No. 89PA22

## 

ERIC STEVEN FEARRINGTON, CRAIG D. MALMROSE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF GREENVILLE, PITT COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Defendants.

From the Court of Appeals
No. COA20-877

From Pitt County
No. COA 20-441

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## 

## TO THE HONORABLE NORTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT:

The North Carolina Institute for Constitutional Law ("NCICL") moves, under Rule 28(i), for leave to file the accompanying amicus curiae brief in support of Plaintiffs<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Undersigned counsel emailed counsel of record on 2 August 2023 informing them of its intent to file this Motion and brief. No counsel of record has responded stating it did not consent to this Motion.

- Interest of Amicus Curiae. Amicus North Carolina Institute 1. for Constitutional Law ("NCICL") is a 501(c)(3) corporation established to conduct research, and to educate and advise the general public, policy makers, and the Bar on the rights of citizens under the constitutions of the State of North Carolina and the United States of America. NCICL engages in litigation as necessary to further these goals. Its mission is to ensure compliance with constitutional restraints on government and protect the rights of North Carolinians. Throughout its history, NCICL has worked to ensure government compliance with educational provisions and has addressed education funding in both litigation and general, academic writing. NCICL remains committed to safeguarding sound education and constitutional principles. It thus has a strong interest in this Court's ruling in this case.
- 2. Reasons Why this Brief is Desirable. This amicus brief is desirable because the additional briefing in the form of amicus briefs would help inform the Court of the legal framework within which to consider the fundamental legal issues, a framework which is bigger than any one case or scenario. In particular, this amicus brief includes historical information and context which Amicus believes may not be

fully briefed by the parties, but which would be valuable to the Court.

Public education and its funding remain an ongoing constitutional issue, drawing attention of the public, lawmakers, and the courts.

Defendant-Appellants City of Greenville ("The City") and Pitt County

Board of Education ("The Board") invite an interpretation of Article IX,

§ 7 that cannot be reconciled with constitutional text and that would render the Fines and Forfeitures Clause impotent.

Amicus argues in its Brief, filed contemporaneously with this Motion, that because the Board receives less than the clear proceeds of civil penalties collected by the City's RLCEP, the RLCEP violates The Fines and Forfeitures Clause, and this Court should so hold.

Amicus does not believe that arguments as framed by Amicus and many authorities cited in support thereof have been or will be argued to this Court.

3. <u>Issue of Law to be Addressed.</u> The amicus brief addresses the historical development of the Fines and Forfeitures Clause to help provide context for the Red-Light Camera Enforcement Program and Interlocal Agreement at issue are contrary to the Clause. The amicus brief also argues that allowing the agreement between the City and the

Board to continue would incentivize poor public policy.

4. <u>Timeliness.</u> The brief is timely under Rule 28(i)(3) because

Defendants' brief is due 7 August 2023, following a previously granted

extension of time.

5. Rule 28(i)(2) Statement. No person or entity other than amicus

curiae and its counsel, directly or indirectly, either wrote this brief or

contributed money for its preparation. The proposed brief of amicus is

attached.

WHEREFORE, The North Carolina Institute for Constitutional

Law respectfully asks this Court to accept the accompanying brief as

the brief of amicus curiae in support of Plaintiffs.

Respectfully submitted, this the 7th day of August 2023.

Electronically submitted

Jeanette K. Doran

N.C. Bar No. 29127

jeanette.doran@ncicl.org

2012 Timber Drive Raleigh, NC 27604

Tel: 919.332.2319

Counsel for Amicus North Carolina Institute for Constitutional Law

4

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned counsel hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon all counsel listed below via email and by filing the same with the Court's electronic filing system:

R. Daniel Gibson Stam Law Firm PLLC 510 W. Williams Street P.O. Box 1600 Apex, NC 27502 dan@stamlawfirm.com

Paul Stam
Stam Law Firm PLLC
510 W. Williams Street
P.O. Box 1600
Apex, NC 27502
paulstam@stamlawfirm.com

Robert J. King, III Brooks, Pierce, Mclendon, Humphrey & Leonard, LLP P.O. Box 26000 Greensboro, NC 27420 rking@brookspierce.com

Jill R. Wilson Brooks, Pierce, Mclendon, Humphrey & Leonard, LLP P.O. Box 26000 Greensboro, NC 27420 jwilson@brookspierce.com

Elizabeth Lea Troutman

Brooks, Pierce, Mclendon, Humphrey & Leonard, LLP P.O. Box 26000 Greensboro, NC 27420 etroutman@brookspierce.com

William A. Robertson Brooks, Pierce, Mclendon, Humphrey & Leonard, LLP P.O. Box 26000 Greensboro, NC 27420 wrobertson@brookspierce.com

Dan M. Hartzog, Jr.
Hartzog Law Group
2626 Glenwood Avenue Suite 305
Suite 305
Raleigh, NC 27608
dhartzogjr@hartzoglawgroup.com

Anna M. Davis
Hartzog Law Group
2626 Glenwood Avenue
Suite 305
Raleigh, NC 27608
adavis@hartzoglawgroup.com

Rachel G. Posey
Hartzog Law Group
2626 Glenwood Avenue
Suite 305
Raleigh, NC 27608
rposey@hartzoglawgroup.com

Respectfully submitted, this the 7th day of August 2023.

/s/ Jeanette K. Doran N.C. Bar No. 29127