FILED SUPREME COURT STATE OF WASHINGTON 3/20/2023 8:00 AM BY ERIN L. LENNON CLERK

No. 101052-4

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

WAHKIAKUM SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 200 Appellant,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent.

WAHKIAKUM SCHOOL DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE'S FRIDAY AFTERNOON "NOTICE" FILING

Thomas F. Ahearne, WSBA No. 14844 Bianca G. Chamusco, WSBA No. 54103 Devra R. Cohen, WSBA No. 49952 Christopher G. Emch, WSBA No. 26457 Adrian Urquhart Winder, WSBA No. 38071 Foster Garvey PC 1111 Third Avenue, suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101-3299 Telephone: (206) 447-8934/447-4400

E-mail: ahearne@foster.com

Telefax: (206) 749-1902/447-9700

Attorneys for Appellant

The Wahkiakum School District files this quick response to the State's post-argument "Notice" filing:

The Notice's attachment: The school district does not object to the State's request to substitute the Notice's March 17

Revised Consolidated Answer in place of the State's prior February 21 Consolidated Answer.

The Notice's legal briefing: The school district does, however, object to the State's inclusion of legal briefing in its March 17 Notice. Put concisely:

- 1. The Notice's new submission of a January 2023 Urban Institute document is *factually* irrelevant to the constitutional issue in this case for the local vs. State funding numbers in that document (like those in the GAO Report that the Notice also cites) do not distinguish between
 - (a) funding for facilities required to safely teach the knowledge and skills specified in that State's learning standards, and

(b) funding for facilities that are enrichments above that state-standards floor.¹

This failure to distinguish between (a) and (b) is fatal to the relevance of the Notice's local vs. State funding comparisons because the Washington Constitution <u>does</u> distinguish between (a) and (b) – with the sharing of responsibility under the Washington Constitution being that <u>local</u> funding is for the above category (b) [enrichments], while <u>State</u> funding is for the above category (a) [requirements to teach state standards].

2. The Notice's local vs. State funding comparisons are also *legally* irrelevant here – for no <u>other</u> State has the paramount ample funding duty that <u>our</u> State has. As the State's own K-12 Citizen's Guide declares to every Washington Citizen:

¹ Just a few examples of enrichments were noted in the School District's January 13 Reply Brief at 4-5.

What does the Washington State Constitution provide regarding K-12 public schools?

"It is the paramount duty of the state to make ample provision for the education of all children residing within its borders, without distinction or preference on account of race, color, caste or sex."

-Washington Constitution, article IX, section 1

This constitutional provision is unique to Washington. While other states have constitutional provisions related to education, no other state makes K-12 education the "paramount duty" of the state.

A Citizen's Guide To Washington State K-12 Finance (2022) at page 3

https://leg.wa.gov/LIC/Documents/EducationAndInformation/2
022%20K-12%20Booklet.pdf (cited by the State in the State's
November 14 Response Brief at 70 and February 21
Consolidated Answer at 33-34).

Thus, as the State's briefing has repeatedly argued, comparing what <u>our</u> State does to <u>other</u> States is "inapposite to Washington's Article IX, Section 1 Duty".²

² State's March 17 Revised Consolidated Answer at 29 (citing <u>Seattle School District No. 1 v. State</u>, 90 Wn.2d 476, 498, 585 P.2d 71 (1978)); State's February 21 Consolidated Answer at 29 (same); State's November 14 Response Brief at 67-68 (same);

This document contains 388 words (including the 79 words in the image reproduced from the State's Citizen's Guide), but excluding the parts exempted from the word count under RAP 18.17.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of March, 2023.

Foster Garvey PC

s/ Thomas F. Ahearne

Thomas F. Ahearne, WSBA No. 14844 Bianca G. Chamusco, WSBA No. 54103 Devra R. Cohen, WSBA No. 49952 Christopher G. Emch, WSBA No. 26457 Adrian Urquhart Winder, WSBA No. 38071 Attorneys for Wahkiakum School District No. 200

accord, State's March 17 Notice at 3 ("The State does not believe this information relating to other states' funding systems affects the analysis of the Washington constitutional issue presented here").

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I am now and at all times herein mentioned been, a resident of the State of Washington, over the age of eighteen years, not a party to or interested in the above-entitled action, and competent to be a witness herein.

On the date given below I caused to be served copies of the attached document upon the counsel of record at the email addresses listed below:

Cristina Marie Hwang Sepe, WSBA #53609 Lauryn Kay Fraas, WSBA #53238 Emma Grunberg, WSBA #54659 Washington State Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104-3188 Cristina.Sepe@atg.wa.gov

Cristina.Sepe(watg.wa.gov

Lauryn.Fraas@atg.wa.gov

Emma.grunberg@atg.wa.gov

 $\underline{Nicole.beck\text{-}thorne@atg.wa.gov}$

Victoria.Johnson@atg.wa.gov

SGOOlyEF@atg.wa.gov

William McGinty, WSBA #41868 Washington State AGO 7141 Cleanwater Drive SW P.O. Box 40124 Olympia, WA 98504-0124 William.McGinty@atg.wa.gov

Lester Porter Jr, WSBA #23194
F. Chase Bonwell, WSBA #58358
Porter Foster Rorick LLP
601 Union Street, Suite 800
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 622-0203
Buzz@pfrwa.com
chase@pfrwa.com
cindy@pfrwa.com
sarah@pfrwa.com

Katherine A. George, WSBA #36288 Johnston George LLP 2800 First Avenue, Suite 226 Seattle, WA 98121 (206) 832-1820 kathy@johnstongeorge.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of

Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 19, 2023, at Tacoma, Washington.

<u>s/ McKenna Filler</u>
McKenna Filler

FOSTER GARVEY PC

March 19, 2023 - 10:11 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court

Appellate Court Case Number: 101,052-4

Appellate Court Case Title: Wahkiakum School District No. 200 v. State of Washington

Superior Court Case Number: 21-2-00053-9

The following documents have been uploaded:

• 1010524_Answer_Reply_20230319221002SC669253_6944.pdf

This File Contains:

Answer/Reply - Other

The Original File Name was Wahkiakum Response to post-argument Notice filed by State on 3.17.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- Nicole.Beck-Thorne@atg.wa.gov
- SGOOlyEF@atg.wa.gov
- Victoria.Johnson@atg.wa.gov
- adrian.winder@foster.com
- bianca.chamusco@foster.com
- buzz@pfrwa.com
- chase@pfrwa.com
- chris.emch@foster.com
- · cindy@pfrwa.com
- cristina.sepe@atg.wa.gov
- devra.cohen@foster.com
- emma.grunberg@atg.wa.gov
- kathy@johnstongeorge.com
- lauryn.fraas@atg.wa.gov
- litdocket@foster.com
- sarah@pfrwa.com
- scot@johnstongeorge.com
- william.mcginty@atg.wa.gov

Comments:

Wahkiakum School District's Response to the State's Friday Afternoon "Notice" Filing

Sender Name: Thomas Ahearne - Email: ahearne@foster.com

Address:

1111 3RD AVE STE 3000 SEATTLE, WA, 98101-3296

Phone: 206-447-8934

Note: The Filing Id is 20230319221002SC669253