b> N.C.   December 10, 1985   315 N.C. 249	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pastuer</b> N.C.   October 07, 2011   365 N.C. 287	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Payne</b> N.C.   June 15, 1938   213 N.C. 719	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pendergraft</b> N.C.   September 25, 2015   368 N.C. 314	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Perry</b> N.C.   March 03, 1982   305 N.C. 225	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Phachoumphone</b> N.C.   March 29, 2019   372 N.C. 72	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Phelps</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 540	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Philip Morris USA Inc.</b> N.C.   August 19, 2005   359 N.C. 763	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Phillips</b> N.C.   June 16, 2011   365 N.C. 103	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Phillips</b> N.C.   July 12, 1979   297 N.C. 600	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pike</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 102	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pillow</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 146	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Pizano-Trejo</b> N.C.   October 04, 2013   367 N.C. 111	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Poindexter</b> N.C.   March 04, 2005   359 N.C. 287	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Primes</b> N.C.   August 13, 1985   314 N.C. 202	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Prince</b> N.C.   April 16, 2021   377 N.C. 198	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rainey</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 738	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Randolph</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 120	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ravensford Lumber Co.</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Renfro</b> N.C.   April 07, 2006   360 N.C. 395	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Reynolds</b> N.C.   November 06, 1979   298 N.C. 380	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rhinehart</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 150	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rhinehart</b> N.C.   June 16, 1966   267 N.C. 470	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rhodes</b> N.C.   June 13, 2013   366 N.C. 532	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Riddick</b> N.C.   March 05, 1986   316 N.C. 127	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Riddler</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 78	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Ripley</b> N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 333	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rivera</b> N.C.   April 09, 1999   350 N.C. 285	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robbins</b> N.C.   June 06, 1975   287 N.C. 483	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robbins</b> N.C.   November 23, 1955   243 N.C. 161	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Robinson</b> N.C.   December 18, 2015   368 N.C. 596	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ross</b> N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 355	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Rowell</b> N.C.   June 06, 1956   244 N.C. 280	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Royster</b> N.C.   November 01, 2019   373 N.C. 157	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ruth</b> N.C.   December 10, 1969   276 N.C. 36	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ryan</b> N.C.   September 27, 2019   371 N.C. 445	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sargeant</b> N.C.   March 11, 2011   365 N.C. 58	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Satterfield</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 118	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Scott</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 595	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Seam</b> N.C.   February 28, 2020   373 N.C. 529	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Seam</b> N.C.   December 21, 2016   369 N.C. 418	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. See</b> N.C.   November 04, 1980   301 N.C. 388	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sharpe</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 154	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Shaw</b> N.C.   March 30, 1982   305 N.C. 327	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sherrer</b> N.C.   September 19, 1956   244 N.C. 488	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Shinn</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 22	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Shook</b> N.C.   October 11, 1977   293 N.C. 315	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Siler</b> N.C.   May 10, 1977   292 N.C. 543	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Silhan</b> N.C.   July 30, 1979   297 N.C. 660	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sims</b> N.C.   May 25, 1938   213 N.C. 590	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Sloan</b> N.C.   October 12, 2007   361 N.C. 584	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Smith</b> N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 341	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Smith</b> N.C.   February 04, 2005   359 N.C. 199	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stamey</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 854	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Starnes</b> N.C.   November 27, 1940   218 N.C. 539	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Steen</b> N.C.   December 18, 2020   376 N.C. 469	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stewart</b> N.C.   June 08, 2001   353 N.C. 516	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Street</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 689	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Strickland</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 770	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Stubbs</b> N.C.   