

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel.
MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney
General,

Petitioner,

v.

CITY OF TUCSON, Arizona,
Respondent.

Supreme Court No. CV–20–0244-SA

**APPENDIX TO RESPONSE TO
PETITION FOR SPECIAL ACTION**

Jean-Jacques Cabou (#022835)
JCabou@perkinscoie.com
Alexis E. Danneman (#030478)
ADanneman@perkinscoie.com
Matthew R. Koerner (#035018)
MKoerner@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
2901 North Central Avenue,
Suite 2000
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2788
Telephone: 602.351.8000

Michael G. Rankin (#014876)
City Attorney
Dennis P. McLaughlin (#009197)
Roi Lusk (#025606)
Jennifer Stash (#029848)
Principal Assistant City Attorneys
OFFICE OF THE TUCSON CITY
ATTORNEY
P.O. Box 27210
Tucson, Arizona 85726-7210
Telephone: 520.623.9803

Attorneys for Respondent

October 9, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT	PAGE
2018 City of Tucson Voter Information Pamphlet, excerpts	APP-003
Nov. 17, 2018 Pima County Official Canvass, General Election	APP-010
Feb. 19, 2020 Ordinance No. 11731	APP-068
June 16, 2020 Legislator Request	APP-071
July 6, 2020 City's Response to Legislator's Request	APP-074
July 17, 2020 Attorney General's S.B. 1487 Investigative Report	APP-083
1960 Letter from the City of Tucson to "Fellow Tucsonian" re: Nov. 1, 1960 Tucson City Charter Proposed Amendments	APP-103

149795082.1

CITY OF TUCSON ELECTION



The Choice... Is Yours

November 6, 2018



Official Voter Information

ELECTION DAY
NOVEMBER 6, 2018

En la página 32 comienza una traducción en español

THE CHOICE IS YOURS

The City of Tucson is holding a Special Election on November 6, 2018. Pima County will conduct this election.

For information relating to early voting, please visit the Pima County Recorder's Office at:
<https://www.recorder.pima.gov>

For information relating to your polling place, please visit the Pima County Elections Department at:
<http://web1.pima.gov/elections/polling-locator>

SPECIAL ELECTION

There are two questions on the ballot (Proposition 407 and 408). All City of Tucson qualified electors may vote on these ballot measures.

Proposition 407 – Bonds for Parks and Recreation Improvements.....	Page
Official Ballot Format	6
Arizona Revised Statute 35-454 Requirements	7
Payment Schedule for City's Outstanding Bonds and Proposed Bonds	9
Parks and Connections Summary	12
Frequently Asked Questions.....	13
Map.....	16
Arguments Submitted by the Public	18

Proposition 408 – Proposed Charter Amendment to extend the terms of the current mayor and councilmembers by one year beginning in 2020, and hold even-year primary and general elections for the offices of mayor and councilmembers, in place of the current odd-year primary and general elections.

Official Ballot Format and Sample Ballot Format.....	27
Original and Amended Text	28

No Arguments Submitted by the Public for Proposition 408

This Voter Information Pamphlet is published by the Tucson City Clerk

CITY CONTACT INFORMATION

City Hall: 255 W Alameda, 9th floor **Mail:** P.O. Box 27210, Tucson, AZ 85726-7210

Phone: 520-791-4213 - **TDD:** 520-791-2639 - **Fax:** 520-791-4017

Email: cityclerk@tucsonaz.gov

For Election Night Results go to: Elections@pima.gov

PROPOSITION 408
OFFICIAL BALLOT FORMAT

PROPOSITION/PROPOSICIÓN 408

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE TUCSON CITY CHARTER, SUBMITTED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL AS A REFERRED MEASURE, EXTENDING THE TERMS OF THE CURRENT MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS BY ONE YEAR AND, BEGINNING IN 2020, HOLDING EVEN-YEAR PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS FOR THE OFFICES OF MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS, IN PLACE OF THE CURRENT ODD-YEAR PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS.

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of extending the terms of the current Mayor and Councilmembers by one year and, beginning in 2020, holding even-year primary and general elections for the offices of Mayor and Councilmembers, in place of the current odd-year primary and general elections for those offices.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of rejecting even-year primary and general elections for the offices of Mayor and Councilmembers, and retaining the current system of holding odd-year primary and general elections for those offices.

_____ YES

_____ NO

SAMPLE BALLOT

PROPOSITION 408

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE TUCSON CHARTER, CHAPTER XVI, SUBMITTED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL AS A REFERRED MEASURE, EXTENDING THE TERMS OF THE CURRENT MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS BY ONE YEAR AND, BEGINNING IN 2020, PROVIDING FOR EVEN-YEAR PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS FOR THE OFFICES OF MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS, IN PLACE OF THE CURRENT ODD-YEAR ELECTIONS.

Official Title

PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO THE TUCSON CHARTER, CHAPTER XVI, TO EXTEND THE TERMS OF THE CURRENT MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS BY ONE YEAR AND, BEGINNING IN 2020, PROVIDE FOR EVEN-YEAR PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS FOR THE OFFICES OF MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS, IN PLACE OF THE CURRENT ODD-YEAR ELECTIONS.

Descriptive Title

EXTENDING THE TERMS OF THE CURRENT MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS BY ONE YEAR AND, BEGINNING IN 2020, PROVIDING FOR EVEN-YEAR PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS FOR THE OFFICES OF MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS, IN PLACE OF THE CURRENT ODD-YEAR ELECTIONS.

PROPOSITION 408

ORIGINAL AND AMENDED TEXT

Chapter XVI. ELECTIONS

Sec. 2. Date of primary elections; general laws regarding nominations adopted.

Primary elections under this Charter shall be held on the ~~third Tuesday in September~~ tenth Tuesday before the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of each year in which a general election for the offices of mayor and ~~councilmen~~ councilmembers, or ~~councilmen~~ councilmembers only, shall be held as hereinafter provided in this chapter. The provisions of the general laws of the State of Arizona relating to and governing primary elections and the nomination of elective officers, whether by primary or certificate of nomination (being the whole of title 16, Arizona Revised Statutes, 1956, and each and every provision of said title with all amendments and supplements thereto) applicable to a city of the population and the class of this city, shall apply and govern the holding of primaries and nominations of elective officers. The mayor and council shall have power to make any further and additional provisions relating to primaries and nominations of officers not repugnant or contrary to the provisions of the constitution and the laws of the state or any amendments and supplements thereto.

Sec. 3. Date of general elections; terms; oath of officers.

The municipal general election shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of each election year as provided in section 4 of this chapter, ~~except during the year 1962 when the municipal general election shall be held on the third Tuesday of November.~~ The terms of the mayor and members of the council shall commence at 10:00 a.m. on the first Monday in December following their election and, except as otherwise provided in this chapter, shall be for four (4) years and until their successors are elected and qualified. Each officer so elected shall take and subscribe to his oath of office as in this chapter provided.

Sec. 4. Terms of mayor and ~~councilmen~~ councilmembers staggered.

The incumbents of said offices as of November, 2018 shall serve their respective elected terms and, in addition thereto, the mayor and three (3) ~~councilmen~~ councilmembers having terms expiring on the first Monday of ~~May, 1964~~ December, 2019, shall be continued in office until the first Monday in December, ~~1964~~ 2020, and the three (3) ~~councilmen~~ councilmembers having terms expiring on the first Monday of ~~May, 1962~~ December 2021, shall be continued in office until the first Monday of December, ~~1962~~ 2022. Anything in this chapter to the contrary notwithstanding:

(a) In ~~1964~~ 2020 there shall be elected a mayor and three (3) ~~councilmen~~ councilmembers for a term of ~~two~~ four (24) years, which terms shall expire at 10:00 a.m. on the first Monday in December, ~~1963~~ 2024;

(b) In ~~1962~~ 2022 there shall be elected three (3) ~~councilmen~~ councilmembers for a term of ~~three~~ four (34) years, which terms shall expire at 10:00 a.m. on the first Monday in December, ~~1965~~ 2026;

~~—(c) In the year 1963 when the said terms provided in (a) hereof shall expire there shall be held elections under the provisions of this chapter at which there shall be elected a mayor and three (3) councilmen to fill the offices expiring on the first Monday in December, 1963; and~~

~~(dc) In the year 1965 when the said terms provided in (b) hereof shall expire there shall be held elections under the provisions of this chapter at which there shall be elected three (3) councilmen to fill the offices expiring on the first Monday in December, 1965. Thereafter there shall be held primary and general elections quadrennially for such offices.~~

Resulting Cleantext if proposed charter amendment is approved:

Sec. 2. Date of primary elections; general laws regarding nominations adopted.

Primary elections under this Charter shall be held on the tenth Tuesday before the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of each year in which a general election for the offices of mayor and councilmembers, or councilmembers only, shall be held as hereinafter provided in this chapter. The provisions of the general laws of the State of Arizona relating to and governing primary elections and the nomination of elective officers, whether by primary or certificate of nomination (being the whole of title 16, Arizona Revised Statutes, 1956, and each and every provision of said title with all amendments and supplements thereto) applicable to a city of the population and the class of this city, shall apply and govern the holding of primaries and nominations of elective officers. The mayor and council shall have power to make any further and additional provisions relating to primaries and nominations of officers not repugnant or contrary to the provisions of the constitution and the laws of the state or any amendments and supplements thereto.

Sec. 3. Date of general elections; terms; oath of officers.

The municipal general election shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of each election year as provided in section 4 of this chapter. The terms of the mayor and members of the council shall commence at 10:00 a.m. on the first Monday in December following their election and, except as otherwise provided in this chapter, shall be for four (4) years and until their successors are elected and qualified. Each officer so elected shall take and subscribe to his oath of office as in this chapter provided.

Sec. 4. Terms of mayor and councilmembers staggered.

The incumbents of said offices as of November, 2018 shall serve their respective elected terms and, in addition thereto, the mayor and three (3) councilmembers having terms expiring on the first Monday of December, 2019, shall be continued in office until the first Monday in December, 2020, and the three (3) councilmembers having terms expiring on the first Monday of December, 2021, shall be continued in office until the first Monday of December, 2022. Anything in this chapter to the contrary notwithstanding:

(a) In 2020 there shall be elected a mayor and three (3) councilmembers for a term of four (4) years, which terms shall expire at 10:00 a.m. on the first Monday in December, 2024;

(b) In 2022 there shall be elected three (3) councilmembers for a term of four (4) years, which terms shall expire at 10:00 a.m. on the first Monday in December, 2026;

(c) Thereafter there shall be held primary and general elections quadrennially for such offices.

NOTES





PIMA COUNTY OFFICIAL CANVASS

CITY OF TUCSON
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 2018

PIMA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT
6550 S. COUNTRY CLUB ROAD
TUCSON, AZ 85756
TEL. 520-724-6830



ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

PIMA COUNTY GOVERNMENT

6550 S. COUNTRY CLUB ROAD, TUCSON, AZ 85756

(520) 724-6830 FAX (520) 724-6870

November 17, 2018

In accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 16, I hereby certify the enclosed tabulation is a full, true and correct copy of the Returns of the General Election held pursuant to Arizona Constitution, Article 7 and Arizona Revised Statutes Title 16 in and for Pima County Arizona on November 6, 2018. This tabulation includes all early ballots and verified provisional ballots cast at said election.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Brad R. Nelson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Brad" and last name "Nelson" clearly legible, and "R." as a small initial.

Brad R. Nelson, Director
Pima County Elections

**CANVASS INFORMATION
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 2018**

EARLY BALLOTS PROCESSED

312421 Ballots Processed
312400 Counted
21 Rejected

PROVISIONAL BALLOTS PROCESSED

18156 Ballots Received
16120 Ballots Verified
16111 Ballot Counted
2036 Ballots Unverified and Uncounted
0 Rejected
9 Verified with no ballot in Provisional Envelope

CONDITIONAL BALLOTS PROCESSED

209 Ballots Received
110 Ballots Verified and Counted
99 Ballots Unverified and Uncounted



ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

PIMA COUNTY GOVERNMENT
6550 S. COUNTRY CLUB ROAD, TUCSON, AZ 85756
(520) 724-6830 FAX (520) 724-6870

September 17, 2018

The Honorable Michele Reagan
Secretary of State
State Capitol - West Wing
1700 W. Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dear Secretary Reagan:

Pursuant to A.R.S. 16-445, the Pima County Elections Department has completed programming and is filing a backup of the EMS Software to be used for the November 6, 2018 General Election.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at (520) 724-6830.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Brad R. Nelson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Brad" and last name "Nelson" clearly legible.

Brad R. Nelson, Director
Pima County Division of Elections

ADDITIONAL REPORTING FORMS

Equipment, Software, Firmware, and Hash Code Certification Statement

I, Brad R. Nelson certify by affixing my signature to this document that all election equipment and firmware, as well as all election management system software to be used in the General Election to be held on November 6, 2018 IN Pima County has been certified for use by the Arizona Secretary of State and that I have compared the hash code on file with the National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) or the Arizona Secretary of State's Office to the hash code of the election management system software to be used in this election and certify that the numbers are identical. The following is a list of the equipment, firmware, and election management system software to be used in the aforementioned election:

VOTING UNITS


Manufacturer	Model	Firmware Version
Diebold Elections Systems Inc.	AVTSx 4.6.4.103 w/AVPM	BLR7-1.2.1 / WCER7-410.2.1

CENTRAL COUNT UNITS

Manufacturer	Model	Firmware Version
Election Systems & Software	DS850 Version 1.0	2.10.0.0

ELECTION MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE

Manufacturer	Product Name	Version
Election Systems & Software	Elections Systems & Software Voting System	5.2.0.0




Officer in Charge of Elections Signature

September 17, 2018

Date

State of Arizona

DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Office of the Secretary of State



Certificate of Accuracy

2018 General Election Optical Scan and Accessible Voting Equipment Test

Test Date: Tuesday, 10/9/2018

We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that a Logic and Accuracy Test was conducted in Tucson, Pima County by the Secretary of State for the above named election in accordance with Arizona Statute 16-449. Furthermore, we attest that the count produced by the equipment and programs used in the test correctly matched the pre-audited report of votes provided by the Secretary of State.

Signature	Date	Party or Agency
<u>Barbara Teelman</u>	<u>10-9-18</u>	<u>Democratic Party</u>
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9 Oct 18</u>	<u>Republican Party</u>
<u>Janeen M. Kelly</u>	<u>10-9-18</u>	<u>SOS</u>
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-9-18</u>	<u>Town of Shreeve</u>

Note:

POLITICAL PARTY TEST
CERTIFICATION FORM

ELECTION LOGIC AND ACCURACY
CENTRAL COUNT TEST

We the undersigned do hereby certify that a Test was held for the

November 6th, 2018 General Election

24th day of October on the 2018 at 1138
(day) (Month) (Year) (Time)

and that the EVS System's precinct and
summary report match the printouts and the pre-audit reports.

[Signature]
Member's Signature

Barbara Tecuman
Member's Signature

[Signature]
Member's Signature

Member's Signature

Member's Signature

Member's Signature

**POLITICAL PARTY TEST
CERTIFICATION FORM**

**ELECTION LOGIC AND ACCURACY
POST TEST**


We the undersigned do hereby certify that a Logic and Accuracy Test was held for the

November 6th, 2018 General Election

17th day of November, 2018 at 1020 A.M.
(day) (Month) (Year) (Time)

and that EVS System's central count summary report matches the pre-audited results.


Prepared pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-445.



Member's Signature



Member's Signature



Member's Signature

WITNESS

Member's Signature

Member's Signature

Member's Signature

POLLING PLACES
USED FOR THE
NOVEMBER 6, 2018
GENERAL ELECTION

Official Polling Place List

11/12/2018 12:01:17 PM

General Election November 6, 2018

VA: 009 - PCTS 009/118
MORRIS K UDALL RECREATION CENTER

MAIN BUILDING MEETING ROOMS 1,2,3
7200 E TANQUE VERDE ROAD

VA: 017 - PCTS 017
GATES PASS COMMUNITY CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
1895 W SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD

VA: 020 - PCTS 020
MISSION BRANCH PUBLIC LIBRARY

MEETING ROOM
3770 S MISSION ROAD

VA: 026 - PCTS 026
NEW LIFE CHURCH OF GOD

YOUTH CENTER
504 W NEBRASKA STREET

VA: 033 - PCTS 033
TUSD - TOLSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

MULTIPURPOSE ROOM
1000 S GREASEWOOD ROAD

VA: 037 - PCTS 037
EL RIO NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER/BUILDING E

SUN ROOM AND MOON ROOM (BLDG E)
1390 W SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD

VA: 042 - PCTS 042
DONNA R LIGGINS NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER

MULTIPURPOSE ROOM
2160 N 6TH AVENUE

VA: 045 - PCTS 045
ARMORY PARK CENTER

QUIET ROOM
220 S 5TH AVENUE

VA: 049 - PCTS 049
VUSD - DESERT SKY MIDDLE SCHOOL

GYM FOYER
9850 E RANKIN LOOP

VA: 011 - PCTS 011
AMERICAN LEGION POST 109

DINING ROOM
15921 S HOUGHTON ROAD

VA: 018 - PCTS 018
ST. MARGARET MARY'S CHURCH

CLASSROOM #3
801 N GRANDE AVENUE

VA: 022 - PCTS 022/157
CACTUS COMMUNITY CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE

CACTUS CAFÉ
1600 W IRVINGTON ROAD

VA: 028 - PCTS 028
HOPE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

SANCTUARY
6740 S SANTA CLARA AVENUE

VA: 034 - PCTS 034
CONNECTION POINTE CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
4314 N ROMERO ROAD

VA: 038 - PCTS 038
WOODS MEMORIAL LIBRARY

MEETING ROOM
3455 N FIRST AVE

VA: 043 - PCTS 043
PASCUA NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER

BUILDING #1
785 W SAHUARO STREET

VA: 047 - PCTS 047
JOHN VALENZUELA YOUTH CENTER

CLASSROOM #2
1550 S 6TH AVENUE

VA: 050 - PCTS 050
AGAPE CHRISTIAN FELLOWSHIP

SANCTUARY
5450 S PARK AVENUE

VA: 016 - PCTS 016
CHURCH OF THE PAINTED HILLS

SANCTUARY
3295 W SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD

VA: 019 - PCTS 019
LITTLETOWN COMMUNITY CENTER

MULTIPURPOSE ROOM
6465 S CRAYCROFT ROAD

VA: 025 - PCTS 025
AMERICAN LEGION SAHUARO POST #68

LEGION MAIN BALLROOM
4724 S 12TH AVENUE

VA: 032 - PCTS 032
ELLIE TOWNE FLOWING WELLS COMMUNITY CENTER
MULTIPURPOSE ROOM 1
1660 W RUTHRAUFF ROAD

VA: 036 - PCTS 036
FLOWING WELLS ASSEMBLY OF GOD CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
3198 N FLOWING WELLS ROAD

VA: 039 - PCTS 039
BETHEL BAPTIST CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
11040 E ESCALANTE ROAD

VA: 044 - PCTS 044
TRINITY PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH

TRINITY HALL
400 E UNIVERSITY BOULEVARD

VA: 048 - PCTS 048
QUALITY INN AIRPORT

MEETING ROOM
2803 E VALENCIA ROAD

VA: 051 - PCTS 051
HOLY TRINITY LUTHERAN CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
5951 S 12TH AVENUE

VA: 052 - PCTS 052/248
VALENCIA BRANCH PUBLIC LIBRARY

LARGE MEETING ROOM
202 W VALENCIA ROAD

VA: 055 - PCTS 055
DOVE OF PEACE LUTHERAN CHURCH

ALL SAINTS HALL
665 W ROLLER COASTER ROAD

VA: 057 - PCTS 057
MONTE VISTA CHRISTIAN UNION CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
1140 E ROGER ROAD

VA: 061 - PCTS 061/239
LUTHERAN CHURCH OF THE FOOTHILLS

ACTIVITIES ROOM
5102 N CRAYCROFT ROAD

VA: 064 - PCTS 064
GRACE TEMPLE MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH

EDUCATION WING
1019 E 31ST STREET

VA: 068 - PCTS 068
ST. JAMES UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

THE TATOM ROOM
3255 N CAMPBELL AVENUE

VA: 075 - PCTS 075
EMMANUEL BAPTIST CHURCH

PRESCHOOL C
1825 N ALVERNON WAY

VA: 082 - PCTS 082
SABBAR SHRINE TEMPLE

LARGE BANQUET ROOM
450 S TUCSON BOULEVARD

VA: 087 - PCTS 087/230
FRIENDLY VILLAGE OF THE CATALINAS

ACTIVITY ROOM
101 W RIVER ROAD

VA: 091 - PCTS 091
LIVING FAITH CHRISTIAN CENTER

FELLOWSHIP HALL
4108 E NORTH STREET

VA: 053 - PCTS 053
SUNNYSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE
BOARD ROOM
2238 E GINTER ROAD

VA: 056 - PCTS 056/211
CUSD - ORANGE GROVE MIDDLE SCHOOL

MULTIPURPOSE ROOM
1911 E ORANGE GROVE ROAD

VA: 058 - PCTS 058
ST. DEMETRIOS GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH

HELLENIC CENTER
1145 E FORT LOWELL ROAD

VA: 062 - PCTS 062
FIRST UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

ARIZONA ROOM
915 E 4TH STREET

VA: 066 - PCTS 066
GIDEON MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
3085 S CAMPBELL AVENUE

VA: 069 - PCTS 069
GLAD TIDINGS ASSEMBLY OF GOD CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
600 N KOLB ROAD

VA: 078 - PCTS 078
HIMMEL PARK BRANCH LIBRARY

MEETING ROOM
1035 N TREAT AVENUE

VA: 085 - PCTS 085
DOVE OF PEACE LUTHERAN CHURCH

LIBRARY
665 W ROLLER COASTER ROAD

VA: 089 - PCTS 089
LIGHTHOUSE - CITY YMCA

MULTIPURPOSE ROOM
2900 N COLUMBUS BOULEVARD

VA: 092 - PCTS 092
ACCELERATED ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY
SCHOOLS
ROOM # 4
5245 N CAMINO DE OESTE

VA: 054 - PCTS 054/196
HILTON GARDEN INN

SANTA RITA D
6575 S. COUNTRY CLUB ROAD

VA: 056 - PCTS 056/211
CUSD - ORANGE GROVE MIDDLE SCHOOL

MULTIPURPOSE ROOM
1911 E ORANGE GROVE ROAD

VA: 059 - PCTS 059
DESERT DOVE CHRISTIAN CHURCH

WORSHIP BUILDING
6163 S MIDVALE PARK ROAD

VA: 063 - PCTS 063
TEMPLE EMANU-EL

SY JUSTER SOCIAL HALL
225 N COUNTRY CLUB ROAD

VA: 067 - PCTS 067/072
ST. FRANCES CABRINI CHURCH

ROOM #5, EDUCATION BUILDING
3201 E PRESIDIO ROAD

VA: 073 - PCTS 073
GRACE ST. PAUL'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH

MCBRIDE HALL
2331 E ADAMS STREET

VA: 080 - PCTS 080
ST. MARK'S PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH

KNOX ROOM
3809 E 3RD STREET

VA: 086 - PCTS 086
PASSION CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
1212 S PALO VERDE AVENUE

VA: 090 - PCTS 090
VUSD - COTTONWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

LIBRARY
9950 E REES LOOP

VA: 093 - PCTS 093
MARTHA COOPER LIBRARY

MEETING ROOM
1377 N CATALINA AVENUE

VA: 094 - PCTS 094
FIRST BRETHERN CHURCH OF TUCSON

FELLOWSHIP HALL
201 N COLUMBUS BOULEVARD

VA: 097 - PCTS 097
MOST HOLY TRINITY PARISH

GUADALUPE HALL
1300 N GREASEWOOD ROAD

VA: 100 - PCTS 100
STREAMS IN THE DESERT LUTHERAN CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
5360 E PIMA STREET

VA: 105 - PCTS 105
TUSD - MYERS-GANOUNG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

LIBRARY
5000 E ANDREW STREET

VA: 108 - PCTS 108
VALLEY CHRISTIAN CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
5968 E FAIRMOUNT STREET

VA: 113 - PCTS 113
EASTSIDE ASSEMBLY OF GOD CHURCH

ACTIVITY CENTER
1930 S WILMOT ROAD

VA: 117 - PCTS 117
FELLOWSHIP BIBLE CHURCH

YOUTH BUILDING
6700 E BROADWAY BOULEVARD

VA: 121 - PCTS 121
SUNRISE CHAPEL

FRIENDSHIP HALL
8421 E WRIGHTSTOWN ROAD

VA: 124 - PCTS 124
RIVER OF LIFE BAPTIST CHURCH

SANCTUARY
6902 E GOLF LINKS ROAD

VA: 129 - PCTS 129
PANTANO BAPTIST CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
225 S PANTANO ROAD

VA: 095 - PCTS 095
VUSD - MESQUITE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

LIBRARY
9455 E RITA ROAD

VA: 098 - PCTS 098
ECKSTROM-COLUMBUS LIBRARY

MEETING ROOM
4350 E 22ND STREET

VA: 106 - PCTS 106
COMFORT SUITES HOTEL

CONFERENCE ROOM
7007 E TANQUE VERDE ROAD

VA: 109 - PCTS 109
VUSD - CIENEGA HIGH SCHOOL

LIBRARY
12775 E MARY ANN CLEVELAND WAY

VA: 114 - PCTS 114
UNITARIAN UNIVERSALIST CHURCH

GODDARD HALL
4831 E 22ND STREET

VA: 119 - PCTS 119
FOUNTAIN OF LIFE LUTHERAN CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL, ROOM 10
710 S KOLB ROAD

VA: 122 - PCTS 122
22ND STREET BAPTIST CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
6620 E 22ND STREET

VA: 126 - PCTS 126
TANQUE VERDE LUTHERAN CHURCH

LINDER HALL/MULTIPURPOSE ROOM
8625 E TANQUE VERDE ROAD

VA: 130 - PCTS 130
NEW SPIRIT LUTHERAN CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
8701 E OLD SPANISH TRAIL

VA: 096 - PCTS 096
CENTRAL CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE

FELLOWSHIP HALL
404 S COLUMBUS BOULEVARD

VA: 099 - PCTS 099
NEW TESTAMENT BAPTIST CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
2855 N CRAYCROFT ROAD

VA: 103 - PCTS 103
CHRIST CHURCH UNITED METHODIST

SOCIAL HALL
655 N CRAYCROFT ROAD

VA: 107 - PCTS 107
TUCSON ARIZONA BOYS CHORUS

EAST REHEARSAL ROOM
5770 E PIMA STREET

VA: 111 - PCTS 111
ST. JOSEPH'S ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH

BUILDING #2, ST. FRANCIS ROOM
215 S CRAYCROFT ROAD

VA: 116 - PCTS 116
ST. PAUL'S UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

BRUMMETT HALL
8051 E BROADWAY BOULEVARD

VA: 120 - PCTS 120
ABOUNDING GRACE LUTHERAN CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
2450 S KOLB ROAD

VA: 123 - PCTS 123
EASTGATE MINISTRIES TUCSON (NAN LYONS
ELEMENTARY)
MEETING ROOM
7555 E DOGWOOD STREET

VA: 128 - PCTS 128
EAST TUCSON BAPTIST CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
9100 E SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD

VA: 131 - PCTS 131/181
MOUNT OLIVE LUTHERAN CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL
2005 S HOUGHTON ROAD

VA: 132 - PCTS 132 MILLER - GOLF LINKS LIBRARY	VA: 133 - PCTS 133 CLEMENTS REGIONAL CENTER	VA: 143 - PCTS 143 FRIENDSHIP MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH
MEETING ROOM 9640 E GOLF LINKS ROAD	MULTIPURPOSE ROOM 8155 E POINCIANA DRIVE	DINING HALL 850 N 11TH AVENUE
VA: 144 - PCTS 144 FRED ARCHER NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER	VA: 153 - PCTS 153 FAITH CHRISTIAN FELLOWSHIP	VA: 154 - PCTS 154 SACRED HEART CATHOLIC CHURCH
ROOM 133 1665 S LA CHOLLA BOULEVARD	FOYER 3141 W IRONWOOD HILL DRIVE	IMMACULATA HALL 601 E FORT LOWELL ROAD
VA: 155 - PCTS 155 SAN XAVIER MOOSE LODGE	VA: 156 - PCTS 156 TUCSON MEADOWS MOBILE HOME PARK	VA: 159 - PCTS 159 CALVARY EVANGELISTIC CENTER
MEETING ROOM 10600 S NOGALES HIGHWAY	CLUBHOUSE 2121 S PANTANO ROAD	EDUCATIONAL BUILDING 5160 S TREAT AVENUE
VA: 160 - PCTS 160 EL PUEBLO SENIOR CENTER	VA: 164 - PCTS 164 SILVERBELL BAPTIST CHURCH	VA: 166 - PCTS 166 DONNA R LIGGINS NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER
SENIOR CENTER BUILDING, MULTIPURPOSEROOM 101 W IRVINGTON ROAD	FELLOWSHIP HALL 3344 N CAMPBELL AVENUE	MULTIPURPOSE ROOM 2160 N 6TH AVENUE
VA: 167 - PCTS 167 CHURCH OF GOD OF PROPHECY	VA: 170 - PCTS 170 TUCSON JCC	VA: 174 - PCTS 174 EL CAMINO BAPTIST CHURCH
FELLOWSHIP HALL 3002 E GLENN STREET	CATALINA ROOM 3800 E RIVER ROAD	D BUILDING 7777 E SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD
VA: 176 - PCTS 176 VUSD - DESERT WILLOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	VA: 177 - PCTS 177 PIMA COMMUNITY COLLEGE, EAST CAMPUS	VA: 178 - PCTS 178 KIRK-BEAR CANYON LIBRARY
LIBRARY 9400 E ESMOND LOOP	COMMUNITY ROOM L #101 8181 E IRVINGTON ROAD	MEETING ROOM 8959 E TANQUE VERDE ROAD
VA: 180 - PCTS 180 THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER DAY SAINTS CULTURAL HALL 700 N BONANZA AVENUE	VA: 182 - PCTS 182 SAHUARO BAPTIST CHURCH	VA: 183 - PCTS 183 IMMANUEL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH
VA: 186 - PCTS 186 ACCELERATED ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY SCHOOLS MULTIPURPOSE ROOM 5245 N CAMINO DE OESTE	VA: 189 - PCTS 189 TUSD - ALICE VAIL MIDDLE SCHOOL	VA: 190 - PCTS 190 OUR LADY OF FATIMA PARISH
VA: 192 - PCTS 192 HOLY CROSS LUTHERAN CHURCH	VA: 195 - PCTS 195 CHRIST LUTHERAN VAIL CHURCH	VA: 197 - PCTS 197 HARRISON HILLS MOBILE HOME PARK
EDUCATION WING 241 N HARRISON ROAD	MAIN ROOM 101 14600 E COLOSSAL CAVE ROAD	RECREATION ROOM 4675 S HARRISON ROAD
VA: 198 - PCTS 198 VUSD - OCOTILLO RIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	VA: 199 - PCTS 199 ST JOHN ON THE DESERT PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH	VA: 210 - PCTS 210/237 JUNIOR LEAGUE OF TUCSON INC
LIBRARY 10170 S WHITE LIGHTNING LANE	FELLOWSHIP HALL 2695 N HOUGHTON ROAD	KIVA ROOM 2099 E RIVER ROAD

VA: 214 - PCTS 214
DUSENBERRY-RIVER BRANCH LIBRARY

MEETING ROOM
5605 E RIVER ROAD, #105

VA: 221 - PCTS 221
ST. RITA IN THE DESERT CHURCH

OLD HALL
13260 E COLOSSAL CAVE ROAD

VA: 231 - PCTS 231
SANTA CRUZ VALLEY UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

SANCTUARY
71 E SAHUARITA ROAD

VA: 244 - PCTS 244
IGLESIA BAUTISTA KAIROS

MEETING ROOM
4502 S 12TH AVENUE

VA: 217 - PCTS 217
VOYAGER RV RESORT

CATALINA ROOM
8701 S KOLB ROAD

VA: 224 - PCTS 224
VUSD - EMPIRE HIGH SCHOOL

THEATER OF ARTS LOBBY
10701 E MARY ANN CLEVELAND WAY

VA: 232 - PCTS 232
LITTLETOWN COMMUNITY CENTER

MULTIPURPOSE ROOM
6465 S CRAYCROFT ROAD

VA: 245 - PCTS 245/247
PIMA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

SAFETY TRAINING CONFERENCE ROOM
1313 S MISSION ROAD

VA: 218 - PCTS 218
VUSD - RINCON VISTA MIDDLE SCHOOL

COMMUNITY ROOM
10770 E BILBY ROAD

VA: 225 - PCTS 225
ORANGE GROVE MOBILE ESTATES

CLUBHOUSE
3635 W MANGO DRIVE

VA: 243 - PCTS 243
TANQUE VERDE BAPTIST CHURCH

FELLOWSHIP HALL DOWNSTAIRS
2200 N TANQUE VERDE LOOP ROAD

VA: 246 - PCTS 246
SANTA ROSA BRANCH LIBRARY

ROOM 109
1075 S 10TH AVENUE

PROPOSITION TEXT

OFFICIAL BALLOT FORMAT

PROPOSITION 407

BONDS FOR PARKS AND RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS

Shall the City of Tucson be authorized to issue and sell not exceeding \$225,000,000 principal amount of its general obligation bonds to provide funds for capital projects for parks and recreation, to wit: capital improvements to, and equipping of, existing parks; acquisition, construction, improvement, and equipping of new parks; acquisition, construction, improvement, and equipping of new and existing connectivity components between and within parks, including greenways, bikepaths, sidewalks, and walkways; and payment of all necessary costs and fees in connection therewith; such bonds to be issued in one or more series, maturing not more than forty (40) years from the date of issuance of each series, and bearing interest at a rate or rates not in excess of nine percent (9%) per annum?

A “yes” vote shall authorize the City of Tucson governing body to issue and sell \$225,000,000 of general obligation bonds of the City to be repaid with secondary property taxes.

A “no” vote shall not authorize the City of Tucson governing body to issue and sell such bonds of the City.

As required by A.R.S. § 35-454(C), the City gives notice that the issuance of these bonds will result in a property tax increase sufficient to pay the annual debt service on bonds.

Bond approval, yes _____

Bond approval, no _____

FORMATO DE LA BOLETA OFICIAL

PROPOSICIÓN 407

BONOS PARA MEJORAS DE PARQUES Y RECREO

¿Deberá ser autorizada la Ciudad de Tucson a emitir y vender sin exceder la cantidad principal de \$225,000,000 de sus bonos de obligación general para proporcionar fondos para proyectos de capital para parques y recreo, tales como: mejoras de capital, y al equipo de parques existentes; adquisición, construcción, mejoras, y equipo de nuevos parques; adquisición, construcción, y mejoramientos de nuevos y existentes componentes de conectividad entre y dentro de parques, incluyendo vías verdes, carriles de bicicletas, banquetas y pasases peatonales; y pagos de todos los costos y tarifas necesarias en conexión con lo dicho; tales bonos han de ser emitidos en una serie o más con un vencimiento de no más de cuarenta (40) años a partir de la fecha de emisión de cada dicha series, y devengando una tasa o tasas de interés no excediendo el nueve por ciento (9%) por año?