April 10, 2015   368 N.C. 40	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Suits</b> N.C.   February 05, 1979   296 N.C. 553	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tann</b> N.C.   January 27, 1981   302 N.C. 89	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tart</b> N.C.   March 29, 2019   372 N.C. 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Taylor</b> N.C.   September 25, 2015   368 N.C. 300	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Taylor</b> N.C.   June 05, 2020   374 N.C. 710	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Taylor</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 521	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Taylor</b> N.C.   October 07, 1980   301 N.C. 164	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Terrell</b> N.C.   August 16, 2019   372 N.C. 657	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thacker</b> N.C.   November 04, 1980   301 N.C. 348	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thai</b> N.C.   June 28, 2007   361 N.C. 419	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thomas</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 212	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thompson</b> N.C.   February 01, 2019   372 N.C. 48	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thompson</b> N.C.   December 03, 2004   359 N.C. 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thompson</b> N.C.   March 28, 1962   256 N.C. 593	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thompson</b> N.C.   June 06, 1956   244 N.C. 282	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thompson</b> N.C.   August 25, 1982   306 N.C. 526	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thompson</b> N.C.   June 15, 1962   257 N.C. 452	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Thompson</b> N.C.   March 16, 1979   296 N.C. 703	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Tirado</b> N.C.   August 13, 2004   358 N.C. 551	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tiralongo</b> N.C.   May 07, 1999   350 N.C. 378	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Troxler</b> N.C.   December 03, 2004   359 N.C. 178	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Trull</b> N.C.   December 31, 1998   349 N.C. 428	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tucker</b> N.C.   November 23, 1955   243 N.C. 176	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Tyson</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 574	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Underwood</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 68	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Vance</b> N.C.   May 06, 1975   287 N.C. 264	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Waddell</b> N.C.   April 07, 2000   351 N.C. 413	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walden</b> N.C.   August 03, 1982   306 N.C. 466	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walker</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 742	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walker</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 465	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wall</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 130	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Walston</b> N.C.   December 19, 2014   367 N.C. 721	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walters</b> N.C.   March 18, 2016   368 N.C. 749	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Walters</b> N.C.   May 02, 2003   357 N.C. 68	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Ward</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 706	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Warren</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 581	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Warren</b> N.C.   April 02, 1985   313 N.C. 254	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Waters</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 769	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Watkins</b> N.C.   March 28, 1962   256 N.C. 606	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Weaver</b> N.C.   February 04, 2005   359 N.C. 246	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. White</b> N.C.   April 09, 1999   350 N.C. 302	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. White</b> N.C.   May 10, 2019   372 N.C. 248	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. White</b> N.C.   May 02, 1956   244 N.C. 73	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. White</b> N.C.   August 23, 1968   274 N.C. 220	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Whitehurst</b> N.C.   November 03, 1937   212 N.C. 300	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Whitfield</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 696	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wilborn</b> N.C.   April 11, 1956   243 N.C. 756	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wilcox</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 694	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wilcox</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 691	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wiley</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 114	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   March 28, 2003   357 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   February 05, 1999   350 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   December 11, 1935   209 N.C. 57	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   January 29, 2016   368 N.C. 620	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   April 05, 1983   308 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   November 28, 1978   295 N.C. 655	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Williams</b> N.C.   December 11, 2009   363 N.C. 689	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>State v. Wilson</b> N.C.   November 06, 1998   349 N.C. 289	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Winfrey</b> N.C.   