Un voto “Sí” autorizara al cuerpo gobernante de la Ciudad de Tucson a emitir y vender \$225,000,000 de sus bonos de obligación general a ser pagados con impuestos de propiedad secundaria.

Un voto “no” no autorizara al cuerpo gobernante de la Ciudad de Tucson a emitir y vender tales bonos de la Ciudad.

Como lo requiere la Sección 35-454(C), la Ciudad da aviso de que la emisión de estos bonos resultará en un aumento del impuesto sobre la propiedad suficiente para pagar el servicio anual de la deuda sobre los bonos

Aprobación de los bonos, sí_____

Aprobación de los bonos, no _____

OFFICIAL BALLOT FORMAT
PROPOSITION/PROPOSICION 408

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE TUCSON CITY CHARTER, SUBMITTED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL AS A REFERRED MEASURE, EXTENDING THE TERMS OF THE CURRENT MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS BY ONE YEAR AND, BEGINNING IN 2020, HOLDING EVEN-YEAR PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS FOR THE OFFICES OF MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS, IN PLACE OF THE CURRENT ODD-YEAR PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS.

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of extending the terms of the current Mayor and Councilmembers by one year and, beginning in 2020, holding even-year primary and general elections for the offices of Mayor and Councilmembers, in place of the current odd-year primary and general elections for those offices.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of rejecting even-year primary and general elections for the offices of Mayor and Councilmembers, and retaining the current system of holding odd-year primary and general elections for those offices.

____ **YES**
____ **NO**

FORMATO DE LA BOLETA OFICIAL

PROPOSICIÓN 408

ENMIENDA PROPUESTA A LA CARTA CONSTITUTIVA DE TUCSON SOMETIDA POR EL ALCALDE Y CONCEJO COMO UNA INICIATIVA REMITIDA POR EL ALCALDE Y CONCEJO, EXTENDIENDO LOS MANDATOS DEL ACTUAL ALCALDE Y MIEMBROS DEL CONCEJO POR UN AÑO Y, COMENZANDO EN EL 2020, CELEBRANDO ELECCIONES PRIMARIAS Y GENERALES EN LOS AÑOS PARES PARA LOS CARGOS DE ALCALDE Y MIEMBROS DEL CONCEJO, EN LUGAR DE LAS ACTUALES ELECCIONES EN LOS AÑOS IMPARES

Un voto “SÍ” tendrá el efecto de extender los mandatos del actual Alcalde y Miembros del Concejo por un año y, comenzando en el 2020, celebrando elecciones primarias y generales en los años pares para los cargos de Alcalde y Miembros del Concejo, en lugar de las actuales elecciones primarias y generales en los años impares para esos cargos.

Un voto “NO” tendrá el efecto de rechazar las elecciones primarias y generales en los años pares para los cargos de Alcalde y Miembros del Concejo, y retener el actual sistema de celebrar elecciones primarias y generales en los años impares para esos cargos.

_____ **SÍ**

_____ **NO**

WRITE-IN INFORMATION

There are no official Write-In Candidates for the City of Tucson for the General Election held on November 6, 2018.

ELECTION SUMMARY

E&l2a0c7c067F(s0p16.66h3b6T&a00L
SUMMARY REPORT

General Election 2018
Results Report
City of Tucson

Report EL45

Page 001

Run Date:11/17/18 09:48 AM

VOTES PERCENT

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	89,848	55.87
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	70,965	44.13
Over Votes	70	
Under Votes	11,531	

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	66,699	42.16
NO (.)	91,513	57.84
Over Votes	47	
Under Votes	14,155	

E

STATEMENT OF VOTES CAST

E&l2a0c7c067F(s0p16.66h3b6T&a00L
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0001-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0001 001

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
General Election 2018				
PCT Group Detail Report				
City of Tucson				

Report EL30A Page 0002-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0002 002

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
General Election 2018				
PCT Group Detail Report				
City of Tucson				

Report EL30A Page 0003-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0003 003

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
General Election 2018				
PCT Group Detail Report				
City of Tucson				

Report EL30A Page 0004-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0004 004

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
General Election 2018				
PCT Group Detail Report				
City of Tucson				

Report EL30A Page 0005-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0005 005

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
General Election 2018				
PCT Group Detail Report				
City of Tucson				

Report EL30A Page 0006-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0006 006

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
General Election 2018				
PCT Group Detail Report				
City of Tucson				

Report EL30A Page 0007-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0007 007

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
General Election 2018				
PCT Group Detail Report				
City of Tucson				

Report EL30A Page 0008-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0008 008

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
General Election 2018				
PCT Group Detail Report				
City of Tucson				

Report EL30A Page 0009-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0009 009

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,000	54.73	789	167	44
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	827	45.27	670	133	24
Over Votes	2		1	1	0
Under Votes	125		99	14	12

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	822	45.74	671	123	28
NO (.)	975	54.26	758	178	39
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	156		129	14	13

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0011-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0011 011

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1	25.00	1	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	3	75.00	3	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0

Under Votes 1 1 0 0

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 0 0 0 0
NO (.) 4 100.00 4 0 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 1 1 0 0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

Report EL30A Page 0016-01

0016 016

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	947	58.64	779	135	33
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	668	41.36	528	116	24
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	63		47	11	5
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	741	46.57	618	105	18
NO (.)	850	53.43	668	142	40
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	87		68	15	4
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL					
General Election 2018					
PCT Group Detail Report					
City of Tucson					
Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM					
Report EL30A Page 0017-01					

0017 017

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	799	66.53	658	103	38
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	402	33.47	314	74	14
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	82		65	9	8
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	488	41.25	408	61	19
NO (.)	695	58.75	548	115	32
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	101		81	11	9
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL					
General Election 2018					
PCT Group Detail Report					
City of Tucson					
Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM					
Report EL30A Page 0018-01					

0018 018

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	829	62.76	644	129	56
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	492	37.24	359	94	39
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	118		81	20	17
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	538	41.16	431	75	32
NO (.)	769	58.84	556	149	64
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	132		97	19	16
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL					
General Election 2018					
PCT Group Detail Report					
City of Tucson					
Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM					
Report EL30A Page 0019-01					

0019 019

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	61	30.50	51	8	2
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	139	69.50	119	16	4

Over Votes	0	0	0	0
Under Votes	3	3	0	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	74	37.37	65	6	3
NO (.)	124	62.63	103	18	3
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	5		5	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0020-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0020 020

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	809	55.15	622	107	80
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	658	44.85	506	106	46
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	116		82	17	17

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	525	36.06	409	79	37
NO (.)	931	63.94	709	134	88
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	128		93	17	18

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0022-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0022 022

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	684	51.27	557	73	54
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	650	48.73	530	80	40
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	519		411	77	31

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	534	40.73	455	48	31
NO (.)	777	59.27	616	101	60
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	542		427	81	34

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0025-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0025 025

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	591	50.17	441	96	54
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	587	49.83	482	79	26
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	115		86	20	9

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	464	39.59	362	62	40
NO (.)	708	60.41	554	115	39
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	120		92	18	10

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0026-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0026 026

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	670	49.01	520	86	64
----------------------------------	-----	-------	-----	----	----

BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	697	50.99	566	89	42
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	81		53	16	12

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	527	39.65	426	65	36
NO (.)	802	60.35	630	107	65
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	119		83	19	17

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0028-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0028 028

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	379	48.40	286	56	37
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	404	51.60	315	61	28
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	52		37	7	8

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	298	39.16	231	35	32
NO (.)	463	60.84	351	78	34
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	74		56	11	7

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0032-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0032 032

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	293	49.00	244	39	10
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	305	51.00	247	46	12
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	40		22	12	6

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	217	37.29	179	28	10
NO (.)	365	62.71	297	57	11
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	56		37	12	7

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0033-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0033 033

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	774	59.58	637	96	41
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	525	40.42	413	80	32
Over Votes	2		1	1	0
Under Votes	82		62	11	9

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	620	48.97	539	60	21
NO (.)	646	51.03	489	106	51
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	117		85	22	10

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0034-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0034 034

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	346	43.85	265	68	13
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	443	56.15	324	101	18
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	49		35	10	4

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	306	38.98	239	57	10
NO (.)	479	61.02	346	112	21
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	53		39	10	4

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0036-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0036 036

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	955	51.26	725	157	73
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	908	48.74	703	162	43
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	134		91	25	18

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	686	37.88	541	98	47
NO (.)	1,125	62.12	851	212	62
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	186		126	35	25

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0037-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0037 037

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	358	52.42	248	80	30
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	325	47.58	256	53	16
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	71		45	14	12

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	261	38.90	199	46	16
NO (.)	410	61.10	295	84	31
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	83		55	17	11

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0038-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0038 038

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	708	56.46	563	111	34
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	546	43.54	434	80	32
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	54		41	11	2

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	491	40.31	390	79	22
NO (.)	727	59.69	578	109	40
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	90		70	14	6

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0039-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0039 039

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,017	44.70	818	175	24
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,258	55.30	1,025	201	32
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	108		80	19	9

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	855	38.32	714	123	18
NO (.)	1,376	61.68	1,091	248	37
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	151		118	23	10

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0042-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0042 042

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,504	73.40	1,200	213	91
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	545	26.60	406	101	38
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	204		162	26	16

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	898	44.57	717	131	50
NO (.)	1,117	55.43	865	177	75
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	238		186	32	20

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0043-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0043 043

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	375	57.16	292	60	23
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	281	42.84	190	60	31
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	64		44	12	8

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	292	44.72	234	42	16
NO (.)	361	55.28	244	78	39
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	68		49	12	7

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0044-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0044 044

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	973	77.16	763	168	42
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	288	22.84	207	62	19
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	120		84	25	11

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	612	49.12	484	103	25
NO (.)	634	50.88	474	122	38
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	134		96	29	9

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0045-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0045 045

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON						
(VOTE FOR) 1						
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	941	80.09	754	163	24
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	234	19.91	167	53	14
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	65		43	16	6

PROPOSITION 408						
CITY OF TUCSON						
(VOTE FOR) 1						
YES (.)	527	46.27	424	90	13
NO (.)	612	53.73	468	122	22
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	101		72	20	9

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0047-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0047 047

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407						
CITY OF TUCSON						
(VOTE FOR) 1						
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	267	53.40	226	27	14
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	233	46.60	188	36	9
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	27		22	4	1

PROPOSITION 408						
CITY OF TUCSON						
(VOTE FOR) 1						
YES (.)	180	37.42	158	15	7
NO (.)	301	62.58	240	47	14
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	46		38	5	3

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0048-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0048 048

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407						
CITY OF TUCSON						
(VOTE FOR) 1						
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	317	50.08	242	49	26
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	316	49.92	245	51	20
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	34		25	7	2

PROPOSITION 408						
CITY OF TUCSON						
(VOTE FOR) 1						
YES (.)	226	36.22	176	28	22
NO (.)	398	63.78	302	71	25
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	43		34	8	1

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0049-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0049 049

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407						
CITY OF TUCSON						
(VOTE FOR) 1						
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	409	44.07	319	74	16
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	519	55.93	390	112	17
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	34		25	6	3

PROPOSITION 408						
CITY OF TUCSON						
(VOTE FOR) 1						
YES (.)	341	37.43	267	62	12
NO (.)	570	62.57	428	123	19
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	50		38	7	5

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0050-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0050 050

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 527 49.02 397 93 37
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 548 50.98 437 77 34
Over Votes 2 0 0
Under Votes 97 75 10 12

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 368 34.36 304 37 27
NO (.) 703 65.64 530 128 45
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 103 77 15 11

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0051-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0051 051

TOTAL VOTES % GROUP-01 GROUP-02 GROUP-03

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 408 48.98 292 73 43
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 425 51.02 337 57 31
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 49 27 13 9

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 288 35.60 209 52 27
NO (.) 521 64.40 400 76 45
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 73 47 15 11

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0052-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0052 052

TOTAL VOTES % GROUP-01 GROUP-02 GROUP-03

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 464 46.03 352 69 43
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 544 53.97 417 84 43
Over Votes 1 0 0
Under Votes 55 33 6 16

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 358 36.72 281 48 29
NO (.) 617 63.28 462 101 54
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 89 59 11 19

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0053-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0053 053

TOTAL VOTES % GROUP-01 GROUP-02 GROUP-03

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 1,171 51.61 900 162 109
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 1,098 48.39 858 155 85
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 112 76 15 21

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 855 38.29 663 116 76
NO (.) 1,378 61.71 1,069 197 112
Over Votes 1 0 0
Under Votes 147 102 18 27

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0054-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0054 054

TOTAL VOTES % GROUP-01 GROUP-02 GROUP-03

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	820	48.38	653	109	58
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	875	51.62	682	132	61
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	61		43	10	8

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	611	36.72	489	82	40
NO (.)	1,053	63.28	817	160	76
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	93		72	10	11

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0055-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0055 055

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	184	67.90	143	27	14
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	87	32.10	65	13	9
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	21		17	3	1

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	129	48.31	102	20	7
NO (.)	138	51.69	102	20	16
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	25		21	3	1

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0056-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0056 056

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1	100.00	1	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	0		0	0	0
NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	1		1	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0057-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0057 057

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,376	65.84	1,115	190	71
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	714	34.16	543	137	34
Over Votes	2		0	1	1
Under Votes	117		79	25	13

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	915	45.45	747	130	38
NO (.)	1,098	54.55	849	188	61
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	196		141	35	20

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0058-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0058 058

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,176	67.01	860	243	73
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	579	32.99	416	130	33
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	104		69	20	15
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	734	43.02	557	137	40
NO (.)	972	56.98	672	232	68
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	153		116	24	13
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL	General Election 2018 PCT Group Detail Report City of Tucson			Report EL30A Page 0059-01	

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0059 059

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	481	50.90	349	88	44
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	464	49.10	338	83	43
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	72		44	14	14
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	353	38.20	261	55	37
NO (.)	571	61.80	413	109	49
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	93		57	21	15
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL	General Election 2018 PCT Group Detail Report City of Tucson			Report EL30A Page 0061-01	

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0061 061

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	0		0	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	0		0	0	0
NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL	General Election 2018 PCT Group Detail Report City of Tucson			Report EL30A Page 0062-01	

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0062 062

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	759	73.26	569	120	70
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	277	26.74	183	55	39
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	132		84	21	27
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	461	45.55	334	77	50
NO (.)	551	54.45	399	96	56
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	156		103	23	30
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL	General Election 2018 PCT Group Detail Report City of Tucson			Report EL30A Page 0063-01	

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0063 063

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	809	77.79	662	124	23
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	231	22.21	185	36	10
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	85		74	5	6

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	539	52.59	462	66	11
NO (.)	486	47.41	373	92	21
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	100		86	7	7

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0064-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0064 064

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	555	55.17	419	96	40
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	451	44.83	354	60	37
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	74		44	22	8

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	337	34.35	269	40	28
NO (.)	644	65.65	481	116	47
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	99		67	22	10

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0066-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0066 066

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	561	50.40	405	107	49
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	552	49.60	430	89	33
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	127		80	22	25

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	401	36.32	311	58	32
NO (.)	703	63.68	516	137	50
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	137		89	23	25

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0067-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0067 067

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	896	60.87	695	144	57
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	576	39.13	426	123	27
Over Votes	2		2	0	0
Under Votes	115		84	18	13

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	588	40.38	459	96	33
NO (.)	868	59.62	649	170	49
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	133		99	19	15

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0068-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0068 068

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,165	68.45	960	164	41
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	537	31.55	395	115	27
Over Votes	2		2	0	0
Under Votes	109		91	11	7

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	789	47.27	652	112	25
NO (.)	880	52.73	676	162	42
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	144		120	16	8

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0069-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0069 069

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,562	53.94	1,294	211	57
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,334	46.06	1,093	196	45
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	245		203	26	16

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	1,252	44.15	1,075	139	38
NO (.)	1,584	55.85	1,257	267	60
Over Votes	2		2	0	0
Under Votes	304		257	27	20

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0072-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0072 072

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,197	59.35	980	161	56
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	820	40.65	644	131	45
Over Votes	4		4	0	0
Under Votes	193		155	21	17

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	847	42.35	701	100	46
NO (.)	1,153	57.65	900	196	57
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	213		181	17	15

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0073-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0073 073

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,571	79.06	1,284	242	45
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	416	20.94	298	92	26
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	143		114	16	13

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	1,032	53.53	839	159	34
NO (.)	896	46.47	696	163	37
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	201		160	28	13

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0075-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0075 075

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,301	67.80	1,040	200	61
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	618	32.20	455	127	36
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	128		87	21	20

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	861	46.12	696	132	33
NO (.)	1,006	53.88	754	192	60
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	180		132	24	24

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0078-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0078 078

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,032	79.94	812	193	27
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	259	20.06	187	62	10
Over Votes	2		2	0	0
Under Votes	64		51	10	3

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	731	58.20	590	125	16
NO (.)	525	41.80	380	123	22
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	100		81	17	2

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0080-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0080 080

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,083	71.49	886	152	45
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	432	28.51	329	80	23
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	104		82	15	7

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	703	47.47	590	86	27
NO (.)	778	52.53	594	144	40
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	139		113	18	8

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0082-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0082 082

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	989	67.28	782	165	42
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	481	32.72	345	112	24
Over Votes	2		0	0	2
Under Votes	101		79	11	11

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	684	47.17	546	110	28
NO (.)	766	52.83	564	164	38
Over Votes	2		1	0	1
Under Votes	121		95	14	12

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report

Report EL30A Page 0085-01

City of Tucson

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0085 085

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	0		0	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	0		0	0	0
NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0086-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0086 086

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,121	51.85	837	223	61
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,041	48.15	786	200	55
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	182		123	33	26