October 03, 1979   298 N.C. 260	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Wood</b> N.C.   August 25, 1982   306 N.C. 510	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>State v. Woodruff</b> N.C.   December 07, 1982   307 N.C. 264	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Steadman v. Town of Pinetops</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 509	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stein v. Asheville City Bd. Of Educ.</b> N.C.   March 03, 2006   360 N.C. 321	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stein v. Capital Outdoor Advertising, Inc.</b> N.C.   February 28, 1968   273 N.C. 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stelling v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   October 09, 1935   208 N.C. 838	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stelling v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   April 13, 1938   213 N.C. 324	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stellings v. Autry</b> N.C.   June 15, 1962   257 N.C. 303	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stem v. Richardson</b> N.C.   March 04, 1999   350 N.C. 76	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephens v. Jackson County Bd. of Ed.</b> N.C.   September 19, 1956   244 N.C. 481	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   January 30, 2004   358 N.C. 151	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   July 16, 2003   357 N.C. 301	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Bartlett</b> N.C.   April 22, 2004   358 N.C. 219	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stephenson v. Rowe</b> N.C.   January 07, 1986   315 N.C. 330	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stetson v. Easterling</b> N.C.   June 14, 1968   274 N.C. 152	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stevens v. Guzman</b> N.C.   October 05, 2001   354 N.C. 214	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stewart v. Jaggers</b> N.C.   November 23, 1955   243 N.C. 166	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stewart v. McDade</b> N.C.   April 11, 1962   256 N.C. 630	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stewart v. Parker</b> N.C.   October 31, 1945   225 N.C. 551	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stokes v. Stokes</b> N.C.   September 18, 1963   260 N.C. 203	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Streater v. Marks</b> N.C.   April 13, 1966   267 N.C. 32	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stribbling v. Lamm</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 529	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Stroud v. Stroud</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 668	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Styers v. Winston Coca-Cola Bottling Co.</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 504	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Surratt v. Chas. E. Lambeth Ins. Agency, Inc.</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 121	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sutton v. Woolard</b> N.C.   April 12, 1939   215 N.C. 389	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Swinson v. Lejeune Motor Co., Inc.</b> N.C.   October 04, 2002   356 N.C. 286	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Swinton v. Savoy Realty Co.</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 723	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sykes v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of North Carolina</b> N.C.   June 14, 2019   372 N.C. 318	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Sykes v. Health Network Solutions, Inc.</b> N.C.   June 14, 2019   372 N.C. 326	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tanglewood Land Co., Inc. v. Byrd</b> N.C.   February 01, 1980   299 N.C. 260	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tarboro Building &amp; Loan Ass'n v. Bell</b> N.C.   April 29, 1936   210 N.C. 35	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tarkington v. Tarkington</b> N.C.   December 02, 1980   301 N.C. 502	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tart v. Byrne</b> N.C.   January 13, 1956   243 N.C. 409	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tate v. Western Carolina Power Co.</b> N.C.   April 13, 1949   230 N.C. 256	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tayloe v. Parker</b> N.C.   February 28, 1905   137 N.C. 418	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Taylor v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   May 25, 1938   213 N.C. 671		
<b>Taylor v. Green</b> N.C.   April 20, 1955   242 N.C. 156	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Taylor v. Taylor</b> N.C.   November 04, 1980   301 N.C. 357	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Taylor v. Taylor</b> N.C.   April 11, 1956   243 N.C. 726	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Templeton Properties LP v. Town of Boone</b> N.C.   June 11, 2015   368 N.C. 82	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Terrell v. Life Ins. Co. of Va.</b> N.C.   January 20, 1967   269 N.C. 259	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Therrell v. Freeman</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 552	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Thomas H. Briggs &amp; Sons v. Allen</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 10	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Thomas-Yelverton Co. v. State Capital Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   September 30, 1953   238 N.C. 278	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Thompson v. ALD, New York, Inc.</b> N.C.   September 20, 1961   255 N.C. 321	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Thompson v. City of Salisbury</b> N.C.   May 06, 1975   287 N.C. 264	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Thompson v. Horrell</b> N.C.   January 12, 1968   272 N.C. 503	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Thompson v. Waters</b> N.C.   April 07, 2000   351 N.C. 462	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Thurston v. Thurston</b> N.C.   April 11, 1962   256 N.C. 663	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tickle v. Hobgood</b> N.C.   