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	776	36.31	586	142	48
NO (.)	1,361	63.69	1,015	280	66
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	208		146	34	28

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0087-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0087 087

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	252	67.38	207	34	11
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	122	32.62	99	17	6
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	61		49	6	6

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	180	49.05	153	20	7
NO (.)	187	50.95	146	31	10
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	68		56	6	6

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0089-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0089 089

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,163	60.32	947	172	44
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	765	39.68	605	141	19
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	156		116	30	10

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	966	50.82	802	135	29
NO (.)	935	49.18	728	174	33
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	184		138	35	11

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018

Report EL30A Page 0090-01

PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0090 090

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	419	40.92	305	101	13
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	605	59.08	446	138	21
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	59		39	14	6

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	360	35.61	269	76	15
NO (.)	651	64.39	471	160	20
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	71		49	17	5

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0091-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0091 091

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,054	60.47	829	177	48
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	689	39.53	499	156	34
Over Votes	3		1	2	0
Under Votes	137		97	24	16

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	707	41.39	559	123	25
NO (.)	1,001	58.61	745	207	49
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	174		121	29	24

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0092-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0092 092

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	0		0	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	0		0	0	0
NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0093-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0093 093

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	944	65.74	772	143	29
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	492	34.26	387	85	20
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	84		67	10	7

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	628	44.67	528	87	13
NO (.)	778	55.33	606	136	36
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	114		92	15	7

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0094-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0094 094

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,118	69.35	936	141	41
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	494	30.65	371	100	23
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	93		73	12	8

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	793	50.09	674	91	28
NO (.)	790	49.91	612	145	33
Over Votes	2		1	0	1
Under Votes	121		94	17	10

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0095-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0095 095

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	344	38.91	265	69	10
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	540	61.09	396	126	18
Over Votes	1		0	0	1
Under Votes	26		21	5	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	342	39.31	255	77	10
NO (.)	528	60.69	395	116	17
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	41		32	7	2

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0096-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0096 096

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,167	66.84	942	191	34
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	579	33.16	439	124	16
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	102		74	17	11

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	835	48.74	689	130	16
NO (.)	878	51.26	663	181	34
Over Votes	1		0	0	1
Under Votes	134		103	21	10

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0097-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0097 097

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	712	58.36	551	116	45
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	508	41.64	400	90	18
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	72		54	14	4

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	510	42.64	412	78	20
NO (.)	686	57.36	523	123	40
Over Votes	0		0	0	0

Under Votes 96 70 19 7
 PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL General Election 2018
 PCT Group Detail Report
 City of Tucson Report EL30A Page 0098-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0098 098

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	875	54.72	676	146	53
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	724	45.28	526	159	39
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	126		93	17	16

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	618	39.21	490	88	40
NO (.)	958	60.79	700	210	48
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	149		105	24	20

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
 PCT Group Detail Report
 City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0099-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0099 099

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,116	68.51	963	131	22
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	513	31.49	409	93	11
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	138		115	20	3

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	849	52.96	742	95	12
NO (.)	754	47.04	613	122	19
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	164		132	27	5

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
 PCT Group Detail Report
 City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0100-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0100 100

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	974	58.67	790	147	37
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	686	41.33	522	133	31
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	123		89	21	13

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	695	42.61	581	94	20
NO (.)	936	57.39	704	186	46
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	152		116	21	15

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
 PCT Group Detail Report
 City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0103-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0103 103

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	582	66.51	467	98	17
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	293	33.49	236	48	9
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	56		41	9	6

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	414	48.59	335	67	12
NO (.)	438	51.41	350	77	11

Over Votes	0	0	0	0
Under Votes	79	59	11	9

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0105-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0105 105

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	418	46.09	309	71	38
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	489	53.91	370	93	26
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	94		59	24	11

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	314	34.77	241	49	24
NO (.)	589	65.23	431	117	41
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	99		66	23	10

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0106-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0106 106

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1	100.00	1	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	0		0	0	0
NO (.)	1	100.00	1	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0107-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0107 107

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,181	60.56	967	175	39
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	769	39.44	566	164	39
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	169		123	31	15

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	890	46.11	735	125	30
NO (.)	1,040	53.89	782	212	46
Over Votes	2		0	1	1
Under Votes	187		139	32	16

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0108-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0108 108

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,573	60.48	1,302	225	46
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,028	39.52	822	169	37
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	199		152	33	14

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	1,155	44.99	973	156	26
-------------------	-------	-------	-----	-----	----

NO (.)	1,412	55.01	1,126	229	57
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	233		177	42	14

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0109-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0109 109

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	0		0	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	6	100.00	6	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	2	33.33	2	0	0
NO (.)	4	66.67	4	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0111-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0111 111

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	812	57.18	656	126	30
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	608	42.82	478	107	23
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	97		68	17	12

PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	619	44.18	502	101	16
NO (.)	782	55.82	610	135	37
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	117		90	15	12

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0113-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0113 113

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	726	45.89	508	162	56
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	856	54.11	660	152	44
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	146		103	18	25

PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	581	36.75	437	109	35
NO (.)	1,000	63.25	739	198	63
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	147		95	25	27

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0114-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0114 114

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	170	53.97	130	32	8
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	145	46.03	101	32	12
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	32		24	4	4

PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					

YES (.)	126	40.91	87	30	9
NO (.)	182	59.09	138	34	10
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	39		30	4	5

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0116-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0116 116

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,240	51.39	1,018	186	36
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,173	48.61	918	227	28
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	223		182	27	14

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	1,049	43.93	871	156	22
NO (.)	1,339	56.07	1,043	255	41
Over Votes	1		0	0	1
Under Votes	247		204	29	14

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0117-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0117 117

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	882	56.29	698	142	42
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	685	43.71	523	133	29
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	112		86	9	17

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	638	40.64	517	94	27
NO (.)	932	59.36	707	176	49
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	110		84	14	12

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0118-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0118 118

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,247	61.52	1,075	140	32
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	780	38.48	624	135	21
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	174		141	21	12

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	1,023	51.38	880	128	15
NO (.)	968	48.62	788	143	37
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	210		173	24	13

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0119-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0119 119

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	682	51.39	538	116	28
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	645	48.61	506	109	30
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	95		81	11	3

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	514	39.06	426	67	21
NO (.)	802	60.94	608	155	39
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	105		90	14	1

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0120-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0120 120

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	869	47.41	689	141	39
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	964	52.59	740	197	27
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	118		83	20	15

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	716	39.38	595	98	23
NO (.)	1,102	60.62	823	236	43
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	133		95	23	15

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0121-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0121 121

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	698	53.65	587	98	13
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	603	46.35	461	124	18
Over Votes	4		3	1	0
Under Votes	84		69	12	3

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	634	49.30	524	100	10
NO (.)	652	50.70	506	125	21
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	103		90	10	3

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0122-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0122 122

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	573	47.04	434	118	21
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	645	52.96	505	124	16
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	88		68	11	9

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	453	37.44	362	75	16
NO (.)	757	62.56	571	166	20
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	96		74	12	10

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0123-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0123 123

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,047	45.40	831	166	50
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,259	54.60	995	222	42
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	149		127	15	7

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 818 35.72 680 111 27
NO (.) 1,472 64.28 1,137 274 61
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 166 137 18 11
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson Report EL30A Page 0124-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0124 124

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 414 43.67 325 70 19
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 534 56.33 420 97 17
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 63 36 20 7

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 339 36.18 278 49 12
NO (.) 598 63.82 456 118 24
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 74 47 20 7
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson Report EL30A Page 0126-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0126 126

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 503 49.95 407 78 18
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 504 50.05 392 96 16
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 68 49 17 2

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 458 46.08 369 77 12
NO (.) 536 53.92 420 94 22
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 81 59 20 2
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson Report EL30A Page 0128-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0128 128

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 826 52.08 649 145 32
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 760 47.92 566 162 32
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 92 68 18 6

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 698 44.77 569 106 23
NO (.) 861 55.23 628 197 36
Over Votes 2 0 0
Under Votes 117 86 20 11
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson Report EL30A Page 0129-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0129 129

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 617 48.24 462 116 39
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 662 51.76 487 148 27
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 106 82 10 14

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 495 39.54 383 85 27
NO (.) 757 60.46 546 170 41
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 133 102 19 12
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0130-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0130 130

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 1,099 51.55 841 202 56
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 1,033 48.45 765 220 48
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 159 122 19 18
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0131-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0131 131

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 718 48.94 604 93 21
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 749 51.06 622 112 15
Over Votes 1 0 0
Under Votes 75 57 11 7
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0132-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0132 132

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 908 47.00 737 132 39
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 1,024 53.00 829 151 44
Over Votes 3 0 3 0
Under Votes 146 100 29 17
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0133-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0133 133

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 912 44.97 749 130 33
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 1,116 55.03 910 165 41
Over Votes 3 1 1 1
Under Votes 139 102 28 9
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0133-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 743 36.67 637 82 24
NO (.) 1,283 63.33 1,018 215 50
Over Votes 1 0 1 0
Under Votes 143 107 26 10
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0143-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0143 143

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 468 75.61 361 82 25
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 151 24.39 103 39 9
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 46 28 12 6

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 281 46.52 213 48 20
NO (.) 323 53.48 238 70 15
Over Votes 1 0 1 0
Under Votes 60 41 14 5
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0144-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0144 144

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 844 54.56 663 142 39
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 703 45.44 531 139 33
Over Votes 2 0 0 0
Under Votes 93 66 20 7

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 668 43.86 519 117 32
NO (.) 855 56.14 656 163 36
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 119 87 21 11
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0153-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0153 153

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 857 53.80 700 121 36
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 736 46.20 564 123 49
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 128 92 23 13

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 680 43.20 551 96 33
NO (.) 894 56.80 698 145 51
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 147 107 26 14
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0154-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0154 154

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 458 56.27 346 81 31
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 356 43.73 261 65 30
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 44 29 8 7

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 337 42.34 252 57 28
NO (.) 459 57.66 337 87 35
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 62 47 10 5
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0155-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0155 155

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 0 0 0 0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 0 0 0 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 0 0 0 0
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0156-01

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 0 0 0 0
NO (.) 0 0 0 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 0 0 0 0
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0156-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0156 156

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 538 45.90 435 73 30
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 634 54.10 525 93 16
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 94 79 12 3
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0157-01

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 454 39.14 379 59 16
NO (.) 706 60.86 571 105 30
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 106 89 14 3
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0157-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0157 157

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 710 50.71 535 111 64
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 690 49.29 522 124 44
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 115 79 14 22
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0159-01

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 528 38.26 413 76 39
NO (.) 852 61.74 625 158 69
Over Votes 1 0 0 0
Under Votes 134 97 15 22
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0159-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0159 159

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 918 50.69 726 121 71
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 893 49.31 727 104 62
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson
Report EL30A Page 0159-01

Under Votes	82	60	15	7
-----------------------	----	----	----	---

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	681	38.78	554	79	48
NO (.)	1,075	61.22	857	141	77
Over Votes	1		0	0	1
Under Votes	136		102	20	14

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0160-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0160 160

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	373	52.17	277	56	40
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	342	47.83	274	42	26
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	54		40	9	5

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	286	41.09	219	35	32
NO (.)	410	58.91	318	60	32
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	73		54	12	7

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0164-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0164 164

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,198	71.78	988	152	58
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	471	28.22	370	75	26
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	98		76	17	5

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	853	52.30	724	97	32
NO (.)	778	47.70	598	129	51
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	137		112	19	6

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0166-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0166 166

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,134	65.55	907	153	74
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	596	34.45	452	106	38
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	109		72	20	17

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	743	43.94	598	95	50
NO (.)	948	56.06	722	166	60
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	149		112	18	19

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0167-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0167 167

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
--	-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	880	74.45	726	125	29
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	302	25.55	241	44	17

Over Votes	1	1	0	0
Under Votes	72	48	9	15

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	542	46.52	456	65	21
NO (.)	623	53.48	495	100	28
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	90		65	13	12

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0170-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0170 170

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	213	73.20	183	30	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	78	26.80	58	20	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	25		23	2	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	153	52.94	135	18	0
NO (.)	136	47.06	103	33	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	27		26	1	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0174-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0174 174

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,096	53.08	854	204	38
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	969	46.92	762	176	31
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	170		107	44	19

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	934	45.69	764	143	27
NO (.)	1,110	54.31	840	228	42
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	191		119	53	19

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0176-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0176 176

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	533	43.87	402	103	28
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	682	56.13	520	140	22
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	60		43	13	4

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	411	34.34	324	74	13
NO (.)	786	65.66	580	169	37
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	77		61	12	4

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0177-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0177 177

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	908	42.37	715	155	38
----------------------------------	-----	-------	-----	-----	----

BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,235	57.63	963	227	45
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	161		130	18	13

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	757	35.64	615	122	20
NO (.)	1,367	64.36	1,051	256	60
Over Votes	2		0	1	1
Under Votes	179		142	22	15

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0178-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0178 178

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	251	56.53	187	56	8
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	193	43.47	141	40	12
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	30		21	6	3

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	186	42.56	131	48	7
NO (.)	251	57.44	190	47	14
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	37		28	7	2

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0180-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0180 180

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,024	47.23	829	163	32
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,144	52.77	903	217	24
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	155		114	26	15

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	832	38.82	697	115	20
NO (.)	1,311	61.18	1,014	259	38
Over Votes	3		2	0	1
Under Votes	177		133	32	12

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0181-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0181 181

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,136	47.77	944	162	30
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,242	52.23	994	219	29
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	158		134	22	2

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	948	40.77	795	137	16
NO (.)	1,377	59.23	1,100	236	41
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	212		177	31	4

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0182-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0182 182

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,059	45.67	856	164	39
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,260	54.33	1,021	204	35
Over Votes	3		1	0	2
Under Votes	137		114	15	8

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	838	36.77	684	127	27
NO (.)	1,441	63.23	1,160	233	48
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	179		148	22	9

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0183-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0183 183

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	706	43.88	569	117	20
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	903	56.12	709	171	23
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	89		69	16	4

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	620	38.70	524	86	10
NO (.)	982	61.30	751	198	33
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	96		72	20	4

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0186-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0186 186

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	10	50.00	8	2	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	10	50.00	7	2	1
Over Votes	1		0	0	1
Under Votes	2		2	0	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	14	63.64	12	2	0
NO (.)	8	36.36	4	2	2
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	1		1	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0189-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0189 189

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	656	65.01	540	92	24
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	353	34.99	258	77	18
Over Votes	1		0	0	1
Under Votes	68		47	16	5

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	448	45.44	363	68	17
NO (.)	538	54.56	419	94	25
Over Votes	1		0	1	0
Under Votes	91		63	22	6

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0190-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0190 190

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	357	50.21	271	60	26
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	354	49.79	281	49	24
Over Votes	2		0	2	0
Under Votes	55		39	7	9

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	294	41.29	244	35	15
NO (.)	418	58.71	303	79	36
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	56		44	4	8

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0192-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0192 192

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,006	48.93	812	163	31
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,050	51.07	826	194	30
Over Votes	1		0	0	1
Under Votes	155		112	28	15

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	809	39.73	688	97	24
NO (.)	1,227	60.27	936	253	38
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	176		126	35	15

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0195-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0195 195

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	0		0	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	0		0	0	0
NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0196-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0196 196

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	0		0	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	0		0	0	0
NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0197-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0197 197

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 584 41.54 453 105 26
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 822 58.46 644 152 26
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 102 77 17 8

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 527 37.70 415 90 22
NO (.) 871 62.30 671 170 30
Over Votes 0 0 0
Under Votes 110 88 14 8

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

Report EL30A Page 0198-01

0198 198

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 0 0 0 0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 0 0 0 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 0 0 0 0

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 0 0 0 0
NO (.) 0 0 0 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 0 0 0 0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

Report EL30A Page 0199-01

0199 199

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 32 43.24 29 2 1
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 42 56.76 31 10 1
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 4 3 0 1

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 35 48.61 29 5 1
NO (.) 37 51.39 29 7 1
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 6 5 0 1

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

Report EL30A Page 0210-01

0210 210

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 99 61.11 82 13 4
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 63 38.89 47 12 4
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 11 8 2 1

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 79 50.00 68 9 2
NO (.) 79 50.00 57 16 6
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 15 12 2 1

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL
General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

Report EL30A Page 0214-01

0214 214

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 4 66.67 4 0 0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 2 33.33 2 0 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 0 0 0 0

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 3 50.00 3 0 0
NO (.) 3 50.00 3 0 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 0 0 0 0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0217-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0217 217

TOTAL VOTES % GROUP-01 GROUP-02 GROUP-03

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 618 46.82 459 107 52
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 702 53.18 539 108 55
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 61 43 7 11

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 495 38.02 380 74 41
NO (.) 807 61.98 611 133 63
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 79 50 15 14

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0218-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0218 218

TOTAL VOTES % GROUP-01 GROUP-02 GROUP-03

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 1,445 51.48 1,254 191 0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 1,362 48.52 1,146 216 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 87 76 11 0

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 1,221 44.42 1,075 146 0
NO (.) 1,528 55.58 1,278 250 0
Over Votes 2 2 0 0
Under Votes 143 121 22 0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0221-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0221 221

TOTAL VOTES % GROUP-01 GROUP-02 GROUP-03

PROPOSITION 407
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.) 0 0 0 0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.) 0 0 0 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 0 0 0 0

PROPOSITION 408
CITY OF TUCSON
(VOTE FOR) 1
YES (.) 0 0 0 0
NO (.) 0 0 0 0
Over Votes 0 0 0 0
Under Votes 0 0 0 0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0224-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0224 224

TOTAL VOTES % GROUP-01 GROUP-02 GROUP-03

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	1,074	45.37	848	171	55
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	1,293	54.63	1,023	210	60
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	100		70	20	10

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	871	37.38	705	127	39
NO (.)	1,459	62.62	1,136	249	74
Over Votes	1		0	0	1
Under Votes	136		100	25	11

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0225-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0225 225

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	66	51.16	49	13	4
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	63	48.84	50	9	4
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	8		4	3	1

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	53	41.41	38	11	4
NO (.)	75	58.59	61	10	4
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	9		4	4	1

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0230-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0230 230

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	98	65.77	88	9	1
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	51	34.23	45	6	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	10		9	1	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	67	46.21	60	6	1
NO (.)	78	53.79	69	9	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	14		13	1	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0231-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0231 231

TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
-------------	---	----------	----------	----------

PROPOSITION 407

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	0		0	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PROPOSITION 408

CITY OF TUCSON

(VOTE FOR) 1

YES (.)	0		0	0	0
NO (.)	0		0	0	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0232-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0232 232

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	388	45.38	284	84	20
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	467	54.62	366	68	33
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	39		20	16	3
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	277	32.67	225	38	14
NO (.)	571	67.33	419	113	39
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	46		26	17	3
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL	General Election 2018 PCT Group Detail Report City of Tucson			Report EL30A Page 0243-01	

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0243 243

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	10	58.82	8	2	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	7	41.18	4	3	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	0		0	0	0
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	11	68.75	10	1	0
NO (.)	5	31.25	1	4	0
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	1		1	0	0
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL	General Election 2018 PCT Group Detail Report City of Tucson			Report EL30A Page 0244-01	

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0244 244

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	181	51.57	126	34	21
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	170	48.43	134	24	12
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	26		20	1	5
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	140	40.35	106	19	15
NO (.)	207	59.65	149	39	19
Over Votes	2		2	0	0
Under Votes	28		23	1	4
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL	General Election 2018 PCT Group Detail Report City of Tucson			Report EL30A Page 0245-01	

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0245 245

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	654	65.47	561	71	22
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	345	34.53	295	35	15
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	86		75	8	3
PROPOSITION 408					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	416	42.36	354	46	16
NO (.)	566	57.64	488	58	20
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	103		89	10	4
PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL	General Election 2018 PCT Group Detail Report City of Tucson			Report EL30A Page 0246-01	

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0246 246

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	909	75.50	748	129	32
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	295	24.50	236	42	17
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	47		30	11	6

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	547	46.59	461	63	23
NO (.)	627	53.41	497	105	25
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	77		56	14	7

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0247-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0247 247

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	122	59.22	104	17	1
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	84	40.78	66	12	6
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	19		16	1	2

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	79	38.16	65	11	3
NO (.)	128	61.84	106	18	4
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	19		16	1	2

PREC REPORT-GROUP DETAIL

General Election 2018
PCT Group Detail Report
City of Tucson

Report EL30A Page 0248-01

Run Date:11/17/18 09:44 AM

0248 248

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
PROPOSITION 407					
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
BOND APPROVAL, YES (.)	179	48.38	145	31	3
BOND APPROVAL, NO (.)	191	51.62	163	25	3
Over Votes	0		0	0	0
Under Votes	19		15	3	1

PROPOSITION 408

	TOTAL VOTES	%	GROUP-01	GROUP-02	GROUP-03
CITY OF TUCSON					
(VOTE FOR) 1					
YES (.)	133	37.25	114	17	2
NO (.)	224	62.75	184	36	4
Over Votes	1		1	0	0
Under Votes	31		24	6	1

E

ADOPTED BY THE
MAYOR AND COUNCIL

February 19, 2020

ORDINANCE NO. 11731

RELATING TO ELECTIONS; PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF TUCSON CHARTER CHAPTER IV, SECTION 1(20) AND CHAPTER XVI, SECTION 6; TUCSON CODE SECTION 12-38; AND ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES SECTION 16-409, CALLING THE AUGUST 3, 2021 CITY PRIMARY ELECTION AND THE NOVEMBER 2, 2021 CITY GENERAL ELECTION, AND GIVING NOTICE THAT THESE ELECTIONS, AND ANY SPECIAL ELECTIONS OCCURRING ON EITHER OF THOSE DATES, WILL BE CONDUCTED AS MAIL BALLOT ELECTIONS SUPPLEMENTED BY ON-SITE VOTING LOCATIONS IN EACH WARD; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

WHEREAS Chapter XVI, Sec. 6 and Chapter IV, Sec.1(20) of the Charter empower the Mayor and Council to provide for the manner in which and the times at which any City election shall be held; and

WHEREAS Tucson Code (T.C.) Sec. 12-38 and A.R.S. § 16-409 authorize the Mayor and Council to conduct any City election as a mail ballot election, and T.C. Sec. 12-38 also provides that all City elections held on the same date shall use the same method of voting; and

WHEREAS the Mayor and Council desires that the City conduct as mail ballot elections the August 3, 2021 primary election, the November 2, 2021 general election, and any special elections occurring on either of those dates; and

WHEREAS the Mayor and Council shall comply with the requirements of Tucson Charter Chapter XVI, Sec. 10 and T.C. Secs. 12-15 and 12-38 and designate at least one (1) location in each Ward in the City for the casting of votes, with each such location to be kept open on the day of election from 6:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, conducting the candidate elections for Wards 3, 5 and 6 in 2021 is mandated by the Tucson Charter, the provisions of which control over any conflicting re-

quirements of A.R.S. Sections 16-204.01 and 16-204.02 pursuant to various decisions of the Arizona courts, including *City of Tucson v. State of Arizona*, 229 Ariz. 172 (2012) and *City of Tucson v. State of Arizona*, 235 Ariz. 434 (App. 2014), *review denied* (March 17, 2015).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TUCSON, ARIZONA, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Tucson Charter Chapter IV, Sec. 1(20) and Chapter XVI, Sec. 6, the Mayor and Council call a City primary election, to be held on Tuesday, August 3, 2021, at which candidates for the offices of Council Members from Wards Three, Five, and Six shall be nominated for each properly and duly qualified political party.

SECTION 2. Pursuant to the provisions of Tucson Charter Chapter IV, Sec. 1(20) and Chapter XVI, Sec. 6, the Mayor and Council call a City general election, to be held on Tuesday, November 2, 2021, at which three Council Members representing Wards Three, Five, and Six shall be elected, who shall hold office for the term commencing at 10:00 a.m. on Monday, December 6, 2021, and extending to 10:00 a.m. on Monday, December 1, 2025, and until their successors are elected and qualified.

SECTION 3. The Council Members shall be nominated each from and by the respective voters of the ward in which he or she resides, and shall be elected by the voters of the City at large.

SECTION 4. Pursuant to the provisions of Tucson Charter Chapter IV, Sec. 1(20) and Chapter XVI, Sec. 6; T.C. Sec. 12-38; and A.R.S. § 16-409, the August 3, 2021 Primary Election and the November 2, 2021 General Election, and any special elections occurring on those dates, will be conducted as mail ballot elections.

SECTION 5. Pursuant to Tucson Charter Chapter XVI, Sec. 10 and T.C. Secs. 12-15 and 12-38, the City Clerk is authorized and directed to identify and designate at least one (1) location in each Ward for the casting of ballots.

SECTION 6. The City Clerk is authorized and directed to cause this Ordinance to be published in a daily newspaper published and circulated in the City of Tucson.

SECTION 7. The various City officers and employees are authorized and directed to perform all acts necessary or desirable to give effect to this Ordinance.

SECTION 8. WHEREAS, it is necessary for the preservation of the peace, health and safety of the City of Tucson that this Ordinance become immediately effective, an emergency is hereby declared to exist and this Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its passage and adoption.

PASSED, ADOPTED AND APPROVED by the Mayor and Council of the City of Tucson, Arizona, on February 19, 2020



MAYOR

ATTEST:



CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:




CITY ATTORNEY

REVIEWED BY:




CITY MANAGER


DPM/dg
2/11/20



Office of Arizona Attorney General
Mark Brnovich



Legislator Request for Attorney General Investigation of
Alleged State-Law Violation by County, City, or Town (Continued)

*Identify the member(s) of the Legislature
submitting this request for investigation
(attach additional sheet if necessary):

Senator J.D. Mesnard

*Provide a contact person for communications from the Attorney General's Office regarding this
request (may be a Legislator listed above or an employee of the Legislature).

*Name:

J.D. Mesnard

*Email address:

mesnard@azleg.gov

*Phone number:

602-926-4481

*Mailing address:

1700 W Washington St

Phoenix, AZ 85007

*The specific question for the
Attorney General to investigate is:

See attached

*The name of the county, city, or town
that is the subject of this request:

Tucson, AZ

*The specific ordinance, regulation, order, or
other official action adopted or taken by the
governing body of the county, city, or town
and the date thereof:

Tucson ordinance 11731 (Feb 19, 2020)

*The specific Arizona statute(s) and/or constitutional provision(s) with which the action conflicts :

A.R.S. 16-204, A.R.S. 16-204.01, & A.R.S. 16-204.02


* required field

Rev. 8-2016



Office of Arizona Attorney General
Mark Brnovich

Legislator Request for Attorney General Investigation of
Alleged State-Law Violation by County, City, or Town (Continued)



*All relevant facts of which you are aware (attach separate sheet if necessary):

For the 2019 Tucson elections, there was a significant decrease in voter turnout, with just over 39% turnout in 2019 compared to just over 67% in 2018. Despite the significant decrease in voter turnout, Tucson called the next election for August 2021, rather than aligning the election with the statewide election dates.

*All relevant legal authority, including federal and state case law, of which you are aware (attach separate sheet if necessary):

City of Tucson v. State, 229 Ariz. 172 (2012)

City of Tucson v. State, 235 Ariz. 434 (App. 2014)

* Any litigation involving this issue of which you are aware (include case name, number, and court where filed) : City of Tucson v. Hobbs C20201904, Pima County Superior Court

Check this box if you are attaching supporting documentation.



NOTE: This form and other information submitted to the Attorney General's Office is subject to the public records law, A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.

I, a current member of the Legislature, verify that I and the other Legislators listed on the previous page (if any) are submitting this request for investigation under A.R.S. § 41-194.01.

*First Name: J.D. *Last Name: Mesnard

*Signature:

*Date:

6/16/2020

Please submit the completed form to:

Arizona Attorney General's Office
Attn: Appeals and Constitutional Litigation/A.R.S. 41-194.01
2005 North Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004
governmentaccountability@azagov

* required field
Rev. 8-2016

Attachment for AG Submission Form

Following a significant decrease in voter turnout at the 2019 Tucson city election compared to the 2018 statewide election, does Tucson city ordinance 11731 violate A.R.S. 16-204.01 by calling for elections for city council, as well as for city ballot measures, to continue to be held off cycle in 2021 rather than on the statewide election dates in 2022?



CITY OF
TUCSON
OFFICE OF THE
CITY ATTORNEY

July 6, 2020

Linley Wilson
Assistant Attorney General
Unit Chief Counsel
Government Accountability
OFFICE OF THE ARIZONA ATTORNEY GENERAL
Civil Litigation Division
1275 W. Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926

***Re: Your June 17, 2020 Notice of Submission of Legislator Request for
Investigation Pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 41-194.01; and Request for Response***

Dear Ms. Wilson,

This letter is offered as the City of Tucson's (City) response to Senator J.D. Mesnard's June 16, 2020 *Legislator Request for Attorney General Investigation of Alleged State-Law Violation by County, City or Town.* Any questions you might have relating to this response can be directed to me.

I. Introduction

Senator Mesnard's request for investigation arises from the City's lawful, Charter-mandated procedures and practices in holding its candidate elections for Mayor and Council Members in odd-numbered years. The City operates under the authority of the Tucson City Charter, which was adopted and ratified pursuant to Article 13, Sec. 2 of the Arizona Constitution. Pursuant to its Charter and constitutional authority, the City has established procedures and practices for the dates of its candidate elections.

Senator Mesnard's request for investigation is premised upon the application of the provisions of various acts of the Arizona Legislature [A.R.S. §§ 16-204, 204.01, and 204.02] that, on their face, would seem to require the City to begin conducting its candidate elections for the offices of Mayor and Council Members in even years beginning in 2022.

However, under Arizona law, specifically Article XIII, § 2 of the Arizona Constitution, the City's Charter empowers it to control the method and manner, and specifically the odd-year timing, of its elections for its Mayor and Council Members. See *Strode v. Sullivan*, 72 Ariz. 360 (1951); *City of Tucson v. State of Arizona*, 229 Ariz. 172 (2012); *City of Tucson v. State of Arizona*, 235 Ariz. 434 (App. 2014), review denied (March 17, 2015).

CITY HALL • 255 W. ALAMEDA • P.O. BOX 27210 • TUCSON, AZ 85726-7210
(520) 791-4221 • FAX (520) 791-4188 • TTY (520) 791-2639

www.cityoftucson.org



APP-074

To the extent that the Arizona statutes cited by Senator Mesnard conflict with the provisions of the City's Charter, those statutes have no application to the City. The City's odd-year elections for its Mayor and Council Members are not in violation of state law, but instead are lawful under the supreme law of the State of Arizona, namely the Arizona Constitution.

The City requests that the Office of the Attorney General reject Senator Mesnard's request for investigation submitted pursuant to A.R.S. Section 41-194.01, determine that the City has not acted in violation of law, and refrain from taking any further actions described in SB 1487, including the withholding or redistribution of state shared revenues.

II. Factual Background

A. The City utilizes a charter-based, odd-year election system

The City is a charter city framed under Article 13, § 2 of the Arizona Constitution, and is sovereign in all matters that are of strictly local, municipal concern.

According to the Tucson Charter, "The [C]ity shall have power... [t]o provide for the manner in which and the times at which any municipal election shall be held Tucson Charter, Chapter IV, § 1, ¶ 20.

Specific provisions of the Tucson Charter enacted by the City's voters in 1960 and initially implemented in 1961 require odd-year elections of the Mayor and of Council Members for four-year terms, with Council Member terms staggered so that a portion of the governing body is elected through a primary and general election held every two years.¹ Tucson Charter, Chapter XVI, §§ 2-4.

B. According to the Arizona Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, that charter-based system supersedes state law, because the City's elections are matters of purely local concern.

¹ Prior to the enactment of the current provisions, the Tucson Charter (adopted in 1929) required elections of the Mayor and of Council Members for two-year terms, with Council Member terms staggered and a portion of the governing body elected in the spring of every year. A primary election was held on the first Tuesday in March (changed in 1948 to the third Tuesday in February), and a general election was held on the first Tuesday in April. Holding City elections in the Spring assured that in even years, those elections would still be separate from the State's Fall primary and general elections. When the City's voters enacted the current Charter provisions in 1960, they sought to preserve this separateness of City and State elections through the current requirement of biennial, odd-year Fall elections for City office.

In 2012, the Arizona Supreme Court held that A.R.S. § 9-821.01, a statute purporting to prohibit partisan city elections, was superseded by the City's charter, because the City's method and manner of conducting city council elections was not a matter of statewide concern. *City of Tucson v. State*, 229 Ariz. 172 (2012) ("*Tucson II*"). The decision reaffirmed the Court's prior holding that charter cities control the method and manner of their election in *Strode v. Sullivan*, 72 Ariz. 360 (1951).

Less than six weeks after that Arizona Supreme Court decision, the Arizona Legislature amended A.R.S. § 16-204 by adding a new subsection (E), purporting to mandate that charter cities hold their candidate elections in even years, simultaneously with state and national candidate elections.

In 2014, citing both *Tucson II* and *Strode*, the Arizona Court of Appeals specifically held that A.R.S. § 16-204(E)'s mandate of even-year candidate elections "improperly intrudes on the constitutional authority of charter cities" and "does not preempt city charters that require odd-numbered year election dates." *City of Tucson v. State*, 235 Ariz. 434, 435 (App. 2014) ("*Tucson III*"). The Arizona Supreme Court denied review on March 17, 2015.

C. A.R.S. §§ 16-204.01 and 16-204.02 are the Legislatures' latest attempt to circumvent the City's charter power to hold odd-year elections.

Despite these consistent court rulings, the Arizona Legislature's attempted interference with the timing of the City's candidate elections now continues. During the Arizona Legislature's 2018 Regular Session (Fifty-Third Legislature, Second Regular Session), it enacted House Bill (HB) 2604, which added brand new A.R.S. §§ 16-204.01 and 16-204.02, seeking to link statewide consolidated election dates and voter turnout.

In a nutshell, A.R.S. § 16-204.01, provides that beginning with municipal elections in 2018, other than special or recall elections, if a "significant decrease in voter turnout" occurs, the municipality shall hold its subsequent elections on the even-year Statewide election dates provided for in A.R.S. § 16-204(E) beginning three (3) calendar years after the occurrence of the significant decrease in voter turnout. A.R.S. § 16-204.02(A) correspondingly lengthens the term of incumbent municipal elected officials to align with the new election dates. As stated in A.R.S. § 16-204.01, both of these statutes expressly purport to apply to charter cities as well as to all other cities and towns.

Governor Doug Ducey signed HB 2604 on April 17, 2018, and it was chaptered in the 2018 Session Laws as Chapter 247. Pursuant to Ariz. Const. Art. 4, Part 1, § 1, ¶ 3, amended A.R.S. §§ 16-204.01 and 204.02 took effect on August 3, 2018, the general effective date for nonemergency statutes passed during the Fifty-Third Legislature, Second Regular Session.

According to A.R.S. § 16-204.01, "'voter turnout' means the number of ballots cast in a specific candidate race prescribed by this section divided by the total number of active registered voters in that political subdivision or portion of a political subdivision, as applicable, or if no specific candidate race is prescribed by this section, the number of ballots cast in that political subdivision or portion of a political subdivision, as applicable, divided by the total number of active registered voters in that political subdivision or portion of a political subdivision at the election prescribed by this section."