January 05, 1938   212 N.C. 762	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tillis v. Calvine Cotton Mills, Inc.</b> N.C.   December 16, 1959   251 N.C. 359	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tillman v. Bellamy</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 201	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Timour v. Pitt County Memorial Hosp., Inc.</b> N.C.   October 08, 1999   351 N.C. 47	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tomlinson v. Town of Norwood</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 716	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Atlantic Beach v. Young</b> N.C.   January 11, 1983   307 N.C. 422	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Grimesland v. City of Washington</b> N.C.   September 19, 1951   234 N.C. 117	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Hillsborough v. Smith</b> N.C.   December 10, 1969   276 N.C. 48	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Midland v. Wayne</b> N.C.   June 11, 2015   368 N.C. 55	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Morganton v. Hutton &amp; Bourbonnais Co.</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 531	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Nags Head v. Richardson</b> N.C.   June 14, 2019   372 N.C. 349	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Scotland Neck v. Western Sur. Co.</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   November 04, 1980   301 N.C. 331		
<b>Town of Spencer v. Town of East Spencer</b> N.C.   December 03, 1999   351 N.C. 124	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Wake Forest v. Gulley</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 494	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Wendell v. Scarboro</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 540	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Town of Wilkesboro v. Harris</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 749	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Treadway v. Diez</b> N.C.   October 07, 2011   365 N.C. 288	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Treadway v. Diez</b> N.C.   October 07, 2011   365 N.C. 289	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tribble v. Swinson</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 550	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Trustees of Rowan Technical College v. J. Hyatt Hammond Associates, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 02, 1985   313 N.C. 230	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Turner v. Turner</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 533	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Tyndall v. Ford Motor Co.</b> N.C.   November 08, 2013   367 N.C. 161	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>U. S. Fidelity &amp; Guaranty Co. v. P. &amp; F. Motor Express</b> N.C.   January 07, 1942   220 N.C. 721	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>U.S. Fidelity &amp; Guaranty Co. v. Hood</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 639	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>U.S. Fidelity &amp; Guaranty Co. v. McGougan</b> N.C.   January 25, 1933   204 N.C. 13	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ubertaccio v. Ubertaccio</b> N.C.   December 03, 2004   359 N.C. 175	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Underwood v. Gay</b> N.C.   December 14, 1966   268 N.C. 715	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Unemployment Compensation Commission of North Carolina v. Jefferson Standard Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   May 03, 1939   215 N.C. 479	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Unemployment Compensation Commission of North Carolina v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   May 03, 1939   215 N.C. 491	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Union Carbide Corp. v. Offerman</b> N.C.   February 04, 2000   351 N.C. 310	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Union Central Life Ins. Co. v. Cordon</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 723	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Union Nat. Bank of Charlotte v. Easterby</b> N.C.   December 10, 1952   236 N.C. 599	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ussery v. Branch Banking and Trust Co.</b> N.C.   September 25, 2015   368 N.C. 325	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vance v. Hampton</b> N.C.   March 21, 1962   256 N.C. 557	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vance v. Pritchard</b> N.C.   May 25, 1938   213 N.C. 552	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vaughan v. Vaughan</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   March 02, 1938   213 N.C. 189		
<b>Veach v. Bacon Am. Corp.</b> N.C.   March 02, 1966   266 N.C. 542	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Veasey v. King</b> N.C.   May 23, 1956   244 N.C. 216	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vetco Concrete Co. v. Troy Lumber Co.</b> N.C.   April 18, 1962   256 N.C. 709	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vick v. Winslow</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 540	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vinson v. Knight</b> N.C.   February 21, 1905   137 N.C. 408	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vinson v. O'Berry</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 287	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Virginia Trust Co. v. Pharr Estates</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 894	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Virginia Trust Co. v. Powell</b> N.C.   April 01, 1925   189 N.C. 372	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Virmani v. Presbyterian Health Services Corp.</b> N.C.   June 25, 1999   350 N.C. 449	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Vogler v. Branch Erections Co., Inc.</b> N.C.   December 07, 2007   362 N.C. 77	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Von Viczay v. Thoms</b> N.C.   May 04, 2001   353 N.C. 445	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Voncannon v. Hudson Belk Co. of Asheboro, N. C.</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 709	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Heymann</b> N.C.   December 10, 1941   220 N.C. 526	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Holt</b> N.C.   May 24, 1939   215 N.C. 644	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Hunt</b> N.C.   May 04, 1966   267 N.C. 173	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Jones</b> N.C.   June 15, 1936   210 N.C. 339	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Laws</b> N.C.   February 28, 1940   217 N.C. 171	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Miller</b> N.C.   November 02, 1955   243 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Shelton</b> N.C.   June 04, 1948   229 N.C. 150	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Steele's Mills</b> N.C.   June 06, 1945   225 N.C. 302	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co. v. Waddell</b> N.C.   March 18, 1953   237 N.C. 342	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co., N. A. v. Rubish</b> N.C.   August 03, 1982   306 N.C. 417	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wachovia Bank and Trust Co. v. Livengood</b> N.C.   August 25, 1982   306 N.C. 550	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Waddell v. Hood ex rel. People's Bank of Burnsville</b> N.C.   October 31, 1934   207 N.C. 250	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Wadford v. Gregory Chandler Co.</b> N.C.   May 04, 1938   213 N.C. 802	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wagner v. Consolidated Realty Corp.</b> N.C.   April 29, 1936   210 N.C. 1	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wake County v. Ingle</b> N.C.   March 20, 1968   273 N.C. 343	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Walker v. Fleetwood Homes of North Carolina, Inc.</b> N.C.   December 07, 2007   362 N.C. 63	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wallace v. Johnson</b> N.C.   October 14, 1959   251 N.C. 18	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wallace v. Johnson</b> N.C.   October 14, 1959   251 N.C. 11	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Walters v. Baptist Children's Home of N.C., Inc.</b> N.C.   December 16, 1959   251 N.C. 369	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ward v. Carmona</b> N.C.   April 10, 2015   368 N.C. 35	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Ward v. Commissioners of Beaufort County</b> N.C.   February 19, 1908   146 N.C. 534	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Warren v. White</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 729	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Warshaw v. Warshaw</b> N.C.   January 06, 1953   236 N.C. 754	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Waters v. City of Roanoke Rapids</b> N.C.   April 12, 1967   270 N.C. 43	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Watkins v. Iseley</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 256	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Watson v. North Carolina Home Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   October 23, 1912   159 N.C. 638	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Watts v. Pama Mfg. Co.</b> N.C.   April 11, 1962   256 N.C. 611	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Weaver v. Pilot Life Ins. Co. of Greensboro</b> N.C.   March 18, 1936   209 N.C. 850	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Weaver v. Sheppa</b> N.C.   June 12, 2008   362 N.C. 341	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Webb v. Morehead</b> N.C.   December 16, 1959   251 N.C. 394	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Webster v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co.</b> N.C.   November 20, 1935   208 N.C. 759	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Weeks v. Holsclaw</b> N.C.   October 05, 1982   306 N.C. 655	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Weeks v. Hood</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 281	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Weil v. Herring</b> N.C.   September 19, 1934   207 N.C. 6	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Welborn Plumbing &amp; Heating Co. v. Randolph County Bd. of Ed.</b> N.C.   September 21, 1966   268 N.C. 85	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wells v. Bissette</b> N.C.   March 30, 1966   266 N.C. 774	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Wells v. Dickens</b> N.C.   August 23, 1968   274 N.C. 203	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Western Carolina Power Co. v. Yount</b> N.C.   November 01, 1933   205 N.C. 321	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Whaley v. Life &amp; Cas. Ins. Co. of Tenn.</b> N.C.   October 31, 1962   258 N.C. 68	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>White v. Cason</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 646	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>White v. Keller</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 97	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>White v. Trew</b> N.C.   January 25, 2013   366 N.C. 360	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>White v. White</b> N.C.   January 30, 1985   312 N.C. 770	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>White v. Winslow</b> N.C.   January 22, 1936   209 N.C. 207	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Whitehead v. Wilson Knitting Mills</b> N.C.   September 28, 1927   194 N.C. 281	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Whitehurst v. Hinton</b> N.C.   March 02, 1949   230 N.C. 16	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Whitehurst v. Hinton</b> N.C.   February 26, 1936   209 N.C. 392	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Whiteside v. Ralston Purina Co.</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 591	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Whiteville City Administrative Unit v. Columbus County Bd. of County Com'rs</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 826	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Whitfield v. Harvard</b> N.C.   