According to A.R.S. § 16-204.01, "[s]ignificant decrease in voter turnout" means the voter turnout for the office that received the highest number of votes in the most recent candidate election for a political subdivision in which candidates are elected at large, or portion of a political subdivision if candidates are not elected at large, is at least twenty-five percent less than the voter turnout in that same political subdivision or portion of a political subdivision for the most recent election in which the office of the governor appeared on the ballot." The statute does not make clear if "at least twenty five percent less" is to be calculated by multiplying the state turnout percentage by 0.75 or by subtracting 25% from the state voter turnout percentage.

In an express attempt to circumvent or evade *Tucson III*, A.R.S. § 16-204.01 also states as follows:

A. After consideration of the court's opinion in *City of Tucson v. State*, 235 Ariz. 434 (Ct. App. 2014), the legislature finds and determines that it is a matter of statewide concern to increase voter participation in elections, including elections for cities, including charter cities, towns and other political subdivisions, and the legislature finds and declares that if cities, including charter cities, towns and other political subdivisions demonstrate low voter turnout in elections that are not held on the consolidated election dates prescribed in § 16-204, the low voter turnout constitutes sufficient factual support for requiring candidate and other elections to be held on certain specific consolidated dates. The legislature further finds and declares that after evidence of low voter turnout in city, including charter city, and town elections and in elections held for other political subdivisions, increasing voter turnout through the use of consolidated election dates for candidate and other elections as prescribed by this section is a matter of statewide concern. This section preempts all local laws, ordinances and charter provisions to the contrary.

D. Subsequent to the passage of these statutes, the City's voters reaffirmed their support for Charter-based, odd-year elections

The Mayor and Council immediately recognized that the new statutes were subject to challenge as a violation of the City's Charter powers over its own elections, as granted by Article XIII, § 2 of the Arizona Constitution and the three cases cited above.

However, the City faced a potential dilemma in deciding whether to immediately bring such a challenge. On the one hand, it was not clear that the Arizona courts would consider such a challenge by the City to be “ripe” unless and until the City had actually met the conditions for the statute’s actual application to it, which could not possibly occur prior to the City’s November 2019 election. On the other hand, waiting until the City might be faced with the application of the statute could leave the City pressed for time in seeking to litigate the matter.

For those reasons and others, the Mayor and Council decided to give City voters an opportunity to express their will about the timing of the City’s elections. Accordingly, during the Study Session held on May 22, 2018, the Mayor and Council voted to direct staff to prepare a proposed Charter amendment to refer to City voters at the November 2018 election, which if approved by voters would have moved City candidate elections to even-numbered years beginning in 2020.

Under the proposed Charter amendment (presented to the voters as Proposition 408), the Mayor and those Councilmembers whose terms were set to expire in 2019 (Wards 1, 2, and 4), would have continued in office until 2020, at which time there would be primary and general elections for those four offices for four-year terms ending in 2024. Those Councilmembers whose terms currently expire in 2021 (Wards 3, 5, and 6) would have been continued in office until 2022, at which time there would have been primary and general elections for those three offices for four-year terms ending in 2026.

Tucson’s voters rejected Proposition 408 at the November 2018 election, with 42.16% (66,699 votes) voting “Yes” and 57.84% (91,513) voting “No.” As a result, the provisions of the Tucson Charter and Arizona statutes relating to the timing of the next City candidate election remained squarely in potential, but not yet actual, conflict pending the City’s 2019 elections.

E. A conflict now exists between the City’s Charter and new A.R.S. §§ 16-204.01 and 16-204.02, and the Charter prevails.

Using A.R.S. § 16-204.01’s statutory definition, the “voter turnout” in the 2018 Statewide election, as measured within the City limits, was just over 67%. Using the statutory definition, the “voter turnout” in the City’s 2019 election was just over 39%. Using either possible method of calculation of “at least twenty five percent less,”² voter

² If a City voter turnout “at least twenty five percent less” than the state voter turnout in the 2018 Statewide election is to be calculated by multiplying the Statewide voter turnout percentage of 67% by 0.75, then any City voter turnout under 50.2% in the City’s 2019 election would have triggered the statute, assuming it can apply. If it is to be calculated by subtracting 25% from the Statewide voter turnout percentage of 67%, then any City voter turnout under 42% in the City’s 2019 election would have triggered the statute, assuming it can apply

turnout in the City's 2019 election was "at least twenty five percent less" than the state voter turnout in the 2018 Statewide election.

If A.R.S. § 16-204.01's provisions applied to the City of Tucson, the statute would have now been theoretically triggered, so there is now an actual conflict. Under the statute, the next City candidate election for Wards 3, 5, and 6 would have to occur in 2022, rather than 2021; and the 2023 election for Mayor and Wards 1, 2, and 4 would have to be rescheduled to 2024.

But A.R.S. § 16-204.01 does not apply to the City of Tucson. The City is continuing to hold elections as mandated under its Charter, rather than A.R.S. § 16-204.01, which is superseded by the Charter and inapplicable.

Accordingly, on February 19, 2020, the Mayor and Council enacted Ordinance No. 11731 (Attachment 1 to this Complaint), calling the August 3, 2021 City Primary election and the November 2, 2021 City General Election, and gave notice that these elections, and any special elections occurring on either of those dates, will be conducted as mail ballot elections supplemented by on-site voting locations in each ward.

On May 1, 2020, the City filed a special action Complaint in Pima County Superior Court seeking declaratory and injunctive relief against the application of A.R.S. § 16-204.01 to its candidate elections.

Six weeks later, on June 16, 2020, Senator Mesnard's filed his request for investigation.

III. Analysis

Given the prior history and existing precedent regarding the issue, the analysis here is straightforward, and the City's Charter controls.

Article 13, § 2 of the Arizona Constitution was adopted by the Constitutional Convention in 1910 in order to change the legal relationship between the Legislature and charter cities. The concept adopted by the drafters of the Constitution was that charter cities be granted "home rule" authority free from the interference of the Legislature. I believe that the intentions and purposes of the Constitutional Convention in adopting that provision are under direct attack by the Legislature, in particular through the enactment of SB 1487.

The intended purpose of Article 13 § 2 was recognized by the Arizona Supreme Court in *Tucson II*, ¶¶ 7-10, where the Court noted that the prevailing 19th-century view up to the time of the Constitutional Convention was that cities and towns should be entirely subordinate to the supreme authority of the Legislature:

Nineteenth century case law and legal commentary generally viewed cities and towns as entirely subordinate to and dependent on the state's legislature for any governmental authority. See, e.g., Lynn A. Baker &

Daniel B. Rodriguez, *Constitutional Home Rule and Judicial Scrutiny*, 86 Den. U. L. Rev. 1337, 1340 (2009) (noting “near consensus view” that “municipalities had only those powers delegated to them by state legislatures”); David J. Barron, *Reclaiming Home Rule*, 116 Harv. L. Rev. 2255, 2277-88 (2003) (describing nineteenth century views of local government and rise of home rule movement).

But, the Supreme Court in *Tucson II* went on to note that the framers of the Constitution rejected that view and wished to change it by the insertion of the charter city provision in Article 13, § 2 of the Constitution. The Court expressed this understanding in a lengthy discussion. I set out that discussion below, and add my own italics and bold to highlight my point that the framers of the Arizona Constitution deliberately and intentionally rejected that view:

The framers of Arizona's Constitution, however, rejected that view, valuing local autonomy. See Toni McClory, *Understanding Arizona's Constitution* 178 (2d ed. 2010). Accordingly, Arizona's Constitution bars the state legislature from enacting “local or special laws” with respect to “[i]ncorporation of cities, towns, or villages, or amending their charters,” Ariz. Const. art. 4, pt. 2, § 19(17), and requires “the legislature, by general laws, [to] provide for the incorporation and organization of cities and towns and for the classification of such cities and towns in proportion to population.” *Id.* art. 13, § 1.

More importantly, our Constitution also permits any city of more than 3500 people to “frame a charter for its own government consistent with, and subject to, the Constitution and the laws of the state.” *Id.* art. 13, § 2. ***“The purpose of the home rule charter provision of the Constitution was to render the cities adopting such charter provisions as nearly independent of state legislation as was possible.”*** *City of Tucson v. Walker*, 60 Ariz. 232, 239, 135 P.2d 223, 226 (1943) (quoting *Axberg v. City of Lincoln*, 141 Neb. 55, 2 N.W.2d 613, 614-15 (1942)).

Upon approval by the city's voters and the governor, the “charter shall become the organic law of such city and supersede any charter then existing (and all amendments thereto), and all ordinances inconsistent with said new charter.” Ariz. Const. art. 13, § 2. ***Thus, under Arizona's Constitution, eligible cities may adopt a charter—effectively, a local constitution—for their own government without action by the state legislature. “[A] home rule city deriving its powers from the Constitution is independent of the state Legislature as to all subjects of strictly local municipal concern.”*** *City of Tucson v. Tucson Sunshine Climate Club*, 64 Ariz. 1, 8-9, 164 P.2d 598, 602 (1945); see *Buntman v. City of Phoenix*, 32 Ariz. 18, 25-27, 255 P. 490, 492-93 (1927) (holding that city charter provided legislative authorization for municipal operation of railway under Ariz. Const. art. 2, § 34); *The Records of the Arizona Constitutional Convention of 1910* 515 (John S. Goff ed., 1991) [hereinafter *Records*] (statement of sponsoring delegate noting that charter provision relieved cities of need “to go to the legislature for a charter”); John D. Leshy, *The Arizona State Constitution* 265-66 (1993).

Thus, Article 13 § 2 of the Arizona Constitution was intended to change the relationship between the Legislature and those cities that adopt a charter. The change in that relationship, incorporated into the Constitution, prohibits the Legislature from interfering in the local affairs of a charter city. As the Supreme Court noted in *Tucson II* in 2012, the concept that the Legislature can control a charter city was rejected by the framers of the Constitution when Article 13 § 2 was adopted.

This principle is particularly applicable to the City's elections as shown by *Tucson II*, *Strode*, and *Tucson III*, which are controlling precedent here. *Tucson II* and *Strode* both recognize that there is no more inherently local interest than the method and manner of conducting elections for a charter city. In both cases, the Arizona Supreme Court noted several times that the method and manner of conducting local elections is not a matter of statewide concern, regardless of how the Legislature may attempt to justify its intervention. And just six years ago, in *Tucson III*, the Arizona Court of Appeals applied those two cases and their principles specifically to the precise issue now being raised again, even-year versus odd-year elections, and found that the City's charter controls this issue.

The current language of A.R.S. § 16-204.01 seeks to justify itself, and escape the controlling precedent, by utilizing the preemptive and generalized statement that "voter participation" is of statewide concern. But that attempted justification ignores the fact that the voter turnout being discussed is related to a strictly local election, which by its nature is *not* of statewide concern. See ARS § 16-204.01(4).

This basic flaw is further manifested in the statute's circular, irrational structure and definitions. For example, "voter turnout" as defined by A.R.S. § 16-204.01(D)(4) compares voter turnout in a strictly local election to voter turnout in a statewide election, an apples and oranges comparison that has no rational basis or, in the case of charter cities, legal sanction. While there are multiple reasons why voter turnout may differ between these two elections, for example, an uncontested mayoral election or a nationwide pandemic, the Legislature provides no rationale as to how the state's interests, particularly those outside of the subject charter city, are impacted if they do. The comparison itself is also fundamentally flawed as it seeks to equate two fundamentally dissimilar elections. Because there is no requirement that the comparison election have any candidates at all, let alone a mayoral candidate, the calculation required by A.R.S. § 16-204.01(D)(4) could differ wildly just based on the slate of local ballot measures at issue or whether a candidate has another candidate opposing them.

Likewise, the definition of "significant decrease in voter turnout" in A.R.S. § 16-204.01(D)(2) makes an arbitrary distinction of a 25% differential in voter turnout between the statewide election and the local election, for which the Legislature provides no specific rationale. Indeed, what the Legislature arbitrarily chooses to view as a "significant decrease" from a statewide election to an ensuing local election may simply indicate, and have been produced by, a relatively high turnout for the previous state election.

Linley Wilson
Assistant Attorney General
Page 9
July 6, 2020


This brings up yet another irrational flaw in the statute. While the statute defines "significant decrease in voter turnout," it does not define "low voter turnout," the concept that according to the Legislature "constitutes sufficient factual support for requiring candidate and other elections to be held on certain specific consolidated dates" in even years (A.R.S. §§ 16-204.01(A), 16-204(E)). The failure to do so is significant, especially given the Legislature's attempt to compare state and local elections that have no common characteristics. A locality's voter turnout may actually be "high" or "very high" according to objective, uniformly applied criteria, including comparison with other localities. But according to the Legislature that does not matter, so long as there is a 25% decrease in voter turnout between a state and local election that, as stated above, differ in their basic characteristics and cannot be compared in the first place. Conversely, according to the Legislature's flawed thinking, a jurisdiction with an objectively low (e.g., 10%) voter turnout for *both* state *and* local elections apparently does not have any voter turnout problem at all that would or should make the statute applicable.

This brings us right back to the basic, fatal problem with this statutory scheme. It fails to describe, justify, or provide any other rational basis for, why an election concerning strictly local issues and candidates is of statewide importance so as to allow this state interference and attempted control in the first place. *See e.g., Tucson III*, 235 Ariz. at 439–40 (failure to show factual support for how the state's own interests would be affected fatal to similar attempt at showing statewide concern).

The Legislature has many options to choose from in seeking to increase voter participation, but those options do not include removing from Tucson's voters their constitutionally granted charter authority to determine "whether they want their municipal elections shaped by state, county, or federal partisan issues." *Tucson III*, 235 Ariz. at 439.

The City's charter-based choice of odd-year elections does not violate state law, and the Attorney General should so find.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'MR', with a stylized flourish extending from the end.

Mike Rankin
City Attorney

MR/dg



STATE OF ARIZONA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

INVESTIGATIVE REPORT By MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL July 17, 2020	No. 20-002 Re: Whether Tucson City Ordinance 11731 Violates A.R.S. § 16-204.01
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

To: The Honorable Doug Ducey, Governor of Arizona
The Honorable Karen Fann, President of the Arizona State Senate
The Honorable Rusty Bowers, Speaker of the Arizona House of Representatives
The Honorable J.D. Mesnard, Requesting Member of the Arizona Legislature
The Honorable Katie Hobbs, Secretary of State of Arizona

I. Question Presented in Legislator Request

Following a significant decrease in voter turnout at the 2019 Tucson city election compared to the 2018 statewide election, does Tucson city ordinance 11731 violate A.R.S. § 16-204.01 by calling for elections for city council, as well as for city ballot measures, to continue to be held off cycle in 2021 rather than on the statewide election dates in 2022?

II. Summary

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes (“A.R.S.”) § 41-194.01, the Attorney General’s Office (“Office”) has conducted its investigation pursuant to a legislator request relating to City of Tucson (“City”) Ordinance 11731 (“Ordinance”) enacted on February 19, 2020, which calls for elections for city council, as well as for city ballot measures, to continue to be held “off-cycle” in 2021 rather than on the statewide election dates in 2022. *See Exhibit A.*¹

¹ An “off-cycle” election refers to “[a]n election held on a date different from state and national elections[.]” *City of Tucson v. State*, 235 Ariz. 434, 435 n.1 (2014) (“*Tucson III*”). The City refers to these elections as “odd-year elections.” Response at 2.

Arizona law establishes that when charter cities “demonstrate low voter turnout in elections that are not held on the consolidated election dates prescribed in § 16–204, the low voter turnout constitutes sufficient factual support for requiring candidate and other elections to be held on certain specific consolidated dates.” A.R.S. § 16–204.01; *see also* A.R.S. § 16–204(E), (F) (establishing consolidated election dates for candidate elections and non-candidate elections, beginning with elections held in 2014 and later). The Ordinance irreconcilably conflicts with A.R.S. § 16–204.01 because—despite the low voter turnout in the City’s 2019 election, which triggers the statute’s requirement that candidate and other elections be held on statutorily-prescribed consolidated dates—the Ordinance calls for an off-cycle election in 2021.

As a charter city, *see* Ariz. Const. art. XIII, § 2, the City may enact ordinances that conflict with state law when those ordinances relate to matters of purely local concern. *See State ex rel. Brnovich v. City of Tucson*, 242 Ariz. 588, 599, ¶42 (2017) (“*Tucson IV*”) (whether a City’s Ordinance or Code controls over a conflicting state law “essentially hinges on whether the subject matter is characterized as of statewide or purely local interest”) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Arguing that “the analysis here is straightforward,” the City contends that its Charter, which authorizes the Ordinance, controls and supersedes A.R.S. § 16–204.01. Response at 6. The City argues a “strictly local election ... by its nature is *not* of statewide concern.” *Id.* at 8.

But the subject matter at issue here is when to hold a local election, which is likely not a matter of purely local concern because, as the Legislature found, “increasing voter turnout through the use of consolidated election dates for candidate and other elections as prescribed by this section *is* a matter of statewide concern.” A.R.S. § 16–204.01(A) (emphasis added). And the Arizona Supreme Court has stated that some aspects of local elections are matters of

statewide concern, including specifically “election dates.” *City of Tucson v. State*, 229 Ariz. 172, 178, ¶35 (2012) (“*Tucson II*”) (citing *City of Tucson v. State*, 191 Ariz. 436, 439 (App. 1997) (“*Tucson I*”). The Attorney General of California recently likewise opined that “[e]lections are less ‘complete’ when there is significantly lower voter turnout because fewer eligible voters are participating in the electoral process,” and he concluded that “off-cycle elections may impinge on voting by causing low voter turnout” and “[t]his turnout therefore undermines electoral integrity and thus involves a matter of statewide concern.” Cal. Att’y Gen. Op. 16–603, 100 Ops. Cal. Atty. Gen. 4, *4 (July 11, 2017).

Nonetheless, “existing law” does not “clearly and unambiguously compel[]” the Office’s determination that the decision of when to hold local elections is a matter of statewide, not local, concern. *See Tucson IV*, 242 Ariz. at 595, ¶25 (stating that a “does violate” determination under A.R.S. § 41–194.01(B)(1) is for “when existing law clearly and unambiguously compels that conclusion”). This is because the Court of Appeals subsequently distinguished the Supreme Court’s statements in *Tucson II* as dicta and held that charter cities could supersede a different state law regarding election dates. *See City of Tucson v. State*, 235 Ariz. 434, 438, 440, ¶¶12, 21 (App. 2014) (“*Tucson III*”).

For purposes of this Report, the Office therefore concludes that the Ordinance **may violate** state law, and will expeditiously seek relief in the nature of a declaratory action against the City to permit the Arizona Supreme Court “to resolve the issue[.]” *See id.* (quoting A.R.S. § 41–194.01(B)(2)).

III. Background

A. The Office’s Investigation

On June 17, 2020, the Office received from Senator J.D. Mesnard a request for legal review of the Ordinance pursuant to A.R.S. § 41–194.01 (“Request”).² The Office asked the City for a response. The City fully cooperated with the Office’s review, including providing a voluntary response (“Response”). In conducting the required investigation during the limited 30-day period prescribed in A.R.S. § 41–194.01, the Office reviewed relevant materials and authorities.

The Office’s legal conclusions are set forth below. The facts recited in this Report serve as a basis for those conclusions, but they are not administrative findings of fact and are not made for any purpose other than those set forth in A.R.S. § 41–194.01.

B. Relevant State Laws And Arizona Case Law

The Request asks whether the Ordinance violates A.R.S. § 16–204.01, and also notes that the Ordinance may conflict with two other related statutes, A.R.S. §§ 16–204 and –204.02. The summary below provides context and relevant background information about these statutes and associated Arizona case law.

i. *Strode, Tucson I*, And A.R.S. § 16–204(A)

The Arizona Constitution states that a city exceeding a minimum population threshold “may frame a charter for its own government consistent with, and subject to, the Constitution and the laws of the state.” Ariz. Const. art. XIII, § 2. Nearly 70 years ago, the Arizona Supreme Court held that a charter city has the power under this provision to supersede state law on matters regarding the city’s “governmental structure[,]” *i.e.*, “who shall be its governing officers and

² The Request is dated June 16, 2020, but the Office did not receive it until June 17, 2020.

how they shall be selected.” *Strode v. Sullivan*, 72 Ariz. 360, 368 (1951). This is the core holding of *Strode*, which involved whether city council elections would be partisan or nonpartisan. *See id.* at 361.

In 1997, in *Tucson I*, 191 Ariz. 43, the Arizona Court of Appeals considered the City’s challenge to A.R.S. § 16–204, which then stated as follows:

While the legislature recognizes that the method of conducting elections by political subdivisions including charter counties and cities may be a matter of local concern, the legislature finds and determines that for the purposes of increasing voter participation and for decreasing the costs to the taxpayers it is a matter of statewide concern that all elections in this state be conducted on a limited number of days and, therefore, the legislature finds and declares that the holding of all elections on certain specific consolidated days is a matter of statewide concern.

A.R.S. § 16–204(A) (1996).

The City argued that the statute was “invalid as applied to the City because it conflicts with Chapter XVI of the Tucson City Charter[,]” which authorized the City Council “to hold special elections on days other than those specified under the consolidated election schedule.” *Tucson I*, 191 Ariz. at 437. The court of appeals reasoned that “[t]he language of § 16–204 and its legislative history both indicate a strong state interest.” *Id.* at 439. The court of appeals concluded, “we agree [with the Legislature] that the statute pertains to matters of statewide concern and does not ‘relate to purely municipal affairs.’” *Id.* (quoting *Strode*, 72 Ariz. at 365). Accordingly, *Tucson I* held that “[t]he [L]egislature has acted in an area of statewide concern and its legislation takes precedence over the City’s Charter.” *Id.* at 440.³

³ The Arizona Supreme Court later disapproved of *Tucson I*’s “use of a balancing test in its analysis” to “determin[e] whether local or statewide interests were ‘paramount.’” *Tucson IV*, 242 Ariz. at 604, ¶64 (quoting *Tucson I*, 191 Ariz. at 439). But *Tucson IV* did not otherwise disturb *Tucson I*’s holding.

ii. 2012 Amendment to A.R.S. § 16–204(A) And *Tucson II*

In 2012, the Legislature modified A.R.S. § 16–204(A) by (1) deleting the first clause of the sentence (therefore removing the Legislature’s previous recognition that “the method of conducting elections” by charter cities “may be a matter of local concern”); and (2) adding a second sentence, which states: “This section preempts all local laws, ordinances and charter provisions to the contrary.” A.R.S. § 16–204(A)(2012); *see* Laws 2012, Ch. 353, § 1.

The same year, in *Tucson II*, 229 Ariz. at 175–76, ¶¶18, 20, the Arizona Supreme Court noted that *Strode* “considered a charter city’s authority to structure its own government ... [and] applied a formalistic analysis: whether general state laws displace charter provisions depends on whether the subject matter is characterized as of statewide or purely local interest.” Similar to the partisan/nonpartisan composition of the city council in *Strode*, the issue in *Tucson II* was whether council members would be elected from districts or citywide (or a hybrid of the two). *Tucson II*, 229 Ariz. at 175, ¶16. The Arizona Supreme Court thus recognized that like *Strode*, the issue was “a charter city’s authority to structure its own government.” *Id.* at ¶18.

Tucson II ultimately held that “electors in charter cities may determine under their charters whether to constitute their councils on an at-large or district basis and whether to conduct their elections on a partisan basis” notwithstanding a conflicting state law. 229 Ariz. at 180, ¶47. But the Arizona Supreme Court expressly stated, “[w]e do not question that some aspects of the conduct of local elections may be of statewide concern[,]” noting that “election dates ... involve matters qualitatively different from determining how a city will constitute its governing council.” *Id.* at 178, ¶35 (citing *Tucson I*, 191 Ariz. at 439; A.R.S. § 9–821.01(A)).

In sum, both *Strode* and *Tucson II* addressed provisions in city charters concerning how to structure the city’s own government; these cases did not address the timing of city elections.

iii. ***Tucson III* And The Legislature’s Enactment of A.R.S. § 16–204.01 & A.R.S. § 16–204.02 In 2018**

Two years later, in *Tucson III*, 235 Ariz. 434, 440, ¶¶19–21, the Arizona Court of Appeals upheld an injunction prohibiting the State from requiring the City of Tucson and the City of Phoenix to comply with A.R.S. § 16–204(E). Section 16–204(E) provides that, for elections held in 2014 and later, “a candidate election held for or on behalf of any political subdivision of this state other than a special election to fill a vacancy or a recall election may only be held on [certain] dates and only in even-numbered years[.]” In *Tucson III*, the court of appeals agreed with the State that the Arizona Supreme Court’s decision in *Tucson II* “arguably places election dates outside of local autonomy and interest.” *Tucson III*, 235 Ariz. at 438, ¶12. Nonetheless, the court of appeals decided that the Arizona Supreme Court’s specific statement in *Tucson II* (referring to “election dates” as a “qualitatively different” matter than the structure of a city’s council) was dicta. *Id.* Citing *Strode*, *Tucson II*, and a decision of the Washington Supreme Court, *Tucson III* held that Tucson and Phoenix could continue to hold off-cycle elections notwithstanding the provisions of A.R.S. § 16–204. *Id.* at 440, ¶20. In its analysis, the court of appeals noted that there were “no legislative findings to support” the conclusion that aligning off-cycle elections with statewide elections “increases voter participation[.]” *Id.* at 439, ¶17.

In specific response to *Tucson III*, the Legislature added A.R.S. § 16–204.01—the primary subject of the Request here—in 2018. *See* Laws 2018, Ch. 247, § 1. Section 16–204.01 provides, in relevant part, as follows:

A. After consideration of the court’s opinion in *City of Tucson v. State*, 235 Ariz. 434 (Ct. App. 2014), the legislature finds and determines that it is a matter of statewide concern to increase voter participation in elections, including elections for cities, including charter cities, towns and other political subdivisions, and the legislature finds and declares that if cities, including charter cities, towns and

other political subdivisions demonstrate low voter turnout in elections that are not held on the consolidated election dates prescribed in § 16-204, the low voter turnout constitutes sufficient factual support for requiring candidate and other elections to be held on certain specific consolidated dates. The legislature further finds and declares that after evidence of low voter turnout in city, including charter city, and town elections and in elections held for other political subdivisions, increasing voter turnout through the use of consolidated election dates for candidate and other elections as prescribed by this section is a matter of statewide concern. This section preempts all local laws, ordinances and charter provisions to the contrary.

B. A political subdivision shall hold its elections on a statewide election date if its previous elections on a nonstatewide election date resulted in a significant decrease in voter turnout in that political subdivision.

C. Beginning with elections in 2018, for each political subdivision's elections, other than special elections or recall elections, if a significant decrease in voter turnout occurs as prescribed in subsection B of this section, the political subdivision shall hold its subsequent elections on the statewide election dates beginning three calendar years after the occurrence of the significant decrease in voter turnout.

D. For the purposes of this section:

...

2. "Significant decrease in voter turnout" means the voter turnout for the office that received the highest number of votes in the most recent candidate election for a political subdivision in which candidates are elected at large, or portion of a political subdivision if candidates are not elected at large, is at least twenty-five percent less than the voter turnout in that same political subdivision or portion of a political subdivision for the most recent election in which the office of the governor appeared on the ballot.

3. "Statewide election date" means the date of the regular statewide primary election and the regular statewide general election.

4. "Voter turnout" means the number of ballots cast in a specific candidate race prescribed by this section divided by the total number of active registered voters in that political subdivision or portion of a political subdivision, as applicable, or if no specific candidate race is prescribed by this section, the number of ballots cast in that political subdivision or portion of a political subdivision, as applicable, divided by the total number of active registered voters in that political subdivision or portion of a political subdivision at the election prescribed by this section.

The Legislature also added A.R.S. § 16–204.02 in 2018. *See* Laws 2018, Ch. 247, § 1. Section 16–204.02(A) states that “[n]otwithstanding any other law, in order to comply with the consolidation of election dates if required under § 16–204.01 ... the terms of office for elected officials of the political subdivision shall be lengthened at the time of consolidation to align with the consolidated election dates.”

C. The City’s Ordinance

On February 19, 2020, the City enacted the Ordinance, which calls for: (1) “a City primary election, to be held on Tuesday, August 3, 2021, at which candidates for the offices of Council Members from Wards Three, Five, and Six shall be nominated for each properly and duly qualified political party”; and (2) “a City general election, to be held on Tuesday, November 2, 2021, at which three Council Members representing Wards Three, Five, and Six shall be elected” for a 4-year term spanning from December 6, 2021 until December 1, 2025. *See* Exhibit A. The City enacted the Ordinance pursuant to Chapter XVI, § 6 and Chapter IV, § 1, ¶20 of the Tucson Charter, which authorize the “mayor and council” “by ordinance” to “provide for the holding of all municipal elections” and “provide for the manner in which and the times at which any municipal election shall be held[,]” respectively.

IV. Analysis

Although case law interpreting whether municipal election dates are matters of purely local concern does not “clearly and unambiguously” compel the conclusion that they are not, the best reading of the cases is that 1) *Strode* and *Tucson II* stand for the proposition that the matter of purely local concern at issue is a charter city’s “governmental structure[,]” *i.e.*, “who shall be its governing officers and how they shall be selected,” and 2) the Legislature requiring

consolidated election dates, particularly when it is triggered by an actual decrease in voter turnout, does not fall within that narrow category.⁴

As noted above, “significant decrease in voter turnout” is statutorily defined under A.R.S. § 16–204.01(D)(2). The City states that “[u]sing A.R.S. § 16-204.01’s statutory definition, the ‘voter turnout’ in the 2018 Statewide election, as measured within the City limits, was just over 67%.” Response at 5.⁵ Voter turnout in the City’s 2019 election was a mere 39.26%. See City of Tucson Official Canvas for the 2019 general and special election, *available at* https://www.tucsonaz.gov/files/clerks/COT_2019_OfficialResults_General_11122019.pdf (last visited July 15, 2020).

In February 2020, the City enacted the Ordinance calling for more off-cycle elections, even though voter turnout in the City’s 2019 election (39.26%) was “at least twenty-five percent less than the voter turnout in [the City] ... for the most recent election in which the office of the governor appeared on the ballot” (67%) under A.R.S. § 16–204.01(D)(2). See Response at 6.

The City concedes that the fall-off of voter turnout in the City’s 2019 election meets the statutory definition under either of two possible methods of calculating “significant decrease in

⁴ There is only one other category of purely local concern, which is “the manner and method of disposal of real estate of a city.” *Tucson IV*, 242 Ariz. at 602, ¶57 (quoting *City of Tucson v. Arizona Alpha of Sigma Alpha Epsilon*, 67 Ariz. 330, 336 (1948)). That is clearly not implicated here.

⁵ The Office notes that the overall voter turnout statewide in the 2018 general election was 64.85%. See Arizona Secretary of State, Voter Registration & Historical Election Data, *available at* <http://azsos.gov/elections/voter-registration-historical-election-data> (last visited July 15, 2020). The Office further notes that in Pima County, where the City is located, voter turnout in the 2018 general election was 70.55%. See Pima County Election Results, 2018 General Election, *available at* https://webcms.pima.gov/UserFiles/Servers/Server_6/File/Government/elections/Election%20Results%20and%20Information/Results%20g-2018.pdf (last visited July 15, 2020). The Office also found in its investigation that the number of registered voters in the City currently comprises approximately 46.55% of the registered voters in Pima County. Given this publicly-available information, the Office agrees with the City that its 2019 election produced a “significant decrease in voter turnout” as defined by A.R.S. § 16-204.01.

voter turnout.” *See id.* at 5–6 & n.2. It is therefore undisputed that the City’s 2019 election produced a “significant decrease in voter turnout” as defined in A.R.S. § 16–204.01. *See id.*

A. The Ordinance Conflicts With State Law

The City correctly admits that a “conflict now exists between the City’s Charter and new A.R.S. §§ 16–204.01 and 16–204.02.” Response at 5. The Ordinance establishes that the City’s primary and general elections will be held in 2021, an off-cycle year, despite the significant decrease in voter turnout between the 2018 and 2019 elections. *See* Exhibit A. There is a conflict between the Charter-authorized Ordinance and A.R.S. § 16–204.01 because when a significant decrease in voter turnout occurs in any city, including a charter city, the statute requires the city hold its elections on statewide consolidated election dates, *i.e.*, in even-numbered years. *See* A.R.S. §§ 16–204(E), 16–204.01(A), (C); *see also Tucson I*, 191 Ariz. at 437–38 (observing that the requirement “under the statute’s consolidated election schedule that special elections be held on certain days interferes with Chapter XVI, § 6, which allows the City to schedule special elections on other days” and therefore, “the City Charter conflicts with the statute”).

Further, state law requires such elections to be held “three calendar years after the occurrence of the significant decrease in voter turnout” with “the terms of office for elected officials of the political subdivision ... lengthened ... to align with the consolidated election dates.” A.R.S. §§ 16–204.01(C), 16–204.02(A). Thus, the Ordinance’s requirement that the election be held two years after the occurrence of the significant decrease in voter turnout and failure to lengthen the term of office for the current office holders also conflicts with state law. Simply put, the City cannot comply with the Ordinance while also complying with A.R.S. §§ 16–204, 16–204.01, and 16–204.02.

The only question remaining is whether the state law or the Ordinance controls, *i.e.*, whether the subject matter is of statewide or purely local concern. *See City of Tucson IV*, 242 Ariz. at 599, ¶42. As discussed below, the decision of when to hold a local election likely involves a matter of statewide concern, irrespective of whether a City's elections produce evidence of low voter turnout. And when the statute is expressly triggered by low voter turnout in a city's election, the state's interests become even more compelling and clear.

B. Election Dates Are Not A Matter Of Purely Local Concern Because They Implicate The Statewide Interest In Increasing Voter Turnout, Among Other Interests

The City argues that “[g]iven the prior history and existing precedent regarding the issue, the analysis here is straightforward, and the City’s Charter controls.” Response at 6. The City is likely mistaken because existing precedent weighs against the City’s arguments.

The Legislature first declared in 1996, when A.R.S. § 16–204 was enacted, that “for the purposes of increasing voter participation ... it is a matter of statewide concern that all elections in this state be conducted on a limited number of days” and “that the holding of all elections on certain specific consolidated days is a matter of statewide concern.” A.R.S. § 16–204(A) (1996). Indeed, the decision of when to hold local elections implicates the statewide interest of increasing voter turnout, which relates to at least two other statewide interests: (1) the constitutional right to vote; and (2) the integrity of the electoral process. *See Cal. Att’y Gen. Op.* 16–603, *supra* 3, at *4 (noting that a charter city’s authority over municipal affairs “is not absolute,” reasoning that “[e]lections are less ‘complete’ when there is significantly lower voter turnout because fewer eligible voters are participating in the electoral process,” and concluding that “off-cycle elections may impinge on voting by causing low voter turnout” and “[t]his turnout

therefore undermines electoral integrity and thus involves a matter of statewide concern”).⁶ These statewide interests are so fundamental that Arizona’s Constitution safeguards these rights by providing that “[a]ll political power is inherent in the people,” Ariz. Const. art. II, § 2, “[a]ll elections shall be free and equal,” Ariz. Const. art. II, § 21, and that “[t]he rights of citizens of the United States to vote and hold office shall not be denied or abridged... on the account of sex,” Ariz. Const. art. VII, § 2. And the Constitution empowers the Legislature to enact laws to “secure the purity of elections and guard against abuses of the elective franchise,” Ariz. Const. art. VII, § 12.