September 28, 1955   242 N.C. 649	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Whitted v. Palmer-Bee Co.</b> N.C.   January 30, 1948   228 N.C. 447	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wike v. Board of Trustees of New Bern Graded Schools</b> N.C.   October 20, 1948   229 N.C. 370	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wiles v. Mullinax</b> N.C.   May 25, 1966   267 N.C. 392	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wilkerson v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   July 11, 1934   206 N.C. 882	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Aldridge Motors, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 18, 1953   237 N.C. 352	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Carolina</b> N.C.   June 13, 2003   357 N.C. 170	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Boylan-Pearce, Inc.</b> N.C.   April 02, 1985   313 N.C. 321	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Bray</b> N.C.   March 06, 1968   273 N.C. 207	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Bray</b> N.C.   March 06, 1968   273 N.C. 198	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Hunter</b> N.C.   October 10, 1962   257 N.C. 754	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Law Companies Group, Inc.</b> N.C.   October 10, 2008   362 N.C. 506	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Williams v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   October 06, 2006   360 N.C. 586	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. North Carolina State Bd. of Ed.</b> N.C.   March 30, 1966   266 N.C. 761	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Randolph Hospital, Inc.</b> N.C.   March 25, 1953   237 N.C. 387	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Sharber</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 609	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Smith</b> N.C.   February 23, 1904   134 N.C. 249	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Sossoman's Funeral Home, Inc.</b> N.C.   June 04, 1958   248 N.C. 524	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Strickland</b> N.C.   January 29, 1960   251 N.C. 767	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Union County Hospital Ass'n</b> N.C.   March 25, 1953   237 N.C. 395	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williams v. Vonderau</b> N.C.   December 07, 2007   362 N.C. 76	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williamson v. Bennett</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 498	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williamson v. Bullington</b> N.C.   April 06, 2001   353 N.C. 363	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williamson v. Spivey</b> N.C.   May 10, 1944   224 N.C. 311	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Williamson v. Williamson</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   May 03, 1950   232 N.C. 54		
<b>Willis v. Willis</b> N.C.   September 21, 1955   242 N.C. 597	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wilmington, City Of, v. Wright</b> N.C.   November 29, 1950   232 N.C. 735	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wilson County v. Wooten</b> N.C.   January 14, 1960   251 N.C. 667	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wilson v. Geigy &amp; Co.</b> N.C.   November 26, 1952   236 N.C. 566	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Winborne v. Guy</b> N.C.   October 14, 1942   222 N.C. 128	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Windsor v. McVay</b> N.C.   June 20, 1934   206 N.C. 730	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Winfield v. Smith</b> N.C.   May 11, 1949   230 N.C. 392	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wing v. Wachovia Bank &amp; Trust Co., N. A.</b> N.C.   December 02, 1980   301 N.C. 456	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Winston Affordable Housing, LLC v. Roberts</b> N.C.   May 01, 2020   374 N.C. 395	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wood v. J. P. Stevens &amp; Co.</b> N.C.   July 30, 1979   297 N.C. 636	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Woodall v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co.</b> N.C.   November 26, 1952   236 N.C. 548	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Woodard v. Clark</b> N.C.   October 10, 1951   234 N.C. 215	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

<b>Workman v. Workman</b> N.C.   October 12, 1955   242 N.C. 726	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Worley v. Moore</b> N.C.   December 08, 2017   370 N.C. 358	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wornstaff v. Wornstaff</b> N.C.   March 09, 2007   361 N.C. 230	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Worthington v. Wooten</b> N.C.   April 13, 1955   242 N.C. 88	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wrenn v. Graham</b> N.C.   February 24, 1954   239 N.C. 462	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Wright v. Wright</b> N.C.   March 30, 1982   305 N.C. 345	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Yadkin River Power Co. v. Whitney Co.</b> N.C.   December 22, 1908   150 N.C. 31	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Yancey v. Gillespie</b> N.C.   May 04, 1955   242 N.C. 227	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Yancey v. North Carolina State Highway &amp; Public Works Commission</b> N.C.   October 14, 1942   222 N.C. 106	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Yancey v. North Carolina State Highway and Public Works Commission</b> N.C.   April 08, 1942   221 N.C. 185	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Young (Lindquist) v. Young</b> N.C.   November 04, 2005   360 N.C. 58	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Young v. City of Asheville</b> N.C.   March 23, 1955   241 N.C. 618	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Young v. Lowie</b>	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No

N.C.   October 13, 1965   265 N.C. 456		
<b>Young v. New York Underwriters Ins. Co.</b> N.C.   October 10, 1934   207 N.C. 188	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No
<b>Young v. Roberts</b> N.C.   February 24, 1960   252 N.C. 9	<i>Sua Sponte</i>	No