First, the State has an interest in protecting the right to vote of its citizens by ensuring that cities encourage voter turnout through the alignment of election dates. *See, e.g.*, A.R.S. § 16–940(A) (“The people of Arizona declare our intent to create a clean elections system that ... will encourage citizen participation in the political process”). While voter turnout is impacted by a myriad of factors, according to one study, “timing is clearly the most important factor affecting turnout[.]” Public Policy Institute of California, Zoltan L. Hajnal, Paul G. Lewis, Hugh Louch, *Municipal Elections in California: Turnout, Timing, and Competition*, at 64 (2002), available at https://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_302ZHR.pdf (last accessed July 9, 2020). Another study pointed out that “[e]lections held at odd times force potential voters to bear additional costs to participate in the political process.” Christopher R. Berry & Jacob E. Gersen, *The Timing of Elections*, 77 U.Chi.L.Rev. 37, 39 (2010). Off-cycle elections, therefore, implicate the

⁶ The California Attorney General made this conclusion even when the California Constitution expressly “gives charter cities the power to legislate ‘in respect to municipal affairs’ over inconsistent state law.” *Id.* (quoting Cal. Const., art. XI, § 5(a)); *see* Joseph R. Grodin *et al.*, *The California State Constitution* 280–81 (2d ed. 2016) (discussing 1896, 1914, and 1970 amendments that changed prior version, which “allowed a city to adopt ‘a charter for its own government,’ but those charters remained ‘subject to and controlled by general laws.’”). The Arizona Constitution lacks any such textual commitment to charter cities. *See Tucson IV*, 242 Ariz. at 606, ¶¶73–78 (Bolick, J., concurring) (discussing Arizona case law).

fundamental right to vote. The State has a significant interest in protecting the right of citizens to vote by requiring city election dates to coincide with statewide consolidated election dates under A.R.S. § 16–204.

Second, the Arizona Constitution and case law confirm that the State has a substantial interest in safeguarding the integrity of elections. *See* Ariz. Const. art. VII, § 12; *Miller v. Picacho Elementary School Dist. No. 33*, 179 Ariz. 178, 179 (1994) (“the integrity of the electoral process is an issue of statewide importance”); *Kromko v. State*, 132 Ariz. 161, 163 (1982) (“The preservation of the integrity of the electoral process is a legitimate state goal.”). According to one study, due to the increased costs in participation for off-cycle elections, the result is “selective participation” in which “single-issue interest groups are especially influential.” Berry & Gersen, *supra* 13, at 37. Off-cycle elections contribute to the undesired result of experiencing “fewer eligible voters [who] are participating in the electoral process[.]” which in turn “undermines electoral integrity.” Cal. Att’y Gen. Op. 16–603, *supra* 3, at *4. Accordingly, the State has a substantial interest in encouraging voter turnout to safeguard the integrity of elections.

In light of the State’s interests in protecting the fundamental right to vote, safeguarding the integrity of elections, and increasing voter participation, it is not clearly established that the decision of when to hold local elections is a matter of purely local concern as the City contends.

i. Under The Arizona Supreme Court’s Decisions In *Strode* And *Tucson II* Election Dates Are Not A Matter Of Purely Local Concern

The timing of an election does not implicate “the method and manner of conducting elections” and therefore is not of purely local concern. The City disagrees and asserts that “*Tucson II* and *Strode* both recognize that there is no more inherently local interest than the method and manner of conducting elections for a charter city.” Response at 8. To the contrary,

these cases support the Office’s determination that the decision of when to hold local elections is a matter of statewide concern. In fact, in *Tucson II*, the last opinion from the Arizona Supreme Court discussing statewide regulation of charter city elections, the Court clearly stated that election dates are not a matter of purely local concern. 229 Ariz. at 178, ¶35 (citing *Tucson I*, 191 Ariz. at 439).

Strode addressed a city’s power to determine the city’s “governmental structure[,]” *i.e.*, “who shall be its governing officers and how they shall be selected.” 72 Ariz. at 368. Nothing in *Strode* suggests that mere election dates are encompassed within a city’s local concern of structuring its own government. And in *Tucson II*, the Arizona Supreme Court readily acknowledged that “[w]e do not question that some aspects of the conduct of local elections may be of statewide concern” and that “election dates ... involve matters qualitatively different from determining how a city will constitute its governing council.” 229 Ariz. at 178, ¶35. Thus, contrary to the City’s position, the Arizona Supreme Court has never suggested, let alone held, that all aspects of local elections are “inherently” matters of purely local concern. Instead, the supreme court’s decisions demonstrate that matters involving the structure of local government (as in *Strode*) are matters of local concern, in contrast to mere election dates, which are “qualitatively different” from decisions relating to the composition of a city’s council. *See id.*

ii. The Arizona Court Of Appeals’ Decision In *Tucson III* Does Not Alter The Result

To support its position that the Ordinance here prevails over state law, the City also relies on the Arizona Court of Appeals’ decision in *Tucson III*, 235 Ariz. at 440, ¶20, which held that Tucson’s Charter superseded A.R.S. § 16–204(E). *See* Response at 8 (arguing that *Tucson III* addressed “the precise issue now being raised again, even-year versus odd-year elections, and found that the City’s charter controls this issue”). Notably, however, the City does not mention

the Arizona Court of Appeals’ 1997 holding in *Tucson I* that A.R.S. § 16–204(A) “pertains to matters of statewide concern and does not ‘relate to purely municipal affairs’” and therefore “takes precedence over the City’s Charter.” 191 Ariz. at 439–40 (quoting *Strode*, 72 Ariz. at 365). At a minimum, the holdings of *Tucson I* and *Tucson III* are not entirely consistent.

To be sure, *Tucson III* attempted, briefly, to distinguish *Tucson I* on the basis that the “practical impact on the City” as a result of the 1996 law in *Tucson I* was “a one-week change in the date of its primary election[,]” whereas A.R.S. § 16–204(E) “would require major changes to city charters and election procedures, including altering the terms of office for some officials.” *Tucson III*, 235 Ariz. at 438, ¶13. *Tucson III* then “address[ed] whether an off-cycle election is an integral component of the method and manner of conducting elections” and faulted the State for failing to advance “facts or legislative findings to support” the Legislature’s express declaration in A.R.S. § 16–204(A) of a statewide interest in increasing voter participation. *Id.* at 438–39, ¶¶13–17.

Tucson III neither reconciled its holding with, nor disapproved of, *Tucson I*. Again, in *Tucson II*, the Arizona Supreme Court unequivocally explained that election dates are not matters of purely local concern, and it did not indicate that the analysis turns on whether statewide legislation impacts the timing of local elections by one week or one year. *See* 229 Ariz. at 178, ¶35. The court in *Tucson III* acknowledged that “*Tucson II* arguably places election dates outside of local autonomy and interest,” but attempted to write off as dicta *Tucson II*’s statements about timing. 235 Ariz. at 438, ¶12. *Tucson II*’s statements should not be so lightly disregarded. The statements were an important component of the Court’s analysis about the Legislature’s authority over charter city elections. In light of the Arizona Supreme Court’s decision in *Tucson II*—which is consistent with *Tucson I*’s holding—the Legislature’s decision to align

charter city election dates with those for statewide and federal elections does not violate the Arizona Constitution.

C. The State Law At Issue Presents An Even Easier Question To Resolve Because It Is Only Triggered By An Actual Decrease In Voter Turnout

Setting aside *Strode*, *Tucson I*, and *Tucson II*, A.R.S. § 16–204.01 is only implicated when off-cycle city elections actually result in a significant decrease in voter turnout. While not dispositive of the issue, see *Tucson II*, 229 Ariz. at 178, ¶¶33–34, the text of the 2018 law expressly states that “it is a matter of statewide concern to increase voter participation in elections, including elections for ... charter cities” and that “after evidence of low voter turnout” in charter city elections, “increasing voter turnout through the use of consolidated election dates for candidate and other elections as prescribed by this section is a matter of statewide concern.” A.R.S. § 16–204.01(A).

The City maintains that A.R.S. § 16–204.01 “does not apply” to Tucson as a charter city because the “method and manner of conducting elections” is a “purely local concern.” Response at 2, 6. But regulation of the timing of city elections with the intent to increase voter turnout—after low voter turnout has indisputably occurred in city elections—is *not* a purely local concern. For the same reasons discussed above, voter turnout implicates at least two other statewide interests: (1) the constitutional right to vote; and (2) the integrity of the electoral process. See *supra*, Section IV(B). These interests become even more pronounced, and are even more compelling to override local interests, when there is evidence that fewer Arizonans are exercising their right to vote in local elections.

Putting aside the correctness of the Arizona Court of Appeals’ holding in *Tucson III* (see *supra*, Section IV(B)(ii)), *Tucson III* was predicated on the State’s failure to proffer factual findings to support the 2012 amendments to A.R.S. § 16–204 (which banned off-cycle elections)

and to affirm the Legislature’s prior declaration in A.R.S. § 16–204(A) that voter participation was a statewide concern. *See Tucson III*, 235 Ariz. at 439–40, ¶¶17–19. But the Legislature specifically responded to *Tucson III*’s holding through the enactment of A.R.S. § 16–204.01. *See* A.R.S. § 16–204.01(A).⁷ Although the statute does not help the City here, A.R.S. § 16–204.01 does *not* require cities and other political subdivisions to align their local election dates with statewide election dates when such local elections do not produce a “significant decrease in voter turnout.” *Id.* The Legislature therefore allowed political subdivisions to continue to schedule elections off-cycle, as long as the statewide interest in voter participation is not infringed.

And the Legislature not only reaffirmed what it declared in A.R.S. § 16–204(A)—that it is “a matter of statewide concern to increase voter participation in elections,” *see* A.R.S. § 16–204.01(A)—but also created a facially even-handed, uniform, and quantitative mechanism to identify and define evidence of a “significant decrease in voter turnout.” A.R.S. §16–204.01(A), (D).⁸ In other words, the Legislature provided charter cities with an opportunity to safeguard the

⁷ As the text of the statute itself indicates, the Legislature enacted A.R.S. § 16–204.01 “[a]fter consideration of the court’s opinion in [*Tucson III*.]” A.R.S. § 16–204.01(A). The statute likely would never have come into existence if the Arizona Court of Appeals’ holding in *Tucson III* had been consistent with its earlier holding in *Tucson I*.

⁸ The City claims there are deficiencies in the Legislature’s chosen statutory mechanism for identifying a drop-off in voter turnout, but such deficiencies are not evident in the instant application. The 2019 election was held pre-pandemic with both a contested mayoral election and a high-profile ballot initiative that garnered national attention. *See, e.g.* Jonathon J. Cooper, *Sanctuary City Initiative Divides Liberal Tucson, Arizona*, ABC NEWS, Nov. 3, 2019, <https://abcnews.go.com/US/wireStory/sanctuary-city-initiative-divides-liberal-tucson-arizona-66724138>. Yet voter turnout was only 39.26%. *See* City of Tucson Official Canvass for the 2019 general and special election, available at https://www.tucsonaz.gov/files/clerks/COT_2019_OfficialResults_General_11122019.pdf (last visited July 15, 2020).

right to vote and encourage participation in the political process. When triggered, however, this mechanism provides the evidentiary basis that *Tucson III* found to be lacking to support the State’s regulation of the timing of local elections. For this reason, and contrary to the City’s assertion, *Tucson III* does not dictate the outcome here. The Legislature’s enactment of A.R.S. § 16–204.01 renders *Tucson III* materially distinguishable because the statute is now triggered by quantifiable evidence of low voter turnout, which bolsters the Legislature’s declaration of statewide interest in A.R.S. § 16–204.01(A).

* * *

As a general matter, the decision of when to hold local elections involves “a matter of statewide concern to increase voter participation in elections,” *see* A.R.S. §§ 16–204(A) and – 204.01(A). When local off-cycle elections produce evidence of low voter turnout (as the City’s 2019 election unquestionably did), the decision of when to hold local elections is even clearly a matter of statewide, not purely local, concern. Election dates of local elections also involve statewide interests in the right to vote and election integrity. In light of these State interests, the Ordinance likely does not prevail over the statute.

Further, in reviewing the election result data from the City’s website, the voter turnout for the City’s 2019 election was the *highest* reported of all general elections in the past decade, belying any supposition that voter turnout may have suffered from an unusual downturn. Specifically, the general election voter turnout results were listed on each report as follows: 39.26% in 2019, 35.8% in 2017, 36.61% in 2015, 30.38% in 2013, and 31.07% in 2011. *See* City of Tucson General Election Results, *available at* <https://www.tucsonaz.gov/clerks/elections> (last visited July 15, 2020).

For purposes of this Report, the Office declines to further analyze the City’s arguments that A.R.S. § 16–204.01 is flawed or lacks a rational basis, and reserves the opportunity to contest the City’s statutory arguments in a court proceeding.

V. Conclusion

Strode, *Tucson I*, *Tucson II*, and related principles support the Office’s analysis. Although the Office maintains that *Tucson III* is distinguishable, it is not unreasonable for the City to argue, relying on *Tucson III*, that the Ordinance governs a matter of purely local concern and therefore supersedes A.R.S. § 16–204.01. Under these circumstances, “existing law [does not] clearly and unambiguously compel[]” the Office’s conclusion. *See Tucson IV*, 242 Ariz. at 595, ¶25. The Office therefore concludes under A.R.S. § 41–194.01(B) that the Ordinance **may violate** state law, and will expeditiously seek relief in the nature of declaratory judgment against the City in the Arizona Supreme Court.



Linley Wilson
Deputy Solicitor General

Charter Amendment X

Dear Fellow Tucsonian:

On November 1, 1960, you will be asked to vote on six amendments to the Tucson City Charter. These amendments have been submitted to you in the firm belief that these changes will benefit all of Tucson by saving money, increasing administrative efficiency, and providing more governmental stability.

Set forth below is a summary of these amendments along with the major reasons the Mayor and Council feel that each deserves serious consideration.

FOUR YEAR TERMS FOR MAYOR AND COUNCILMEN

The first amendment would increase terms of office for the Mayor and Councilmen from two years to four years and change the date of City elections from the Spring to Fall. By taking office in the Fall instead of in the Spring, a new Councilman or Mayor will be able to have five months experience prior to adopting the annual budget. At present, the new Mayor or Councilman must immediately adopt a budget without benefit of experience. City elections in the Fall would not conflict with state or national elections since they would be held when no state or national elections are scheduled preserving the local nature of the City elections. Under this amendment City elections would be held every two years instead of every year. This will save an average of approximately \$10,000 per year. This change has been adopted by more than 100 cities in the last five years. In order to get on the new schedule of elections, terms of all incumbents will be extended by seven months.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND POSITION OF POST AUDITOR

This amendment will provide Tucson a modern financial administrative organization. At present responsibility for administration of financial affairs is split among the City Auditor, the Treasurer, and the City Manager with over-lapping responsibilities. This amendment would concentrate all responsibility for financial administration under a Director of Finance responsible to the City Manager. The Post Auditor, created simultaneously, will be independent of both the City Manager and the Director of Finance. He will be appointed by and responsible to the Mayor and Council. This will provide a continuous check upon financial affairs by an independent official to insure proper checks and balances. Establishment of a Department of Finance under one director is recommended by experts such as the National Municipal League in its publication, "Model City Charter".

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

This amendment would consolidate operations which are now split between two departments. The functions of providing park facilities and recreational programs are so closely inter-related that it is impossible for one office to do anything which does not affect the other. However the present charter divides these responsibilities. This consolidation will be along lines generally recommended by authorities in the field.

SIXTY DAY LIMITATION ON DAMAGE CLAIMS

Under state laws a person can file claims against the City for alleged damages as much as two years after the damage is supposed to have happened. In the span of 24 months, witnesses may have disappeared and physical conditions are usually altered. This sometimes subjects the City to questionable suits. In order to protect the taxpayers funds this amendment would require persons claiming damages to file claims within sixty days after the damage occurs. This is not a matter of filing suit, merely one of giving notice and a law suit may later be filed. This will provide time for persons with legitimate damages to claim their deserved payments and it will also give the City an opportunity to contest claims.

REDUCTION OF HOLD BACK PAYMENTS ON CONTRACT FROM 25% to 10%

The Charter now permits the City to pay a contractor for a portion of work completed on a contract but must hold back 25% of the amount of each partial payment until the contract is completed and accepted. Example - if a contractor completes 50% of an \$80,000 contract, he may bill the City for \$40,000 but he can only be paid \$30,000 at that time. When he completes the contract he may bill for his total amount due but he has had to finance the carrying charges on the 25% held back thereby costing the taxpayers more money. In other words, the contractor passes the cost of the charges on to the City. It is necessary to hold a certain amount back to protect the City, but 10 per cent will be enough to perform the function and will result in lower bids by contractors on City projects thereby saving the taxpayers money. The State of Arizona now holds back only 10%. In addition the City is amply protected by the requirement of a performance bond from the contractor.

REPEAL CITY HEALTH DEPARTMENT CHARTER PROVISION

Repeal of this provision will in no way affect the present manner in which health services are provided to the City of Tucson by the County Health Department. The peculiar nature of health problems (which extend beyond City boundaries) makes it necessary that the County provide this service since the City cannot do it outside its limits. Because City residents pay County taxes the City, in 1955, acting under provisions of Arizona Statutes requested Pima County to furnish health services within the City limits. The part of the Charter providing for a City Health Department was not eliminated at that time - but the need vanished. Therefore this Charter change will eliminate a meaningless Charter provision. Should the need for a City Health Department ever arise, the Mayor and Council is empowered to create one.

We urge you to vote on November 1, 1960.

YOUR CITY GOVERNMENT